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Cellulose, the most abundant polysaccharide on Earth, is a remarkable pure organic polymeric component of plant material, consisting solely of 1,4-linked \( \beta \)-D-glucopyranose units held together in a giant straight chain molecule. In nature, a variety of microorganisms are known for producing a set of enzymes, referred to as cellulases, that are capable of degrading this insoluble polymer to soluble sugars, primarily cellobiose and glucose. The application and interest in cellulases has particularly increased in recent years with the utilization of the enzymes in the production of bioethanol from lignocelluloses. *Myceliophthora thermophila* (synonym *Sporotrichum thermophile*) is a thermophilic filamentous fungus, isolated from soil in eastern Russia, classified as an ascomycete, and constitutes an exceptionally powerful cellulolytic organism; it synthesizes a complete set of enzymes necessary for the breakdown of cellulose. The genome of this fungus has been recently sequenced and annotated, allowing systematic examination and identification of enzymes required for the decomposition of lignocellulosic biomass. In this thesis, the genes encoding five cellulases, including two *endoglucanases* belonging to glycoside hydrolase families GH5 and GH7, two *cellobiohydrolases* belonging to the families GH6 and GH7 and one \( \beta \)-glycosidase belonging to the family GH3, were cloned and expressed in methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris*, and their properties were investigated. In addition, the enzymes were produced in high cell density cultures, in the controlled environment of fermenters. The protein’s overexpression in a host suitable for industrial production is important in order to achieve low-cost and highly efficient production. The enzymes were purified to their homogeneity and were used for the development of tailor-made enzyme mixtures targeted towards particular feedstocks, including agricultural and forest residues, where they were tested for their ability to maximize hydrolysis yields.
Περίληψη

Η κυτταρίνη αποτελεί το αφθονότερο οργανικό πολυμερές που συναντάται στη φύση, γεγονός που την καθιστά κατάλληλη ως φτηνά αξιοποιήσιμη πηγή άνθρακα και πρώτη ύλη για ποικίλες βιοτεχνολογικές εφαρμογές. Ως εκ τούτου, η απομόνωση νέων ενζύμων με δράση που στοχεύει στην αποικοδόμηση ή την τροποποίηση των κυτταρινούχων υλικών κρίνεται απαραίτητη. Οι θερμόφιλοι οργανισμοί αποτελούν σημαντική πηγή κυτταρινολυτικών ενζύμων με πολλές βιοτεχνολογικές εφαρμογές, καθώς οι διεργασίες αυτές συνήθως απαιτούν συνθήκες υψηλής υγιείς θερμοκρασίας. Ο Myceliophthora thermophila είναι ένας θερμόφιλος αερόβιος μύκητας, ο οποίος αυξάνεται με μέγιστο ρυθμό σε θερμοκρασίες 45 – 50 °C. Ο μύκητας παράγει πολλά θερμοσταθερά ενζύμα τα οποία έχουν απομονωθεί και χαρακτηριστεί και χρησιμοποιούνται στη βιομηχανία σε βιοδιεργασίες που απαιτούν υψηλές θερμοκρασίες. Σκοπός της παρούσας εργασίας ήταν η απομόνωση και ετερόλογη έκφραση πέντε γονιδίων από το γονιδίωμα του συγκεκριμένου μύκητα που κωδικοποιούν πρωτεΐνες που εμπλέκονται στην αποικοδόμηση της λιγνοκυτταρίνης, καθώς και η παραγωγή και ο καταλυτικός χαρακτηρισμός των ανασυνδυασμένων ενζύμων. Οι νουκλεοτιδικές αλληλουχίες δύο ενδογλουκανασών των οικογενειών GH5 και GH7, δύο κελλοβιοϋδρολασών των οικογενειών GH6 και GH7 και μιας β-γλυκοσιδάσης της οικογένειας GH3 ανασύρθηκαν από τη βάση δεδομένων Genome Portal, ενισχύθηκαν, κλωνοποιήθηκαν στον κατάλληλο φορέα και χρησιμοποιήθηκαν για το μετασχηματισμό και την ετερόλογη έκφραση στο ζυμομύκητα P. pastoris. Ακολούθησε καθαρισμός των παραγόμενων πρωτεϊνών, τα οποία εν συνεχεία χρησιμοποιήθηκαν για τη δημιουργία ενζυμικών μηχανών και δοκιμάστηκαν για την υδρολυτική τους ικανότητα υποστρωμάτων αγροτικής και δασικής προέλευσης.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

Cellulose, the most abundant polysaccharide on Earth, is a remarkable pure organic polymeric component of plant material, consisting solely of 1,4-linked β-D-glucopyranose units held together in a giant straight chain molecule. Cellulose has long been harvested as commercial fibers from the seed hairs of cotton (over 94% cellulose), bast fibers (60–80% cellulose) from flax, hemp, jute and ramie or wood (40–55% cellulose), which is a common building material or is used as a source for purified cellulose. Wood represents a composite material with cellulose as a major part combined in excellent form with lignin and hemicelluloses, creating a unique high-strength and durable material, and recently came again into focus as a renewable energy resource. In nature, a variety of microorganisms are known for producing a set of enzymes capable of degrading this insoluble polymer to soluble sugars, primarily cellobiose and glucose. Enzymes involved in these processes are called cellulases and are consisting of at least three classes of enzymes, namely, endoglucanases (EG), cellobiohydrolases (CBH) and β-glucosidases (BG). Cellulases can be used in the variety of applications within food, vine, animal feed, textile and pulp and paper industry (Bhat, 2000). The application and interest in cellulases has particularly increased in recent years with the utilization of the enzymes in the production of bioethanol from lignocellulose (Sun and Cheng, 2002). It has been proposed that agricultural wastes, such as wheat straw, and forest residues may be economically converted to bioethanol.

Bioethanol is derived from alcoholic fermentation of sucrose or simple sugars that are produced from biomass and can be used as a petrol additive/substitute. When blended at low concentrations (5%) with petrol (gasoline) or diesel for use in today’s vehicles, under the EU quality standard EN 228, no engine modification is required; it is covered by vehicle warranties and considered to be a sustainable transportation fuel. Alternatively, with engine modification and adopted vehicles, bioethanol can be used at higher levels, for example, E85 (85% bioethanol). The main motivation for investments in research and process development concerning bioethanol production is environmental concern related to global warming. The focus has, in particular, been
turned towards the reduction of emission of greenhouse gases (GHG), such as carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), as well as VOC (volatile organic compounds) and other particles arising from fossil fuel combustion and land-use change as a result of human activities.

According to the Renewable Energy Directive (RED) 2003/30/EC of the European Parliament, the European Union (EU) creates a Community framework to promote the use of biofuels. The ultimate goal is to reduce dependency on the use of oil-based fuels, a significant cause for concern in terms of the environment and security of supply. The Directive sets a minimum percentage of biofuels (10%) to replace diesel or petrol for transport purposes in each Member State and proposes an action plan aimed at increasing the share of biofuels to more than 20% of European petrol and diesel consumption by 2020. According to analyses of the Member States’ National Renewable Energy Action Plans (NREAPs), biomass will make up 19 per cent of total renewable electricity in the year 2020, 78 per cent of total renewable heating and cooling in 2020 and 89 per cent of total renewable energy in transport. Altogether, bioenergy is expected to contribute over 50 per cent of total renewable energy use.

Sustainable bioethanol production would decrease the dependency on the traditional, natural oil, reserves, which can due to their restricted geographical localization cause political tension and economic instability. Under EU proposal 0547 from November 7, 2001, a series of goals were set for member states to introduce biofuels for diesel and gasoline. By 2005, 2% of transport fuel should be accounted for by biofuels; by 2020, the goal is 20%. There are several reasons for biofuels to be considered as relevant technologies by both developing and industrialized countries. These include energy security, environmental concerns, foreign exchange savings, and socioeconomic issues related to the rural sector (Demirbas 2007).

**State of the art in biofuel production and current study**

Currently, transportation fuels based on biomass (*i.e.* biofuels) are identified as 1st and 2nd generation biofuels. *First-generation biofuels* are produced from sugar, starch, vegetable oil or animal fats using conventional technologies. The basic feedstocks are often seeds and grains such as wheat, corn and rapeseed. The most
common first generation biofuels are bioethanol, biodiesel and starch-derived biogas, but also straight vegetable oils, biomethanol and bioethers may be included in this category (Cherubini and Jungmeier 2010). The main advantages of first generation biofuels are due to the high sugar or oil content of the raw materials and their easy conversion into biofuel. Nevertheless, concerns exist about the sourcing of feedstocks, including the impact it may have on biodiversity and land use, and competition with food crops (Naik et al., 2010). These limitations are expected to be partially overcome by developing the so-called 2nd generation biofuels (Cherubini et al., 2009). Second generation biofuels are made from non-edible feedstocks, such as waste from agriculture, forestry and industry and dedicated lignocellulosic crops. Contrarily to first generation biofuels, where the utilized fraction (grains and seeds), represents only a small portion of the above-ground biomass, second generation biofuels can rely on the whole plant for bioenergy production and they offer the opportunity for coproduction of valuable biofuels and value-added chemical compounds. Following this procedure will lead to better energy, environmental and economic performances through the development of so-called biorefinery concept (Kamm et al., 2006). Third-generation biofuels are fuels that would be produced from algal biomass, which has a very distinctive growth yield as compared with classical lignocellulosic biomass (Brennana and Owendea, 2010). Production of biofuels from algae usually relies on the lipid content of the microorganisms, which can be processed via transesterification to produce biodiesel, but still scaling-up faces challenges with several geographical and technical limitations associated with algal biomass production (Lee and Lavoie, 2013).

**Figure 1.1.** Schematic presentation of the conventional biochemical platform featuring enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic-based material.
Apart from biofuels, industrial bioproducts – chemicals and materials produced from biomass – play a key role in the so-called “biorefinery concept” for fostering a new bioindustry. There is a tremendous potential to supplement and supplant the petroleum resources used today to manufacture billions of pounds of important chemical products, most of which are value-added products, such as sugar and starch bioproducts, oil- and lipid-based bioproducts, cellulose derivatives, fibers and plastics, gum and wood chemicals (Cherubini 2010; Paster et al., 2003).

In this thesis the focus is on the second-generation biofuels technology and more precisely on the process of enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. In general terms, the production of bioethanol from lignocellulose involves a degradation of the polymeric compounds, primarily cellulose and hemicellulose, to sugars, which are then subsequently fermented by microorganisms to ethanol. The process can be performed in a number of different ways (Olsson et al., 2004). Figure 1.1 shows an example of the process steps used for the conversion of lignocellulosic-based waste material to bioethanol. The procedure involves four key steps: pretreatment of the material, hydrolysis, fermentation and distillation for product recovery. Pretreatment targets at the removal of hemicellulose and lignin parts and isolation of cellulose from the lignocellulosic biomass. Many processes have been considered, including classical pulping processes (Jin et al., 2010), steam explosion (Lavoie et al., 2010), and organosolv processes (Brosse et al., 2009). Isolation of cellulose is a technological challenge because it has to produce the highest purity of cellulose to remove most inhibitors without consuming too much energy or too many chemicals. The degradation of cellulose to glucose is accomplished in the next step, hydrolysis / saccharification. Two approaches are generally used for saccharification of cellulose: either enzymatic (Sun and Cheng, 2002) or by chemical hydrolysis using acids (Chornet et al., 2010). In both cases, there are some limitations to the processes, such as the price of the enzymes or the high amount of harmful by-products that can hamper the subsequent steps. The discovery of new enzymes with high rates of catalytic activity that can be produced easily in large scale could reduce the cost. This topic is the main focus of this thesis; the production and mode of action of effective cellulolytic monoenzymes and enzyme mixtures will be described in the following Chapters. After hydrolysis, sugars are produced from hydrolysis as reactive intermediates for subsequent fermentation to fuels and chemicals. Microorganisms (e.g. yeast) can ferment sugars to ethanol, which is further
on distillated, and mixed with gasoline to obtain blends such as E85 (a mixture of 15% gasoline and 85% ethanol).

In Chapter 2 of this thesis, the composition of lignocellulose (plant cell wall polysaccharides) is introduced, and moreover, the characteristics of cellulose from plant materials (used in the industrial processes), and cellulose from model substrates, readily used in research, are discussed and compared. Cellulolytic enzymes and their main characteristics, as well as cooperative action between the different enzyme classes are presented. A brief description of the properties of the thermophilic fungi *Myceliophthora thermophila* and the heterologous expression tool organism, *Pichia pastoris* is given.

In Chapter 4, an overview of the cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic potential of *Myceliophthora thermophila* is described regarding the degradation of plant cell wall material (Paper I). The genome of this fungus has been recently sequenced and annotated, allowing systematic examination and identification of enzymes required for the decomposition of lignocellulosic biomass.

In Chapters 5-7, the successful heterologous expression and characterization of five genes isolated from *M. thermophila*’s genome is described in detail. The recombinant enzymes, including two endoglucanases belonging to glycoside hydrolase families GH5 and GH7, two cellobiohydrolases belonging to the families GH6 and GH7 and one β-glucosidase belonging to the family GH3, were expressed in methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris*, and their properties were investigated. In addition, the enzymes were produced in high cell density cultures, in the controlled environment of fermenters. The enzyme’s overexpression in a host suitable for industrial production is important in order to achieve low-cost and highly efficient production (Papers II & III).

In Chapter 8, tailor-made enzyme mixtures targeted towards particular feedstocks were tested for their ability to maximize hydrolysis yields. Four “core” cellulases, in the presence of other three “accessory” enzymes, all isolated from *M. thermophila*, were tested against agricultural and forest residues. Synergistic interactions among different enzymes were determined through various mixture optimization experiments.
References


CHAPTER 2

2.1 Cell-wall degrading enzymes

2.1.1 Components and Morphology of the cell wall

Even though the relative amounts and structures of the cell-wall polysaccharides may vary greatly among species and from tissue to tissue within a plant., the fundamental mechanisms of interaction between these polysaccharides are highly similar (Carpita and McCann, 2000). They are the most abundant organic compounds found in nature and are conventionally divided into three groups: cellulose, hemicellulose (e.g., xyloglucans, xylans, and mannans), and pectins. Morphologically, the cell wall can be distinguished in three different parts (Figure 2.1). The middle lamella is synthesized as the first layer.

**Figure 2.1**: Model of the plant cell wall. (left) Schematic view on a single cell surrounded by the primary cell wall; the middle lamella of the cell walls are thought to connect and interlink neighboring cells (McCann et al., 1992). (right) Simple model of the primary cell wall of flowering plants (Carpita and Gibeaut, 1993). Cellulose microfibrils (black horizontal rods) are linked by hemicellulose chains (dotted black lines). The cellulose-hemicellulose network is embedded in a matrix of pectins (gray dotted lines).

The cell wall is pectin-rich, has a high water-holding capacity and is a deposit for sugars, water and ions (Reiter, 2002). The middle lamella is very flexible allowing
the cells to quickly expand (Verhertbruggen and Knox, 2006). It is the outermost layer of the cell wall and is, in later stages of development, responsible for cell-cell signaling and pathogen-attack response mechanisms (Cosgrove, 2005). After the middle lamella the primary plant cell wall is synthesized. It mainly consists of celluloses and hemicelluloses forming a more rigid but still flexible network (McNeil et al., 1984). When cell growth ceases the secondary cell wall is formed. The hemicellulose-cellulose network is fortified by the secretion and polymerization of phenols, leading to the formation of the stiff, extremely robust lignocellulose network.

Cellulose

Cellulose is a homopolymer of β-1,4-linked glucose units that is synthesized at the plasma membrane by the cellulase-synthase complex. The ascending chains are released apoplastically, where they group to cellulose microfibrils (Mutwil et al., 2008). Glucose chains are tightly bound to each other by van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonds into crystalline structures called elementary fibril (consisting of around 40 glucan chains), about 40 Å wide, 30 Å thick and 100 Å long (Bidlack et al., 1992). Aggregates of elementary fibrils, of essentially an infinite length, and a width of approximately 250 Å, are called microfibrils (Fan, et al., 1982). These microfibrils are bundles of 36 cellulose chains of approximately 200 nm length, that provide mechanical strength to the cell wall. It consists of amorphous regions of loosely arranged fibers and crystalline regions that widely persist enzymatic degradation. On average, cellulose constitutes 10–30 w/w% of the total cell wall (McNeil et al., 1984).

Regions within the microfibrils with high order are termed crystalline, and less ordered regions are termed amorphous. The term “amorphous” cellulose is widely accepted even though it can be contradictory. Amorphous material is defined as material which is formless or lacks definite shape, however, amorphous cellulose probably still possesses some degree of order (O’Sullivan, 1997). Larsson, et al. (1997), investigated molecular ordering of cellulose and reported that most of the amorphous regions correspond to the chains that are located at the surface, whereas crystalline components occupy the core of the microfibril (Figure 2.3.A). A different molecular architecture of crystalline and amorphous cellulose is suggested by Moiser et al. (1999) and Tenkanen et al. (2003). They describe cellulose as being semi-crystalline, with
regions of high crystallinity averaging approximately 200 glucose residues in length separated by amorphous regions, as illustrated at Figure 2.3.B.

Figure 2.2: Chemical structure of cellulose. Linear β-1,4-linked glucose is the chemical repeating unit, while the structural repeat is β-cellobiose, and consequently each glucoside is oriented at 180° in respect to its neighbors (Hilden and Johansson, 2004).

Figure 2.3: Two different views on how crystalline and amorphous cellulose is distributed within the microfibril. A: Crystalline cellulose is in the core of the microfibril, and it is surrounded by amorphous substrate. B: Crystalline and amorphous regions are being repeated in horizontal dimension.

Cellulose exists in seven crystal structures (polymorphs) designated as celluloses Iα, Iβ, II, IIII, IVI, and IVII (O'Sullivan, 1997). In nature, cellulose Iα and Iβ are the most abundant crystal forms. Iα polymorph is meta-stable, and thus, more reactive
than Iβ. The co-existence of two polymorphs of native cellulose, which have different stabilities, may imply that the part of the Iα polymorph within the microfibril is most prone to the enzymatic attack.

**Hemicelluloses**

Hemicelluloses are a heterogenic group of polysaccharides that share a β-1,4-linked backbone as a structural motif (Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010). The backbone can be composed of glucose (in xyloglucans and β-glucans), xylose (in xylans, arabinoxylans and glucuronoarabinoxylans), mannose (in mannans and galactomannans) or mannose and glucose (in galactoglucomannans). In contrast to cellulose, the backbone of hemicelluloses is usually substituted. In some plants, xylans can be substituted with arabinose, xylose, glucuronic acid, acetic acid, coumaric acid, ferulic acid or more complex oligomers of these substituents. Because of their cellulose-like backbone structure, hemicelluloses, like xyloglucan and xylan, can bind non-covalently to cellulose (Levy _et al._, 1997).

![Figure 2.4. Schematic structure of hemicellulose.](image)

**Lignin**

Lignin is probably the most complex and the least characterized molecular group among the wood components. Its primary purpose is to give strength and water permeability to plants, but also to protect plants from pathogen infections. Lignin is
composed of \( p \)-hydroxyphenoyl, guaiacyl and/or syringyl monomers linked in three dimensions. These three monomers differ in the methoxylation pattern of the aromatic ring (Douglas, 1996). As it is the case for hemicellulose, the composition and amount of lignin present in the woody material varies according to species, cell type and stage of tissue development. Lignin accounts for approximately 20-35 \% of wood structure (Fan et al., 1982).

### 2.1.2. Cell-wall degrading enzymes

*Types of cell-wall degrading enzymes*

Microorganisms like bacteria and fungi are a rich source of cell-wall degrading enzymes. Generally, cell-wall degradation requires two types of enzymes; *exo-enzymes* remove single sugars or small oligomers from the ends of a polymer and hydrolyze oligomers down to monomers, whereas *endo-enzymes* cleave linkages within a polymer backbone. Endo-action leads to a rapid decrease in average molecular mass of the substrate and to the formation of new ends that may be attacked by exo-enzymes. Most enzymes are rather *substrate-specific*, which means that each cell-wall polysaccharide requires a specific set of glucosidases for its degradation. In addition to glucosidases, different esterases are required for the removal of non-sugar substituents, like methylesters, acetyl groups and feruloyl groups. Auxiliary enzymes, like the recently identified lytic-polysaccharide monooxygenases, have been shown to significantly increase the accessibility of cellulases to cellulose by the oxidative cleave/disruption of crystalline cellulose region (Quinlan et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2011).

*Classification of cell-wall degrading enzymes*

Cell-wall degrading enzymes can be classified into three main classes of enzymes: *Glycoside hydrolases* (E.C. 3.2.1), *Polysaccharide lyases* (E.C. 4.2.2) and *Carbohydrate esterases* (E.C. 3.1.1, Webb, 1992). Hydrolases cleave the glycosidic linkage between two sugar moieties with the addition of one water molecule. Lyasers cleave the glycosidic linkage by introducing a double bond. The carbohydrate esterases are a heterogeneous group of enzymes that contain pectin methyl esterases, pectin and rhamnogalacturonan acetyl esterases and hydroxycinnamic acid esterases, like ferulic
acid esterase. An attempt has been made to classify carbohydrate active enzymes based on their enzymatic cleavage mechanism and according to their amino acid sequence. The Carbohydrate-Active enZyme (CAZy) database, therefore, subdivides the enzyme classes glycosyl hydrolase (GH), glycosyl lyase and carbohydrate esterase into different families (Coutinho and Henrissat, 1999). The members of one family have similar structural motifs, but they may have different substrate specificities and modes of action. Analogously, enzymes with the same substrate specificities and modes of action may belong to different families. A very detailed data collection is provided by the enzyme database (BRENDA, http://www.brendaenzymes.info) that intents to summarize all accessible data of known enzymes (Scheer et al., 2011).

**Cellulose-degrading enzymes**

Cellulases are modular enzymes that are composed of independently folded, structurally and functionally discrete units, referred to as either domains or modules (Henrissat et al., 1998). Most commonly, cellulases consist of one catalytic domain (CD) and one carbohydrate binding module (CBM), which is usually joined to the CD by a relatively long (30–44 amino acids), often glycosylated, linker peptide.

By definition, a CBM is a contiguous amino acid sequence within a carbohydrate active enzyme with a restrained fold and independent carbohydrate-binding activity. It is generally accepted that the primary role of CBM is to accommodate physical contact of the enzyme to the cellulose, increasing at the same time both the effective concentration of the enzyme, but also the time the enzyme will spend in the near proximity of the substrate. CBMs are currently distributed within 49 families, ranging from small (30–40 amino acids), family 1, peptides, to modules consisting of over 200 residues (in families 11 and 17). All fungal CBMs (relevant for the enzymes used during this thesis) belong to family 1. Those peptides primarily demonstrate affinity for crystalline cellulose. The cellulose binding surface has been shown to be a planar surface with three aromatic amino acids and few conserved polar residues (Linder et al., 1995; Mattinen et al., 1998). Such a binding specificity implies that, in perfect cellulose crystals, the surface area of the proposed binding site for the CBMs is very limited. Lehtio et al., 2003 observed fully reversible binding of family 1 CBM to crystalline
cellulose at 4°C. Reversibility of CBM binding to the cellulose is an important issue as it will promote the hydrolysis reaction to proceed from another point on the crystal, i.e. enzyme loss due to unproductive binding is minimized. Enzymes lacking CBM, i.e. only having one module (catalytic domain), have been shown to still have the ability to absorb to cellulose, but often with lower affinity compared to the full length enzyme (Karlsson et al., 2002). CD and CBM are connected by linker peptide. The linker sequences from different enzymes rarely share any apparent sequence homology, but their amino acid composition is typically rich in proline and hydroxyl amino acids (Gilkes et al., 1991). It has been suggested that linkers represent extended, flexible hinges between the two domains facilitating their independent function (Burton et al., 1989; Bushuev et al., 1989).

Figure 2.5. Enzymatic activities associated with cellulose deconstruction. The final product is glucose, the main carbon source readily metabolized by fungi (de Souza, 2013).

Cellulose degradation is attributed to the synergistic action of three complementary enzyme activities: (1) endoglucanases (EGs, EC 3.2.1.4); (2) exoglucanases, including celldextrinases (EC 3.2.1.74) and cellobiohydrolases (CBHs, EC 3.2.1.91 for
the non-reducing end acting CBHs and EC 3.2.1.176 for the reducing end acting ones) and (3) β-glucosidases (BGs, EC 3.2.1.21) (Lynd et al., 2002). Amorphous regions of the polysaccharide chain are cleaved randomly by EGs, while CBHs remove processively cellooligosaccharides from chain ends. The latter are the most abundant enzymes in the secretome of cellulolytic fungi (Jun et al., 2011; Ribeiro et al., 2012). Their main representatives are GH family 7 (CBH I) that attack the reducing end of a cellulose chain and GH family 6 (CBH II) that are specific toward the non-reducing end of the chain. Until very recently, CBHs were considered as the main degraders of the crystalline part of cellulose (Sweeney and Xu, 2012). Cellulose degradation may also require the presence of non-catalytic proteins, such as expansins, to make cellulose more accessible (amorphous) to enzymes (Cosgrove, 2000).

EGs are widespread among GH families, with examples described for families 5–9, 12, 44, 45, 48, 51, and 74 on the continually updated CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Lombard et al., 2014). Most of them show optimal activity at neutral or acidic pH and at temperatures below 50°C (Maheshwari et al., 2000). Exoglucanases (or CBHs) act in a processive manner (Davies and Henrissat, 1995) and are classified only to two families, as referred previously. One of the important features of all CBHs is that they can act on microcrystalline cellulose (Terri, 1997). BGs include enzymes of GH1 and GH3 families that hydrolyze cellobiose and short (soluble) cellooligosaccharides to glucose that could subsequently fermented to ethanol; e.g., the hydrolysis reaction is performed in the liquid phase, rather than on the surface of the insoluble cellulose particles, such as EGs and CBHs. The removal of cellobiose is an important step of the enzymatic hydrolysis process, as it assists in reduction of the inhibitory effect of cellobiose on EG and CBH. BG activity has often been found to be rate-limiting during enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose (Duff and Murray, 1996; Tolan and Foody, 1999), and due to that the commercial cellulase enzyme preparations are often supplemented with BG activity.

### Hemicellulose-degrading enzymes

Hemicellulose polymers have a much more diverse structure than cellulose and consequently several enzymes are needed to completely degrade the polysaccharide into monosaccharides. Xylan that is the major component of hemicellulose in the plant
cell wall, is consisted of a β-D-(1,4)-linked xylopyranosyl backbone, which, depending on the origin, can be substituted with arabinofuranosyl, 4-O-methylglucopyranosyl, feruloyl and acetyl groups (Shibuya and Iwasaki, 1985). Feruloyl groups can form strong networks through peroxidase-catalyzed oxidative coupling forming diferuloyl bridges (Topakas et al., 2007). The main enzymes needed for depolymerization are xylanases, assisted by accessory enzymes such as β-xylosidases and different arabinofuranosidases making the xylan backbone more accessible (Sørgensen et al., 2007). Other accessible enzymes that enhance xylan degradation are acetyl-xylanesterases (Poutanen et al., 1990), ferulic acid esterases (Topakas et al., 2007), and α-glucuronidases (De Vries et al., 1998).

**Figure 2.6.** Enzymatic activities associated with hemicellulose deconstruction. The arrows represent each enzyme active for a determined substrate (de Souza, 2013).

_Xyloglucan_ specific exo-β-1,4-glucanase (Xgl74A; EC 3.2.1.155) is classified to GH74 family and catalyzes the hydrolysis of (1→4)-D-glucosidic linkages in xyloglucans aiming in the successful removal of oligosaccharides from the chain end (Grishutin et
Xyloglucan is a major structural polysaccharide found in the primary cell walls of higher plants that interact with cellulose microfibrils via hydrogen bonds to form a structural network that is assumed to play a key role in cell wall integrity. It consists of a cellulose-like backbone of $\beta$-1,4-linked D-glucopyranose (D-Glcp) residues, which most of them are substituted at C-6 with $\alpha$-d-Xylp-(1→6) residues, to which other saccharides may be attached (most frequently, d-Galp and l-Fucp).

**Xylan** backbone is degraded by endo-xylanases and $\beta$-xylosidases. Xylanases (endo-1,4-$\beta$-xylanases, EC 3.2.1.8) are enzymes hydrolyzing $\beta$-1,4-glycosidic linkages in the backbone of xylans, while most of them belong to GH family 10 or 11 based on amino acid similarities and structural features (Henriassat, 1991). GH10 xylanases exhibit less substrate specificity than GH11 enzymes and can hydrolyze different types of decorated xylans, while GH11 xylanases are highly specific and do not tolerate many decorations on the xylan backbone (Biely et al., 1997). $\beta$-Xylosidases (EC 3.2.1.37) hydrolyze the soluble xylo-oligosaccharides and xylobiose from the non-reducing end liberating xylose, produced by the activity of xylanases. These enzymes play an important role in xylan degradation by relieving the end product inhibition of endoxylanases (Knob et al., 2010).

**Mannan** polymer primarily consists of a backbone structure composed of $\beta$-1,4-bound mannose residues or combination of glucose and mannose residues and can be hydrolyzed to its monomers with the synergistic action of $\beta$-mannanases (EC 3.2.1.78), $\beta$-mannosidases (EC 3.2.1.25), $\alpha$-galactosidases (EC 3.2.1.22), and acetylmannan esterases (E.C. 3.1.1.6) (McCleary, 1988). The backbone of glucomannans can be attacked endoglucanases as well.

**Pectin-degrading enzymes**

**Homogalacturonan** degradation requires the action of an exo-polygalacturonase and an endo-polygalacturonase (endoPG). Rhamnogalacturonan I is degraded by RG-lyases or RG-hydrolases (Mutter et al., 1998). Acetyl groups are removed by pectin acetyl esterases. For the degradation of galactan and arabinogalactan I, endogalactanase, $\beta$-galactosidase and arabinofuranosidase activities are needed.
Arabinan is degraded by a number of different enzymes that belong to the CAZy glycoside hydrolase (GH) families 3, 27, 43, 51, 54, 62 and 93 (Coutinho and Henrissat, 1999, http://www.cazy.org). α-L-arabinofuranosidases (AFase; EC 3.2.1.55) are enzymes that release arabinofuranose residues substituted at position O-2 or O-3 of mono or di-substituted xylose residues (Gruppen et al., 1993). Apart from that, AFases act in synergism with other arabinohydrolases, endo-(1,5)-α-L-arabinanases (ABNase; EC 3.2.1.99) for the decomposition of arabinan, a major pectin polysaccharide. Arabinan consists of a backbone of α-(1,5)-linked L-arabinofuranosyl residues, some of which are substituted with α-(1,2)- or α-(1,3)-linked arabinofuranosides (Weinstein and Albersheim, 1979). Degradation of arabinan polymer to arabinose sugars is driven by the synergistic action of two major enzymes, AFases and ABNases (Kim, 2008). AFases specifically catalyze the hydrolysis of terminal non-reducing L-arabinofuranosyl residues from arabinan, while the resulting debranched backbone could be efficiently hydrolyzed by endo-acting ABNases, thus generating a variety of arabinooligosaccharides with an inverting mode of action (Beldman et al., 1997).

The role of auxiliary enzymes

Until recently, only hydrolytic enzymes were thought to play a role in the degradation of recalcitrant cellulose and hemicelluloses to fermentable sugars. Recent studies demonstrate that enzymes from the GH family 61 show lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase activity (LPMO) and have an enhancing cellulolytic effect when combined with common cellulases (Horn et al., 2012). Together with cellobiose dehydrogenase (CDH; EC 1.1.99.18), an enzymatic system capable of oxidative cellulose cleavage is formed, which increases the efficiency of cellulases and boosts the enzymatic conversion of lignocellulose. It has long been thought that the proteins of GH family 61 are accessory proteins enhancing cellulose decomposition. They were thus frequently referred to as the “cellulose enhancing factors” (Harris et al., 2010) and previously thought to have no or only weak endoglucanase activity (Karlsson et al., 2001). Now, these enzymes are now reclassified to AA9 family of CAZy database and their mode of action provide a new dimension to the classical concept of cellulose degradation, as recently reviewed by Dimarogona et al. (2013).
Figure 2.7. Current view of the components that are involved in the enzymatic degradation of cellulose, involving *cellobiohydrolases* (CBH), *endoglucanases* (EG), *type 1 and type 2 PMOs* (PMO1 hydroxylating the C1 position of the glucose moiety and PMO2 being specific for C4, respectively). *Cellobiose dehydrogenase* (CDH) is a potential electron donor for PMOs. (Dimarogona et al., 2012).

These copper-dependent enzymes were shown to cleave cellulose by an oxidative mechanism provided that reduction equivalents from CDH or low molecular weight reducing agents (e.g., ascorbate) are available (Langston et al., 2011). In some genomes, AA9 genes even outnumber cellulose genes. It remains to be elucidated whether all of these encoded enzymes have PMO activity, but their large number emphasizes the importance of oxidative cellulose cleavage. *M. thermophila*’s genome has 25 AA9 genes, encoding putative proteins acting as accessory LPMOs enzymes (Berka et al., 2011). This number is outstanding in comparison to common lignocellulolytic organisms, as *A. niger* (seven sequences) and *T. reesei* (nine sequences). This difference can explain the high efficiency of hydrolysis of *Myceliophthora* in nature substrates and reveals the crucial role of these enzymes in the whole procedure.
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2.2 *Myceliophthora thermophila* as a thermophilic fungus

*Myceliophthora thermophila* (synonym *Sporotrichum thermophile*) is a thermophilic filamentous fungus, isolated from soil in eastern Russia, classified as an ascomycete, and constitutes an exceptionally powerful cellulolytic organism; it synthesizes a complete set of enzymes necessary for the breakdown of cellulose. The cell density and the exponential growth rate of the microorganism on cellulose (0.09 to 0.16 h$^{-1}$) is similar to that on glucose (0.1 h$^{-1}$), revealing its remarkable ability to utilize cellulose as efficiently as glucose (Bhat, 1987). Several isolates of *M. thermophila* can grow on cellulose-rich material and can decompose complex substrates such as birch chips, wood pulp and wheat straw (Bhat & Maheshwari 1987). Colonies of *M. thermophila* grow rapidly and initially appear cottony-pink, but then turn cinnamon-brown and granular in texture. Microscopic examination reveals septate hyphae with several obovoidal to pyriform conidia arising singly or in small groups from conidiogenous cells. Conidia are typically 3.0 – 4.5 μm x 4.5 - 11.0 μm in size, hyaline, smooth, and thick-walled. Occasionally a secondary conidium can form at the distal tip of primary conidium (van Oorschot 1977).

![Figure 2.8](image)

**Figure 2.8.** Colonies grow rapidly at 45 °C in comparison to 30°C or 55°C and appear dry, thin, broadly spreading with a surface texture that varies from floccose or cottony to granular or powdery. The color is at first white, then pink, buff, and finally fulvous or cinnamon brown. Their hyphae are colorless, about 2μm broad (Morgenstern *et al.*, 2012).

*Myceliophthora thermophila* has a wide range of synonyms over the history of its classification and distinction of sexual states. This fungus was originally described as *Sporotrichum thermophilum* (Apnis, 1963) but it was later found that the species lacked
clamp connections, a typical characteristic of the basidiomycetous genus, *Sporotrichum*. It was then reclassified to the ascomycetous genus, *Chrysosporium*, and became known as *C. thermophilum* (von Klopotek, 1974). Two years later Klopotek described *Thielavia heterothallica* as the teleomorph of *C. thermophilum* (von Klopotek 1976) before the genus *Corynascus* was introduced by von Arx in 1983. The genus *Myceliophthora* was not used to describe the anamorph until 1977 (van Oorschot 1977). The current name for the teleomorph is *Corynascus heterothallicus*, which has been observed through phylogenetic analysis to bear very strong DNA sequence homology to *M. thermophila* (van den Brink *et al.*, 2012). Recently, the C1 wild-type strain VKM F-3500-D, extensively studied by Dyadic International Inc., initially classified as *Chrysosporium lucknowense*, was turn out to be a *Myceliophthora thermophila* isolate, based on molecular studies (Berka *et al.*, 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kingdom</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subphylum</td>
<td>Pezizomycotina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Sordarmonycetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subclass</td>
<td>Sordarmonycetidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Sordarales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Chaetomlaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Myceliophthora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Myceliophthora thermophila</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.1.** Taxonomy of *Myceliophthora thermophila* and synonyms of the species (Uniprot taxonomy ID: 78579).
The 38.7 Mbp genome of *M. thermophila*, comprising about 9500 genes, organized in 7 chromosomes, has been sequenced and annotated (Joint Genome Institute, University of California, http://genome.jgi-psf.org; Berka et al., 2011). It revealed a large number of genes putatively encoding industrially important enzymes, such as carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZy), proteases, oxido-reductases and lipases, while more than 200 sequences have been identified exclusively for plant cell-wall-degrading enzymes. These sequences encode a large number of glycoside hydrolases (GH) and polysaccharide lyases, covering the most of the recognized families, as summarized in Table 2.2. In addition, *M. thermophila* was developed into a proprietary mature enzyme production system with easy scaling (C1 strain; Visser et al., 2011). The main features of C1 are the high production levels (up to 100 g/l protein), as well as the maintenance of low viscosity levels at the culture medium, thus enabling fermentation process to reach very high densities.

*M. thermophila* exhibits an impressive number of accessory enzymes belonging to AA9 (previously described as GH61) and family 1 carbohydrate binding modules (CBM), which are the highest found in fungi (Berka et al., 2011). Family 1 CBM presents a cellulose-binding function and is almost exclusively found in enzymes of fungal origin (http://www.cazy.org; Guillen et al., 2009). In addition, *M. thermophila* distinguishes itself from other cellulolytic fungi, such as *Aspergillus niger* and *Trichoderma reesei* by the presence of a relatively high number of (glucurono) arabinoxylan degrading enzymes (Hinz et al., 2009). Eleven putative xylanases were found that belong into GH 10 and 11 families compared to five in both *A. niger* (Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, http://www.broadinstitute.org) and *T. reesei* (Joint Genome Institute, University of California, http://genome.jgi-psf.org), while fourteen arabinofuranosidases belonging to GH 43 51 and 62 families were found compared to thirteen in *A. niger* and three in *T. reesei*, rendering *M. thermophila* a promising source of hemicellulolytic enzymes. Studying the secretome of *M. thermophila* after 30 h of growth in barley and alfalfa straws, it was found to comprise of 683 predicted proteins, 230 of which are proteins with unknown function (Berka et al., 2011). Based on transcriptome analysis, many of these proteins were found at the secretome, including accessory enzymes, hypothetical proteins and proteins with unknown function that were upregulated when the fungus is grown up in more complex substrates, such as
agricultural straws, compared to glucose. This is an indication of their crucial role in lignocellulose degradation (Berka et al., 2011). *M. thermophila* grows in temperatures between 25 and 55°C, while a relative growth performance study on mycobroth agar plates indicated that the optimum condition is at 45°C (Morgenstern et al., 2012). At a suboptimal temperature (30°C), when grown on cellulose, the conidia tend to form a very limited mycelium that precociously develops asexual reproductive structures (microcycle conidiation). Although the mechanism of this cellular response is not understood, microcycle conidiation (Figure 2.9) may be a survival strategy of producing propagules in the shortest possible time under suboptimal conditions (Maheshwari et al., 2000). The temperature optima for several enzymes with the same specific activity that are produced and have been characterized from *M. thermophila*, range from 50°C to 70°C. For example, STEG5 endoglucanase, expressed in *A. niger*, exhibits a *T*_opt of 70°C (Tambor et al., 2012), while recombinant MtEG7 expressed in *Pichia pastoris* exhibited an optimal temperature of 60°C (Karnaouri et al., 2014). The same characteristic is also observed for *M. thermophila* xylanases expressed in *A. niger*, showing optimal activity at temperatures between 50°C and 70°C (Berka et al., 2011), underpinning the enzymatic potential that is not only diverse in catalytic activities, but also in properties increasing its efficiency in various temperatures.

Apart from the consortium of enzymes that are encoded by *M. thermophila's* genome for the degradation of lignocellulosic biomass, a number of thermostable enzymes with important industrial applications are also produced. Among them are broad-specificity HAP- phytases (myoinositol hexakisphosphate phosphohydrolases) that are efficient in breaking down phytic acid and can be used for supplementing livestock feed with phosphorus (Singh & Satyanaraxana, 2010) and laccases that can act as clean substitutes for harmful chemical reagents used in the paper and pulp industry and textile dyes (Berka et al., 1997). Laccases are also useful in ecological restoration through soil bioremediation and ability to degrade rubber as well as to polymerize lignin from waste material from the kraft process, which can be further burned for energy production or used as raw materials for a variety of new products (Gargulak and Lebo, 2000) obtained by processes involving enzymatic or chemical modifications (Sena-Martins et al., 2008). *M. thermophila* is also able to produce a polygalacturonase (PGase), an enzyme with pectinase activity which hydrolyze complex polysaccharides mainly composed of D-galacturonic acid, and has applications in the food and textile
industries (Kaur et al., 2004). Biosynthesis of fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), such as 1-kestose, 6-kestose and neokestose, has been reported during growth of the fungus on media containing high sucrose concentrations (Katapodis et al., 2004). These oligosaccharides are currently used as feed supplements (Kim et al., 2000) and once they are consumed, they stimulate the growth of *Bifidobacteria* in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals with important associated (Kunz & Rudloff, 1993). They comprise a safe food for diabetics, since they are hardly hydrolyzed by the digestive enzymes (Yun, 1996) and they have been related to the decrease in total cholesterol, triglyceride and phospholipid levels in the serum (Rivello-Urgell & Santamaria-Orleans, 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific activity</th>
<th>CAZy module(s)</th>
<th>No id. seq.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cellulases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endoglucanases</td>
<td>GH 5, 7, 12, 45</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>celllobiohydrolases</td>
<td>GH 6, 7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-glucosidases</td>
<td>GH 1, 3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xylanases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xylanases</td>
<td>GH 10, 11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xylosidases</td>
<td>GH 3, 43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arabinases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endoarabinases</td>
<td>GH 43</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exo-arabinases / arabinofuranosidases</td>
<td>GH 43, 51, 62</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mannanases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endomannananas</td>
<td>GH 5, 26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mannosidas</td>
<td>GH 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pectinases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polygalacturonases</td>
<td>GH28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhamnosidases</td>
<td>GH78</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pectin lyases</td>
<td>PL1, PL3, PL4, PL20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pectin esterases</td>
<td>CE 8, 12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Esterases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feruloyl esterases</td>
<td>CE 1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetyl esterases</td>
<td>CE 3, 5, 16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetylmannanesterases</td>
<td>CE 12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glycuronyl esterases</td>
<td>CE 15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.2.** Number of predicted CAZymes encoded in the genome of *M. thermophila*. GHs, Glycoside hydrolases; CE(s), carbohydrate esterases and PLs, polysaccharide lyases are included, covering the most of the recognized families.
Figure 2.9. Microcycle conidiation in *Myceliophthora thermophila* at 30°C. (A) Oval asexual spores in a germ ring, after 24 hour incubation of the fungus in shake cultures with shredded Whatman filter paper as the carbon source. The insoluble particle is a piece of cellulose fiber. (B) Precocious differentiation of asexual spores after 72 hours. The germinated conidium is indicated by an arrow (phase-contrast micrographs). Conidia appear on short protrusions, singly or in small groups on ampulliform swellings, obovoidal to pyriform, hyaline, with the size between 4.5–11.0 x 3.0–4.5 μm. They are rough-walled initially and become smooth walled in old cultures, with thick walls. (Maheshwari *et al*., 2000).
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2.3 *Pichia pastoris* as a methylotrophic yeast

The ability of certain yeast species to utilize methanol as a sole source of carbon and energy was discovered less than 40 years ago by Koichi Ogata. Several years later, in the early 1980s, Phillips Petroleum with the Salk Institute Biotechnology/Industrial Associate Inc. (SIBIA), a biotechnology company located in La Jolla, Calif., developed and established *Pichia pastoris* as a heterologous gene expression system. Nowadays, the methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris* is a well established eukaryotic host for the production of heterologous proteins preferentially secreted into the medium to simplify further down-stream procedures (Cereghino *et al.*, 2002; Cereghino & Cregg, 2000).

*P. pastoris* became popular due to the availability of strong inducible and constitutive promoter systems for recombinant protein expression, strong tendency for respiratory growth as opposed to fermentative growth and extremely high cell density fermentation in excess of 100 g/l dry weight (Macauley-Patrick *et al.*, 2005). This methylotrophic yeast is a eukaryote, so its intracellular environment is generally more suitable for correct folding of eukaryotic proteins and it has the ability of post-translational disulfide bond formation, proteolytic processing and processing of signal sequences, disulfide bridge formation, certain types of lipid addition, and O- and N-linked glycosylation, which may be crucial for biological activity. Moreover, when used as heterologous host, the stable integration of expression plasmids at specific sites in *P. pastoris* genome, and the simplicity of techniques needed for the molecular genetic manipulation, such as DNA-mediated transformation, gene targeting, gene replacement, and cloning by functional complementation, render it a promiscuous candidate for heterologous protein expression (Higgins 1998; Cereghino & Cregg, 2000).

*P. pastoris* is a member of *Ascomycete* yeasts, a monophyletic lineage with a single order of about 1000 known species. Asexual production of this genus is described by yeast cells arising by multilateral budding on a narrow base. *P. pastoris* remains haploid unless forced to mate. Strains with complementary markers can be mated by subjecting them to a nitrogen-limited medium. Conjugation between a cell and its bud or between independent cells may occur, leading to the formation of ascosporogenous four-hat-shaped ascospores, indicating this species is homothallic. After 3 days incubation at
25°C, plate culture on agar consists of cells spherical to ovoid in shape, 2–4 x 2.2 - 5.8 μm which occur singly or in pairs, cream colored, dull to faintly glistening and butyrous. Neither pseudohyphae nor true hyphae are produced (Kurtzman and Robnett, 1998). *P. pastoris' generation time is approximately 90 min in liquid medium containing yeast extract, peptone and dextrose (YPD), and approximately 3 h in defined medium containing yeast nitrogen base with ammonium sulfate and without amino acids and dextrose (YPD). With methanol as sole carbon source and a defined culture medium, the generation time is around 5 h (Cregg et al., 2009). *Pichia pastoris* is phylogenetically distinct from other methanol yeasts and has been reassigned to the newly described genus *Komagataella* following phylogenetic analysis of gene sequences (Yamada et al., 1995). The type strain of *Komagataella pastoris* (synonym *Pichia pastoris*) was isolated from a chestnut tree in France (Guilliermond 1919), but the majority of *P. pastoris* strains have been isolated from exudates and rotten wood from a variety of trees growing in Europe (Diauchy et al., 2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kingdom</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subphylum</td>
<td>Saccharomycotina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Saccharomycetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Saccharomycetales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Phaffomycetaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Komagataella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td><em>Komagataella pastoris</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.3.** Taxonomy of *Komagataella pastoris* (synonym *P. pastoris*) and synonyms of the species (UniProt taxonomy ID: 4922).
Figure 2.10. *Pichla pastoris* X33 cells growing at fermentor, showing normal budding phenotype, as well as two buds attached to the mother cell (Mickey Mouse-like appearance). Basal Salts Medium, pH 5.0, methanol used as carbon source, magnitude x40 (left) and x100 (right).

2.3.1 Methanol and Glycerol utilization Pathway at *Pichia pastoris*

Methylotrophic yeasts, belonging to genera of *Pichia, Hansenula, Torulopsis* and *Candida*, possess a general methanol utilization pathway (MUT pathway) that is highly compartmentalized in methanol-induced micro bodies, peroxisomes, and cytoplasm (Faber *et al*., 1995). This metabolic pathway involves several unique enzymes, which are present at substantial levels only when the cells are grown on methanol. In this metabolic pathway, peroxisomes play an indispensable role since they harbor the three key enzymes, alcohol oxidase, catalase and dihydroxyacetone synthase. The subsequent reactions in methanol assimilation and dissimilation pathway are localized in the cytosol (Veenhuis *et al*., 1983).

Methanol enters the peroxisome and the enzyme alcohol oxidase (AOX) catalyzes the first step in the dissimilation pathway, the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde and hydrogen peroxide, utilizing molecular oxygen as an electron acceptor. AOX is sequestered within the peroxisome along with catalase, which further decomposes hydrogen peroxide to oxygen and water. Catalases can either catalytically or peroxidatively; which reaction predominates is difficult to establish (Rose & Harrison, 1989). The formaldehyde generated from the methanol oxidation enters both the dissimilatory pathway to yield energy and the assimilatory pathway for generation of biomass. In the dissimilatory pathway, a portion of the formaldehyde generated by AOX enters the cytosol, where it forms a complex with reduced glutathione, S-
formylglutathione, and is further oxidized to carbon dioxide by two subsequent NAD$^+$-dependent dehydrogenase reactions which are a source of energy for cells growing on methanol. In the first step, formaldehyde dehydrogenase catalyzes the production of formate, from which carbon dioxide is generated by the action of formate dehydrogenase (Subramani 1998).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>Reaction catalysed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General mode</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{RH}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{R}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalatic</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Peroxidatic    | $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$  
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{HCHO} \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$  
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ |

Table 2.4. Possible reactions catalysed by catalase during methanol metabolism in yeast (Veenhuis et al., 1983). The reaction starts with the formation of a primarily complex between $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and Fe$^{+3}$ of the catalase prosthetic group, which reacts either with another $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ molecule for the catalatic reaction, or with a hydrogen donor for the peroxidatic reaction.

In the assimilatory pathway, formaldehyde that remains in the peroxisome reacts with xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P). In this reaction catalyzed by dlhydroxyacetone synthase, two C$3$ compounds, dihydroxyacetone (DHA) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), are produced, in a transketolase reaction between formaldehyde and Xu5P (van Dljken et al., 1978). Dihydroxyacetone is phosphorylated by cytosolic dihydroxyacetone kinase. In a subsequent aldolase reaction with GAP, it forms fructose-1,6-biphosphate which is converted to fructose-6-phosphate by a phosphatase. These compounds are further metabolized in the cytosol to eventually regain xylulose-5-phosphate in a cyclic pathway including transaldolase, transketolase, pentose phosphate isomerase and epimerase reactions. One-third of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate produced becomes available for central metabolism and the generation of biomass. The flux of formaldehyde generated from methanol over the oxidative or the biosynthetic pathways is strictly regulated. Regeneration of Xu5Pin the assimilation pathway needs ATP, so in case of intracellular energy limitation, there is no available substrate for dihydroxyacetone synthase, resulting in an increase of formaldehyde.
available for energy production (Rose & Harrison, 1989). The final reaction during the assimilatory pathway is described below:

$$3 \text{HCHO} + 3\text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{1GAP} + 3\text{AOP} + 2\text{Pi}$$

**Figure 2.11.** Methanol metabolism pathways and their compartmentation in methylotrophic yeasts. (Hartner & Gleded, 2006).

**AOX:** alcohol oxidase (EC 1.1.3.13), **CAT:** catalase (EC 1.11.1.6), **FID:** formaldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.1), **FGH:** S-formylglutathione hydrolase (EC 3.1.2.12), **FOH:** formate dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.2), **DAS:** dihydroxyacetone synthase (EC 2.2.1.3), **TPI:** triosephosphate isomerase (EC 5.3.1.1), **OAK:** dihydroxyacetone kinase (EC 2.7.1.29), **FBA:** fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (EC 4.1.21.13), **FBP:** fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase (EC 3.1.3.11), **MFS:** methylformate synthase; **DHA:** dihydroxyacetone, **GAP:** glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, **DHAP:** dihydroxyacetone phosphate, **F1:** 6BP: fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, **F6P:** fructose 6-phosphate, **P1:** phosphate, **XUSP:** xylulose 5-phosphate, **GSH:** glutathione, **PVR:** pyruvate; **PPP:** pentose phosphate pathway, **TCA:** tricarboxylic acid cycle.
Regulation of methanol metabolism in yeast is a very complex process including control of synthesis and activation of the corresponding enzymes as well as their degradation. Synthesis of methanol metabolizing enzymes is induced by methanol, formaldehyde, and formate and is repressed by glucose, ethanol and glycerol (Egli et al., 1980; Unrean 2014).

Glycerol is utilized as a carbon source under aerobic condition by methylotrophic yeast. The catabolic pathway involves passive diffusion across the plasma membrane, phosphorylation by a glycerol kinase, and oxidation by a mitochondrial glycerol phosphate ubiquinone oxireductase (Gancedo et al., 1968). Glycerol enters glycolysis after its conversion to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, and requires respiration to dispose of NADH in order to serve as an energy source. Previous studies (Chiruvolu, 1998) revealed that during the batch, fed-batch, or induction phase, the use of glycerol results in ethanol production, resulting subsequently to repression of the AOX1 promoter.

2.3.2. Pichia pastoris expression system

Advantages of the Pichia expression system, as described above in detail, include not only growth to very high cell densities in simple defined medium, but also strongly inducible promoters (Cregg et al., 1993). The importance of this expression system is underscored by its commercialization by Invitrogen as the Pichia pastoris Expression System (Invitrogen Corporation, Sarlsbad, CA, USA). Expression of any heterologous gene in P. pastoris includes primarily the insertion of the gene of interest into an appropriate expression vector, following by the introduction of the recombinant vector into the P. pastoris genome and finally, the evaluation and phenotype analysis of the strains for the foreign gene product. P. pastoris' genome structure allows the homologous recombination between genomic and artificially introduced DNAs. Cleavage of a P. pastoris vector within a sequence shared by the host genome stimulates homologous recombination events that efficiently target integration of the vector to that genomic locus (Cregg and Madden, 1987). A variety of P. pastoris expression vectors and host strains are available. All P. pastoris expression strains are derived from NRRL-Y 11430 (Northern Regional Research Laboratories, Peoria, IL). Most of them have one or more auxotrophic mutations which allow for selection of expression
vectors containing the appropriate selectable marker gene upon transformation. All of these strains grow on complex media but require supplementation with the appropriate nutrient for growth on minimal media. Several protease-deficient strains have been shown to be effective in reducing degradation of some foreign proteins (White et al., 1995). This is especially noticeable in fermenter cultures, because the combination of high cell density and lysis of a small percentage of cells results in a relatively high concentration of these vacuolar proteases (Goodrick et al., 2001).

The AOX1 promoter has been the most widely reported and utilized of all the available promoters for *P. pastoris* (Cereghino et al., 2001). AOX1 and AOX2 are two genes with 97% homology that encode alcohol oxidase (AOX, EC 1.1.3.13) activity in the cell and catalyze the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde, generating hydrogen peroxide within peroxisome. Alcohol oxidase has a poor affinity for oxygen, and *P. pastoris* compensates for this by generating large amounts of the enzyme. Both AOX1 and AOX2 are regulated in a similar manner. The regulation involves both repression- derepression and induction mechanisms (Cregg et al., 1989). The AOX1 promoter regulates 85% of the alcohol oxidase activity in the cell, but is repressed at transcription level by unlimited growth on ethanol, glycerol or glucose. In cells fed with methanol at growth-limiting rates in fermenter cultures, AOX levels are dramatically induced, constituting >90% of total soluble protein (Couderc & Baratti, 1980). The majority of heterologous protein production in *P. pastoris* is based on the fact that enzymes required for the metabolism of methanol are only present when cells are grown on methanol (Egli et al., 1980), so AOX promoter has been the most successful system reported for this organism. The 'AOX1 promoter-Gene X' expression cassette is inserted into the *Pichia* genome along an existing marker for selection of transformed cells. Existing markers include genes that encode for enzymes of a biosynthetic pathway (HIS4 for histidinol dehydrogenase, ARG4 for argininosuccinate lyase, URA3 for orotidine 5P-phosphate decarboxylase) or antibiotic resistance genes, such as the *Sh ble* gene from *Streptococcalsalloteichus hindustanus* which confers resistance to the bleomycin-related antibiotic zeocin (Cregg 1985; Higgins 1998; Cregg and Madden 1989; Cereghino et al., 1999). Zeocin causes cell death by intercalating into DNA and induces double strand breaks of the DNA. Cu²⁺ give the blue color. In a zeocin resistant strain, when *Sh ble* gene is expressed, the produced polypeptide bounds the drug, thus transforming it into an inactive form.
The strong inducibility of AOX1 promoter, the alternative weaker AOX2-mediated methanol oxidation and the tight regulation of AOX1 promoter variants (Hartner and Glieder, 2006) allow the design of different expression strains with specific properties. Apart from the wild strain X33, possessing functional AOX1 and AOX2 genes (Mut\(^+\) strain, methanol utilization plus), several other phenotypes have been constructed and are used for the production of heterologous proteins in case, depending on the product. Mut\(^{S}\) strain (methanol utilization slow) is generated when AOX1 is knocked out and Mut\(^-\) (methanol utilization minus) strain is unable to grow on methanol as the sole carbon source, as both the AOX1 and AOX2 genes are disrupted (Cregg et al., 1989).

![Figure 2.12. Insertion of the plasmid 5' to the intact AOX1 locus of *Pichia pastoris* X33 (Mut\(^+\)) results in Integration of AOX1 promoter, foreign gene of interest and *Sh ble* zeocin resistance gene. TT represents the AOX1 transcription termination region (TT). In addition, for secretion of foreign proteins, most vectors possess a secretion signal, such as *S. cerevisiae* α-factor. The *S. cerevisiae* α-factor prepro-signal is the most widely used and successful secretion signal, being in some cases better than the leader sequence of the native heterologous protein. All expression vectors have been designed as *Escherichia coli* / *P. pastoris* shuttle vectors, containing an origin of replication for plasmid maintenance in *E. coli* and markers functional in one or both organisms.](image-url)
Apart from the AOX promoters that have therefore been the most widely utilized, other promoter options are available for the production of foreign proteins in *Pichia*, and these are discussed comprehensively by Cereghino & Cregg, 2000. Alternative promoters are the *P. pastoris* constitutively expressed GAP promoter, the FLD1 promoter which offers the flexibility to induce expression using either methanol or methylamine, an inexpensive nontoxic nitrogen source, and the moderately expressing promoters PEX8 and YPT1, used in order to prevent proteins from being misfolded or unprocessed during post-translational modifications under high levels of expression (Brierley, 1998).

**Post translational modification: Glycosylation and Proteolysis**

**Glycosylation patterns**

As a eukaryote, *P. pastoris* exhibits a variety of post-translational modifications; O- and N-linked glycosylation is one of the most common performed by this yeast. Glycosylation occurs in the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum after protein translation. A variety of native proteins are glycosylated, so it is necessary for them to have the appropriate glycosylation patterns when expressed heterologously, in order to ensure and maintain their biological activity. Unlike *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that tends to hyperglycosylate N-linked sugars of expressed proteins (50 - 150 mannose units), *P. pastoris* glycosylation is characterized by shorter patterns, more similar to that of higher eukaryotes with 8-14 mannose residues per chain (Breithauer & Castellino, 1999).

*P. pastoris*, like other yeasts and fungi, add O-oligosaccharides to the hydroxyl side groups (-OH) of serine and threonine of secreted proteins. These are composed only of mannose residues and tend to have more simple structure than those of higher eukaryotic cells. *Pichia* is possible to glycosylate heterologous proteins that are not normally glycosylated by the native host or, it may glycosylate proteins on different serine and threonine residues than the post translational mechanism of the native host (Cereghino and Cregg, 2000). Glycosylation may increase the molecular weight of the expressed protein up to 20 kDa higher than the theoretical one, as in case of glucoamylase catalytic domain from *Aspergillus awamori*; glycosylation patterns may
alter among heterologous proteins and in some cases and they may be responsible for modifying the function of the molecule (Mochizuki et al., 2001).

Apart from O-glycoylation, P. pastoris adds N-oligosaccharides to the –NH₂ side group of Asn residues of the proteins. A pre-assembled oligosaccharide unit consisting of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), mannose (Man) and glucose (Glc), (Glc3Man9GlcNAc2), is transferred from dolichyl pyrophosphate to amide groups of asparagine residues, in the lumen of the ER by the enzyme UDP-GlcNAc : dolichol PGlcNAc - transferase (Dennis et al., 1999). Prior to transfer, the oligosaccharide is bound to the dolichole molecule through a high energy pyrophosphoric bond and this is driving force for the transfer of it. The majority of glycosylation patterns result from downstream subsequent modification. In the secretory pathway, the oligosaccharide is trimmed further by the removal of the three glucose residues by glucosidase II and I. The α-1,2-linked mannose residue is also removed by α-1,2-mannosidases to give Man₈GlcNAc2 (Lis & Sharon, 1993). Further processing to cis - Golgi involves mannoses are added and the oligomannose units can be linked α-1,6 to the α-1,3 mannose in the Man α-1,3-Man-β-1,4-GlcNAc2 inner core (Herscovics & Orlean, 1993).

**Figure 2.13.** Representative Structure of the core pre-assembled oligosaccharide transferred to asparagine residues and the common O-linked glucans (Man₄ –Ser/Thr). The branch point where further oligosaccharides are added during further processing of N-glycosytated proteins to cis - Golgi is indicated by the star. High mannose content is typical of yeast glycosylation.
Heterogeneity in glycosylation is a result of differences in oligosaccharide-protein populations in terms of the type, length and identity of the oligosaccharides added to Asn-X-Ser/Thr sequons, resulting in protein products whose micro-properties, such as the isoelectric point, vary slightly. The glycoprotein variations may be dependent on the surrounding residues (Lis & Sharon, 1993). Even in the same glycosylation Golgi machinery of one cell, it is possible for two proteins to be differently glycosylated and has been proposed that this heterogeneity is related to specific sequences recognized by the various glycosylation systems. It has been observed that some proteins heterologously expressed in \textit{P. pastoris} vary considerably in terms of the number of the mannose units added to the same polysaccharide core (Daly and Hearn 2005).

\textit{Proteolysis}

Proteolysis is of indispensable importance for the control and execution of many cellular events. Proteolytic enzymes are designed to act either in the intracellular or the extracellular environment. The former are involved in processes such as removal of signal peptides after translocation of proteins through membranes, processing of Inactive precursors (zymogens/proenzymes) to generate fully active proteins, inactivation of short-lived regulatory molecules and degradation of proteins that arise from mutations, misfolding, transcription or translation errors. The latter are secreted to the culture medium and digest proteins for supplementation of peptides and amino acids for nutrition. Based on the mode of action, proteases are divided into those hydrolyzing peptide bonds within polypeptide chain, endoproteinases, and those acting on the carboxyl- or amino-terminal region, exopeptidases. Based on the features of active site, proteolytic enzymes belong to four major classes: serine, metallo-, thiol and aspartyl proteases. Serine proteases often have a higher pH optimum, whereas aspartyl proteases a lower pH optimum (Dery \textit{et al.}, 1998; Tang & Wong, 1987).

The protease system that occurs in \textit{P. pastoris} is similar to this described in \textit{S. cerevisiae} and is organized in three main groups of enzymes: vacuolar (lysosomal) proteases, proteases located along with the secretory pathway and the cytosolic vacuoles contain various proteases whose levels vary according to the nutritional conditions. A total of seven vacuolar proteases are known: two endoproteinases,
proteinase A (PrA) and proteinase B (PrB); two carboxypeptidases, carboxypeptidases Y and S (CpY, CpS); two aminopeptidases, aminopeptidases I and Co (Apl, ApCo) and dipeptidyl aminopeptidase B (DPAPB). All the above enzymes are soluble within the vacuole, except DPAP-B which found in the vacuolar membrane. The aspartyl protease proteinase A, encoded by the gene \textit{PEPE4} is capable of self-activation and subsequent activation of other vacuolar proteases, such as carboxypeptidase Y and proteinase B. Proteinase B is encoded by the \textit{PRBI} gene and is a member of the subtilisin family of serine proteases. The proteases of the secretory pathway, which are mainly located in the Golgi apparatus and the plasmatic membrane, act to process precursors to one or more secreted peptides (Flores et al., 1999). This group consists of signal peptidase, Kex2 endoprotease and Kex1 carboxypeptidase, dipeptidyl aminopeptidase A and yeast aspartyl protease III (Yap3 protease) (Zhang et al., 2007). The cytosolic proteasome of \textit{Pichia pastoris} is a multicatalytic protease system, responsible for the selection and rapid degradation of short-lived proteins and proteins that are detrimental to the cell growth. The interplay between vacuolar and proteasome proteolysis is a key regulatory cell process, particularly in response to stress (van den Hazel et al., 1996).

The most prominent proteolytic enzymes are of vacuolar origin and they comprise the major source of problems with degradation of secreted recombinant proteins expressed in \textit{P. pastoris}, particularly under conditions of nutrient deprivation. These enzymes are non-specific and do not require ATP. Problems that can be caused involve either total degradation of product and reduction of yield or loss of biological activity when the product is truncated. Moreover, degradation intermediates can cause contamination in downstream processing, because of their similar physico-chemical and affinity characteristics (Macauley-Patrick et al., 2005). In general, the secreted recombinant proteins can potentially be proteolytically degraded in the culture medium by extracellular proteases, cell-bound proteases (Kang et al., 2000) and/or by intracellular proteases from lysed cells. Signs of proteolysis include low recombinant protein levels and active or immunoreactive products that are smaller than the full-length product. Degraded protein can also run as a "smear" after SDS-PAGE, running from approximately the correct size of the product to smaller sizes. Although secreted recombinant proteins do not go to the vacuole, they can contact proteases in the culture medium from the lysis of a small portion of the cells. In fermenters, the concentration of other cellular materials, such as proteases, increases as well in high-
cell density culture. Proteolytic degradation has been a perpetual problem since yeast has been employed to express recombinant proteins, especially in fermenters (Van Den Hazel et al., 1996). Peroxisome biogenesis has been shown a high dependency on methanol consumption. The proliferation of peroxisomes is inhibited in a medium containing glucose and stimulated in the methanol phase under a carbon-limited fed-batch culture condition, which can cause problems at initial inducing phase of fermentation (Kim et al., 2013).

Several strategies, based on engineering of the protein structure to resist the protease (protein level strategies), modification of cultivation conditions and selection of protease deficient host strains, are used to overcome proteolytic degradation. Protein level strategies are based on the molecular engineering of amino acid sequences non-essential for the function of the protein, in order to modify or delete sequences recognized by proteases (Gustavsson et al., 2001).

Cultivation level strategies include changing the medium composition or the incubation conditions (Jahic et al., 2003). P. pastoris is capable of growing across a relatively broad pH range from 3.0 to 7.0. This range has little or no effect on the growth rate, allowing adjustment of pH to one that causes limited protease activity. Different pH values were found to be optimal regarding production levels and protein stability. For example, pH 6.0 was found to be optimal for production recombinant mouse epidermal factor (Clare et al., 1991), but pH 3.0 was optimal in case of Insulin-like growth factor I (Brierley, 1998). Medium composition and addition of amino acid rich supplements, such as peptone or casamino acids, to the culture medium has been shown to reduce protein degradation. The peptone can act as alternative and competing substrate for proteases and can also repress protease Induction caused by nitrogen limitation (Macauley-Patrick et al., 2005). Addition of protease inhibitors in bioreactor has also been reported to result in higher yields (Holmquist et al., 1997). There is a positive effect of reduced growth temperature on the secretion of heterologous proteins into the culture supernatant. Even though 20 and 30°C temperature set-points correspond to 60 and 100% of the maximum specific growth rate ($\mu_{\text{max}}$) respectively, a reduction of temperature from 30 to 20°C has been reported to result in a 3-fold increase of the specific productivity of the expressed recombinant protein (Dragosits et al., 2009). A significant amount of proteins, including mainly protein fragments and proteins, which are involved in amino acid metabolism, RNA
and ribosome biogenesis, genome maintenance and proteasomal components, have been shown to expressed in increased levels at lower cultivation temperature (20°C). Lower cultivation temperature can influence yields of recombinant proteins since the rate of proteolysis is lowered for the pure thermodynamic reason. Moreover, prevents from cell lysis and release of protease activity in fermentation media, increases cell viability and enhanced protein folding (Hong et al., 2002).

Cell level strategies include the use of host strains that are defective in the vacuolar proteases. SMD1163 (his4 pep4 prb1), SMD1165 (his4 prb1), SMD1168 (his4 pep4) and SMD1168H (his4) are protease deficient strains (Goodrick et al., 2001). The pep4 and prb1 genes encode proteinase A and B respectively, as mentioned above. Proteinase A is responsible for the activation of other vacuolar proteases. Proteinase B, prior to its processing and activation of proteinase A, exhibits about half the activity of the processed enzyme and carboxypeptidase Y appears to be completely inactive prior to proteinase A-mediated proteolytic activation. Therefore, pep4 mutants display a substantial decreased or eliminated activity of proteinase A and carboxypeptidase Y, and a partial reduction of proteinase B activity. In the prb1 mutant, only proteinase B activity is eliminated, whereas pep4 prb1 double mutants show a substantial reduction or elimination of all three of these protease activities. Protease-deficient strains, combined with other strategies to reduce proteolysis, have been invaluable in the production of laccase (Jonsson et al., 1997) and therapeutic cytokine human granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (Babu et al., 2008). Despite the advantages that they offer, these strains are not as vigorous and robust as wild-type strains. In addition to lower viability, they exhibit lower growth rate, are more difficult to transform and require greater care in growth and storage.

2.3.3. Fermentation of Pichia pastoris using the P_{AUX1}

P. pastoris exhibits a preference for respiratory growth, a major advantage relative to S. cerevisiae. In high cell density cultures, ethanol (the product of S. cerevisiae fermentation) may reach toxic levels, acting as a limiting factor for further growth and recombinant protein production. In contrast, P. pastoris (Mut+) can be cultured aerobically (DOT > 20% air saturation) at extremely high densities (100 g/l dry weight) in the controlled environment of the fermentor with high oxygen transfer.
capabilities and efficient cooling systems are crucial (Schilling et al., 2001). Bioreactor controlled cultivation is also required to maintain the methanol concentration at a specified level, not exceeding the maximum methanol consumption rate of the cells (Jahic et al., 2006).

Fermentation protocols for *Pichia* generally include three separate phases, glycerol batch phase, glycerol fed-batch phase and methanol fed-batch phase. First is the glycerol batch phase, in which cells are initially grown in a batch mode (in a simple, defined medium) with the repressing carbon source, glycerol in order to accumulate biomass, but no recombinant protein. Repression of the PAOX1 ensures that a high cell density is reached before the beginning of the recombinant protein expression and any adverse effect that may be caused by methanol. Maximum specific growth rate on glycerol for *P. pastoris* (Mut+) has been reported to be 0.26 h⁻¹ compared to 0.14 h⁻¹ for methanol (von Stockar et al., 2007). In addition, the cell viability is higher for cells grown on glycerol compared to those grown on methanol which have the additional benefit of reducing release of degrading proteases from cell lysis in the cultivation medium.

In the second phase, the glycerol fed-batch phase, a limited glycerol feed is initiated following exhaustion of the glycerol, and cell mass is increased to a desired concentration level prior to methanol induction. The exponential feed of glycerol results in a slight increase in alcohol oxidase activity and, a subsequent expression of low amounts of recombinant protein. This is attributed to the fact that the PAOX1 promoter is de-repressed during this phase due to the absence of excess glycerol produced, allowing the cells to tolerate higher initial feed rates of methanol in the methanol fed-batch phase. The transition to the methanol feeding has been reported to be smoother when this short glycerol phase was inserted, compared to the case when no exponential glycerol feed was applied, when a considerable cell death and a much longer adaptation to methanol were observed (Jahic et al., 2002).

Finally, in the methanol fed-batch Induction phase, methanol is added to the culture to induce expression of the recombinant protein. This step is typically started with very low feed rates of methanol to allow the cells fully adapt to methanol metabolism. During the adaptation period the cells generate peroxisomes and the adequate enzymes required for methanol metabolism (van der Klei et al., 2006). When
the shift of carbon sources has been completed, the methanol feed rate is increased upward exponentially in order to maintain constant specific growth rate until the oxygen transfer ultimately limits further increase. Careful control of the methanol concentration in bioreactor cultivations is crucial for process development, as too high concentrations will be toxic to the cells, and too low levels may not be enough to induce AOX transcription (Cos et al., 2006).

Figure 2.14. For scale-up cultivation of *P. pastoris*, a three-phase fermentation scheme is employed. Inoculum from primary culture in defined medium (BMMY, buffered complex glycerol medium, as recommended by Invitrogen) is inoculated to bioreactor. After 24 hours of batch glycerol phase. A 4 hour limited glycerol feed phase starts, followed by a fed-batch methanol induction phase.

Several limitations to standard *P. pastoris* methanol fed-batch cultivation arise and most of them are attributed to catabolite repression of AOX promoter and proteolysis of recombinant proteins (Zhang et al., 2010). AOX1 is catabolite-repressed at non-growth-limiting rate, and its activity is much higher at the initial phase of methanol induction than later in cultivation. Lysis of cells during cultivation results in vacuolar proteases release, as described above. Utilization of methanol as a carbon source puts particularly high demands on the oxygen and heat transfer capabilities of the bioreactor system, due to high oxygen consumption rates of methanol grown cells and high heat generation related to methanol dissimilation (Schilling et al., 2001). Moreover, when recombinant proteins are produced at exaggerate levels, it is more
likely that proteins with aberrant folding properties or altered glycosylation patterns may provoke inefficient product secretion or endoplasmic retention of these molecules. This leads to the activation of unfolded protein response (UPR) or endoplasmic reticulum associated degradation (ERAD) cell mechanisms, causing lower yield of biologically active protein expressed (Vanz et al., 2014). Lower temperature during cultivation (20°C) may lead to generally higher stability of proteins and reduced degradation mechanisms, resulting in a higher secretion capacity.
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CHAPTER 3

Materials and Methods

3.1. Equipment - Chemicals

Equipment available at National Technical University of Athens (NTUA) and Luleå Tekniska Universitet (LTU) used in this thesis is listed below:

- pH-meter 537 (WTW, Germany)
- Systec V-100 (Systec GmbH, Germany) vertical Top Loading Autoclave
- Eppendorf Thermomixer Comfort Incubator (Eppendorf, Germany)
- Temperature and agitation controlled water-baths and table incubators
- Ostwald glass viscometer
- Anton Paar Physica MCR rheometer (Anton Paar GmbH, Austria), with a parallel plate system with roughened plates
- Mini-PROTEAN 3 protein electrophoresis cell (BIORAD, U.S.)
- Floor model incubator shakers ZHWY-211C, ZHICHENG Analytical Instruments Manufacturing Co. Ltd (China).
- Fraction collector (Waters, Millipore, U.S.)
- Gradient thermal cycler TC-512 TECHNE (U.S.)
- Low speed lab shaker Orbit LS, Labnet (U.K.)
- Electroporation cell Micropulser™ BIORAD (U.S.)
- Agarose electrophoresis cell Easigel H1-set, Scie-plas (U.K.)
- Amicon Ultrafiltration apparatus Stirred Cell Model 8400, 400 mL and PM-10 membranes (Amicon, Millipore, U.S.)
- Gel imaging and analysis system InGenius BioImaging, Syngene (U.K.) equipped with GeneSnap v6.05 and GeneTools v3.06 software.
- Ultrapure Water Systems Direct-Q (Millipore, U.S.)
- Low-pressure protein purification system ECONO gradient pump, BIORAD, U.S.) equipped with UV detector (280 nm)
• ÄKTA Prime Plus liquid chromatography system, equipped with Prime View 5.31 software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences)
• 3 L glass autoclavable Applikon bioreactors, equipped with an ez-Control system (Applikon Biotechnology B.V., Netherlands)
• High-performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC), with a pulsed amperometric detector equipped with a gold electrode (PAD), equipped with a CarboPac PA-1 (4 x 250 mm, Dionex) column, Jasco PU 1580i pump (Japan) and Clarity Version 2.3.3.124 software (DataApex, Czech Republic)
• Refrigerated floor model Centrifuge J-20XP, J2-21 and TJ-6, Beckman Coulter (U.S.), ALC 4239R (U.K.) και Eppendorf Brinkmann micro-entrifuge Eppendorf 3200 (Germany)
• Tunable Microplate Reader SPECTRAmax 250 (Molecular Devices, U.S.)
• Spectrophotometer Hitachi UV 2000
• Novex® XCell SureLock™ Mini-Cell system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, U.S.) with pre-casted Nu-PAGE® Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris gels

Chemicals, which were used in this thesis, were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, U.S.) and AppliChem (Germany). Plastic and glass consumables were obtained from Greiner-Bio One (Germany), Sterilin Limited (U.K.), SCHOTT AG (Germany), Eppendorf (Germany), Whatman (U.K.), Millipore (U.S.) και ROTH (U.S.). The reagents for molecular biology experiments (enzymes, buffer solutions, nucleotides) were obtained from Fermentas (U.S.) New England Biolabs® Inc., (U.S.), Takara BIO Inc., (Japan), Invitrogen (U.S.) Invivogen (France), Novagen (U.S.), Clontech (U.S.), EMD Biosciences (Germany), Stratagene (U.S.), BIORAD Laboratories (U.S.). DNA and RNA Purification and Elution Kits were from Sigma-Aldrich (U.S.) and Qiagen (Hilden, Germany).

All primers were ordered from VBC Genomics (Vienna, Austria). According to the manual the lyophilised primers were dissolved with sterile water. After incubation for 15 minutes at 65°C the primer stock solution was diluted 1:10 to a concentration of 10 mM before it was used for further experiments. All Primers were stored at -20°C.
3.2. Buffer solutions

All buffers listed below were prepared with distilled water, apart from those used in molecular biology experiments that were used with ultrapure water MilliQ (R=18.2 MΩcm⁻¹).

- **DNA extraction solutions**
  
  **DNA extraction solution**: 0.1 M Tris, pH 7.5, 0.7 M NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% (w/v) CTAB, 1%(v/v) β-mercaptoethanol
  
  **TE solution**: 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0

- **Agarose gel buffers**
  
  **TBE (10 X)**: 108 g/l Tris base, 55 g/l boric acid, 40 mL/l 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0
  
  **Loading Buffer**: 900 μL glycerol 50% (v/v), 100 μL bromophenol blue 10X

- **P. pastoris** cultivation media and buffers
  
  **Potassium phosphate buffer (1M)**: 132 mL of 1M K₂HPO₄ and 868 mL of 1M KH₂PO₄ were combined. The pH was adjusted to 6.0 and was filter sterilized. It was stored at 4°C
  
  **10X YNB**: 134g yeast nitrogen base with ammonium sulfate and without amino acids was dissolved in 1 liter water.
  
  **500X biotin**: 20 mg biotin was dissolved in100 water and filter was sterilized. It was store at 4°C.
  
  **10X Glycerol**: 100 mL glycerol in 900 mL ddH₂O
  
  **PTM1 trace salts**: 6.0 g cupric sulfate-5H₂O, 0.08 g sodium iodide, 3.0 g manganese sulfate-H₂O, 0.2 g sodium molybdate-2H₂O, 0.02 g boric acid, 0.5 g cobalt chloride, 20.0 g zinc chloride, 65.0 g ferrous sulfate-7H₂O, 0.2 g biotin, 5.0 mL sulfuric acid, dH₂O to 1 liter

- **SDS – PAGE Buffers**
  
  **Sample buffer**: 3.55 mL 0.25M Tris Base pH 6.8, 1.8 mL glycerol 50% (v/v), 1.8 mL β–mercaptoethanol, 0.71 gr SDS, 2.85 mL bromophenol blue0.1 % (w/v)
Gel Running buffer: 3.03 g/l Tris-base, 14.4 g/l glycine, 1 g/l SDS, pH 8.3

Staining solution: 0.4% (w/v) Coomassie G-250, 400 mL methanol, 100 mL acetic acid, 500 mL ddH$_2$O

Destaining solution: 200 mL methanol, 100 mL acetic acid, 700 mL ddH$_2$O

- IEF – PAGE buffers

Staining solution: 0.02% (w/v) Phast Gel Blue R, 0.1% (w/v) CuSO$_4$, 300 mL methanol, 100 mL acetic acid, 600 mL ddH$_2$O

Destaining solution: 300 mL methanol, 100 mL acetic acid, 600 mL ddH$_2$O

3.3. Microbial strains

1) The thermophilic fungi Sporotrichum thermophile & Myceliophthora thermophila ATCC 42464 (DSM No:1799, Germany) as the primary source of genes.

2) Bacterial strains Esherichia coli TOP10 [F$^-$ mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) φ80lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara-leu)7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) endA1 nupG] και Esherichia coli TOP10F$^+$ [F$^+$ lacIq Tn10 (TetR)] mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) φ80lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara-leu)7697 galU galK rpsL endA1 nupG] from Invitrogen. E. coli TOP10 strain was used for cloning of pCR® Blunt plasmid (Invitrogen), whereas, TOP10F$^+$ strain for cloning of pPICZαC plasmid (Invitrogen).

3) The methylotrophic yeast Pichia pastoris, X33 (WT strain, Mut$^+$ phenotype) (Invitrogen) for the heterologous expression of recombinant proteins.

3.4. Cultivation media and strategies

The components were dissolved in deionised water and autoclaved at 121°C and 0.1 mPa for 20 minutes. When the amount of dextrose was higher than 0.4%, it was autoclaved separately. Antibiotics and vitamins were filter sterilized (0.2 μm filter

54
pore diameter) first and added to the media when it had cooled down to a temperature of about 55 – 60°C. All media or plates were stored at 4°C.

- **M. thermophila cultures**
  *Shake flasks liquid medium:* 1 g/l K$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.5 g/l MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 0.1 g/l CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, 10 g/l yeast extract, 1% (w/v) glucose, pH 5.0
  *Agar medium:* 30 g/l malt extract, 3 g/l peptone, 1.5% (w/v) agar, pH 5.6
  Liquid submerged cultures of *M. thermophila* were grown in Erlenmeyer flasks, volume 250 ml, 45°C, under 180 rpm agitation and incubation for as long as needed in order to ensure the efficient biomass production. Cultivation of fungal mycelia on agar media was done in slants, at 45°C, for 5-6 days.

- **E. coli culture cultures**
  *LB (Luria Bertani) ± kanamycin:* 1% (w/v) NaCl, 1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, pH 7.4 ± 50 μg/mL kanamycin
  *LB-agar ± kanamycin:* LB with 1.5% (w/v) agar ± 50 μg/mL kanamycin
  *LS-LB (low salt LB) ± zeocin:* 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, 1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, pH 7.4 ± 25 μg/mL zeocin
  *LS LB-agar ± zeocin:* LB with 1.5% (w/v) agar ± 25 μg/mL zeocin
  *E. coli* TOP10 and TOP10F’ were cultured at 37°C in LB, when kanamycin was used, or in LS – LB, when zeocin was used, as this antibiotic may be inactivated by high salinity conditions. After sterilization, LB / LS-LB medium was cooled down in room temperature and then was supplemented with antibiotics under sterile conditions. To prepare LB agar plates, 15 g L$^{-1}$ agar was added to the above medium prior to autoclave. The LB agar plates containing antibiotic were store at 4°C.

- **P. pastoris culture media**
  *YPD (Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose medium) ± zeocin:* 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, 2% (w/v) dextrose ± 25 μg/mL zeocin
  *YPD-agar ± zeocin:* YPD with 2% (w/v) agar ± 25 μg/mL zeocin
YPDS (Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose Sorbitol medium) ± zeocin: 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, 2% (w/v) dextrose, 1 M sorbitol ± 25 μg/mL zeocin

YPDS-agar ± zeocin: YPDS µε 1.5% (w/v) agar ± 25 μg/mL zeocin

MD (Minimal Dextrose medium)-agar: 1.34% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base (YNB), 2% (w/v) dextrose, 4x10⁻⁵ % (w/v) biotin κατ 1.5% (w/v) agar

MM (Minimal Methanol medium)-agar: 1.34% (w/v) YNB, 4x10⁻⁵ % (w/v) biotin, 0.5% (v/v) methanol κατ 1.5% (w/v) agar

BMGY (Buffered Glycerol complex medium): 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, 1.34% (w/v) YNB: 4x10⁻⁵% (w/v) biotin, 1% (v/v) glycerol

BMMY (Buffered Methanol complex medium): 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) peptone, 4x10⁻⁵% (w/v) biotin, 0.5% (v/v) methanol

BSM (Fermentation Basal Salts Medium): 85% (26.7 ml) phosphoric acid, 0.93 g calcium sulfate, 18.2 g potassium sulfate, 14.9 g magnesium sulfate-7H₂O, 4.13 g potassium hydroxide, 30 g glycerol, dH₂O to 1 liter + 4.35 ml PTM₁ trace salts

**Figure 3.1.** Cultivation of *P. pastoris* in shake flasks

Cultivation of *P. pastoris* was performed under continuous agitation (200 rpm), at 28 - 30°C, in YPD, in Erlenmeyer shake flasks (liquid cultures) or in YPD-agar plates. After electroporation, the cells were streaked out on YPDS-agar plates containing 100 μg mL⁻¹ of zeocin and sorbitol which increases the viability of cells, and incubated at 30°C for 72 hours. MM and MD media were used for screening of
transformants. Small scale liquid cultures were performed in BMGY and BMMY media. One single *P. pastoris* colony harboring the recombinant gene was cultivated in BMGY medium for 18-24 hours, at 30°C in a shaker (200 rpm) and then inoculated into the production medium BMMY reaching $OD_{600}=1$. When stock cultures were prepared, *P. pastoris* strains containing the recombinant genes were grown in YPD medium over night. Then, culture was centrifuged, cells were collected and resuspended in YPD containing 15% (v/v) glycerol, to a final $OD_{600} = 50-100$ (approximately $2.5 - 5.0 \times 10^9$ cells/mL). The mixture was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Cultivation of *P. pastoris* cells in high cell-density fermentation was performed in the basal salts medium (BSM), as described in the *Pichia* fermentation guidelines provided by Invitrogen (Invitrogen, *Pichia* Fermentation Process Guidelines). The engineered *P. pastoris* from the frozen glycerol stock was inoculated into BMGY medium in a baffled flask containing a total of 5-10% of the initial fermentation volume. The culture was grown overnight at 30°C and 200 rpm. The fermenter was sterilized with the fermentation Basal salts medium containing 3% glycerol. After sterilization and cooling, temperature was set to 28°C, agitation and aeration were adjusted to operating conditions, 800 rpm and 4 vvm, respectively. pH of the fermentation medium was adjusted to 5.0 with 28% ammonium hydroxide (undiluted ammonium hydroxide). The fermentation medium was supplemented aseptically with 4.35 mL of PTM1 trace salts per liter of the fermentation medium.

*Figure 3.2.* Fermentation of *Pichia pastoris* recombinant strains in Applikon bioreactor, equipped with an ez-Control system (Applikon Biotechnology B.V., Netherlands). Glycerol and methanol feeding was performed with Alitea XV pump with MasterFlex 96400 L/S 14 silicon tube (glycerol) and PharmaMed 0.51mm (methanol).
The fermenter was inoculated with the culture generated in the propagation shake flask to 10% of initial fermentation volume. After 24 hours of batch fermentation in glycerol medium, the end of glycerol batch was indicated by a sharp increase in the dissolved oxygen (DO) tension. This stage was followed by a 5-hour step of fed-batch glycerol one; during this step 50% w/v glycerol, with PTM1 salts was fed at an initial flow-rate of 12 mL/h/lt of culture medium and was reduced gradually until it was fully consumed. At the same time, temperature was reduced from 28°C to 25°C and finally to 23°C and 2 mL of methanol were added manually in small aliquots with syringe. Total consumption of glycerol was again indicated by a spike in the DO. At the onset of methanol fed-batch phase, casamino acids solution was added at a final concentration of 3 g/lt and then, a feed of 100% MeOH, with PTM1 was initiated at a flow rate of 1.9 mL/h/lt. The methanol consumption rate was monitored indirectly by stopping the feed and checking the “lag phase”, while increasing the methanol feed rate manually. After 8h, feed rate was adjusted to a maximum of 5.46 mL/h/lt and maintained for ~20h, causing extracellular expression of the recombinant enzyme into the supernatant. Then, the temperature was decreased to 21°C and pure oxygen supply was set to maintain dissolved oxygen levels between 60-30 %. Induction time lasted approximately 145-170h in total. Sampling was performed at the end of each stage and twice daily. 10 mL samples were taken for each time point. Samples were analyzed for cell growth (OD600 and wet cell weight), protein concentration and enzyme activity.

Figure 3.3. Typical schematic representation of parameters during \emph{P. pastoris} fermentation (\textit{green}: dissolved oxygen, \textit{red}: temperature, light blue: agitation).
3.5. Plasmid vectors

In this study, plasmid vector pCR® Blunt (Invitrogen) was used for transformation of bacterial cells *E. coli* TOP10 and vector pPICZaC (Invitrogen) for transformation of *E. coli* TOP10F’ and *P. pastoris* yeast cells.

- **pCR® Blunt (Invitrogen)**

The plasmid vector pCR® Blunt (3512 bp) enables the cloning of DNA fragments carrying blunt ends, so it is appropriate for the direct cloning of PCR products. Insertion place is located between *LacZa* and *ccdB* genes. The *ccdB* (control of cell death) gene is interrupted when the gene of interest is inserted, enabling only the recombinant bacterial cells containing that gene to grow after transformation. The expression vector contains a kanamycin and a zeocin resistance gene that both allow selection for positive transformants that are resistant to zeocin.

**Figure 3.4.** Gene map of the plasmid vector pCR® Blunt (3512 bp).
• pPICZαC (Invitrogen)

Among all commercial vectors that are used for expression of recombinant protein in *P. pastoris*, pPICZαC was selected to transfer the agu1 gene into *P. pastoris*. The pPICZαC expression cassette contains a copy of α-mating factor (α-MF) signal sequence before the multicloning site, which leads to secretion of the recombinant protein. This vector also carries the 5′ AOX1 promoter. The pPICZαC expression vector contains a zeocin resistance gene that allows selection for positive transformants that are resistant to zeocin. The short length of the pPICZαC (6kb) allows for efficient transformation and contributes to prepare stable expression strains compared to larger expression vectors developed for transformation into the *P. pastoris* (Daly and Hearn, 2005).

The alpha–mating factor pre-pro leader sequence (α-MF) consists of two regions, namely the pre and pro sequences. The pre-sequence contains a 19 amino acid signal peptide and the pro-sequence consists of a 60 amino acids. Upon translation, the pro-protein is translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum, while the signal peptidase cleaves the signal peptide sequence off the protein. For further processing the pro-protein is carried to Golgi where *kex2* protease removes the pro-sequence prior to secretion of the mature protein into the extracellular media (Brake, *et al.*, 1984).

![Gene map of the plasmid vector pPICZαC (3598 bp).](image)

**Figure 3.5.** Gene map of the plasmid vector pPICZαC (3598 bp).

5′ AOX1 is a 942 bp fragment containing the AOX1 promoter that allows methanol-inducible, high-level expression in *Pichia* cells, target plasmid integration to the AOX1 locus and allows the insertion of expression cassette via homologous recombination.
Multiple cloning site with 10 unique restriction sites allows insertion of gene of interest into the expression vector

C-terminal myc-epitope tag (Glu-Gln-Lys-Leu-Ile-Ser-Glu-Glu-Asp-Leu-Asn) permits detection of the fusion protein by the respective antibody, in case this is necessary.

C-terminal polyhistidine tag permits rapid purification of recombinant fusion protein through one step affinity chromatography on metal-chelating resin

AOX1 Transcription Termination (TT) is the native transcription termination and polyadenylation signal from AOX 1 gene (~260 bp) that permits efficient 3’ mRNA processing, including polyadenylation, for increased mRNA stability

TEF1 promoter is referred to transcription elongation factor 1 gene promoter from Saccharomyces cerevisiae that drives expression of the Sh ble gene in Pichia, conferring Zeocin™ resistance (GenBank Acc. no. D12478, D01130).

EM7 (synthetic prokaryotic promoter) is a constitutive promoter that drives expression of the Sh ble gene in E. coli, conferring Zeocin™ resistance Sh ble gene (Streptoalloteichus hindustanus ble gene) Zeocin™ resistance gene for selection in E. coli

CYC1 transcription termination region is the 3’ end of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae CYC1 gene that allows efficient 3’ mRNA processing of the Sh ble gene for increased stability (GenBank Acc. no. M34014)

pUC origin allows replication and maintenance of the plasmid in E. coli

SacI, Pmel, BstX I sites represent unique restriction sites that permit linearization of the vectors at the AOX1 locus for efficient integration into the Pichia genome

3.6. DNA molecular techniques

3.6.1. DNA extraction methods

At the end of each procedure, DNA concentration was determined by measuring the OD260 nm (Sambrook et al., 1989) and following the equation:

\[ C_{DNA} = \left[ \frac{OD_{260}\text{nm} \times 50 \times \text{dilution}}{1000} \right] (\mu g/\mu L) \]

as DNA concentration equal to 50 µg DNA/mL of solution corresponds to OD_{260nm}=1. In order to determine the purity of DNA sample, the ratio OD_{260nm}/OD_{280} nm was calculated. OD_{280} nm measurement is indicative of protein content of a sample, so increased ratio corresponds to protein free samples.
• **DNA extraction from** *M. thermophila*

  DNA extraction from therophilic fungus *M. thermophila* was performed according to protocol instructions from Sigma – Aldrich, GenElute™ Plant, Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit. Submerged fungal cultures were incubated for 48h in glucose containing medium, then biomass was collected via filtration, freeze-dried, was ground vigorously and milled 0.1 g of powder were used for DNA extraction.

• **Plasmid DNA extraction**

  Plasmid DNA extraction was performed according to protocol instructions from Fermentas, GeneJET™ Plasmid Miniprep Kit, which is based on binding of plasmid DNA on the silica membrane in the spin column, removal of contaminants and subsequent elution with a small volume of the 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5.

• **DNA extraction from agarose gel**

  DNA extraction from agarose gel is a procedure necessary when PCR reaction product contains more than one sequences, so the gene of interest must be separated from the mixture. It includes the removal of agarose particles and isolation of the nucleic sequence for the subsequent cloning and other molecular techniques. In this study, DNA extraction from agarose gel was performed following the instructions of Macherey-Nagel, Nucleospin Gel Clean up kit. For better results, high-purity agarose gel was used (Seakem® Gold Agarose, Cambrex Bio Science Rockland, Inc., Danemark).

### 3.4 Polymerase Chain Reaction-PCR

In the present thesis, PCR reactions were performed at TC-512 thermocycler (TECHNE, U.S.). Annealing temperature was set according to nucleic sequences melting temperature ($T_m$), following the equation $T_m = 2 \times (A+T) + 4 \times (G+C)$, where $A,G,C,T$ represent adenine, guanine, cytocine and thymine. Primers $T_m$ was defined by Eurofins MWG Operon (Germany). High-fidelity KOD Hot Start (Novagen) polymerase from *Thermococcus kodakarensis* was used. The enzyme exhibits proofreading activity to avoid mismatches.
Table 3.1. Polymerase chain reaction conditions depending on the length of the target gene. The enzyme used was high-fidelity KOD Hot Start (Novagen), from Thermococcus kodakarensis. PCR reaction takes place for 20 - 40 cycles. When genomic DNA is used as template, increased number of cycles is necessary, as the target gene is contained in lower amount, whereas when plasmid DNA is used, fewer cycles can be used. In case of overlapping PCR, more cycles ensure efficient product concentration, which is crucial for the successful subsequent transformation experiments.

Table 3.2. Composition of Polymerase Chain Reaction mixture for the amplification of DNA fragments. Final reaction volume is 50 μL.
3.6.2. Restriction enzymes

Restriction enzymes for double-cut restriction analysis, as well as linearization of plasmid vectors that were used in this thesis are listed below. Digestion and linearization reactions were performed according to Takara’s protocols (Japan).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restriction enzyme</th>
<th>Source organism</th>
<th>Restriction site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClaI</td>
<td>Caryophanon latum</td>
<td>5’ CTAGA 3’ 3’ AGATCT 5’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XbaI</td>
<td>Xanthomonas badrii</td>
<td>5’ ATCGAT 3’ 3’ TAGCIA 5’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SacI</td>
<td>Streptomyces achromogenes</td>
<td>5’ GAGCTC 3’ 3’ CTCGAG 5’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmeI</td>
<td>Pseudomonas mendocina</td>
<td>5’ GTT [AAAC 3’ 3’ CAA A TTG 5’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3. Restriction enzymes that were used in this thesis and their action sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restriction enzymes ClaI and XbaI</th>
<th>Restriction enzyme SacI and PmeI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid vector / gene</td>
<td>5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buffer (10x)</td>
<td>2 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction enzyme</td>
<td>1 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrapure water</td>
<td>12 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid vector / gene</td>
<td>80 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buffer (10x)</td>
<td>10 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction enzyme</td>
<td>5 μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrapure water</td>
<td>5 μL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4. Composition of Digestion and Linearization reaction mixtures. Digestion with ClaI and XbaI was performed to provide sticky complementary ends for the subsequent ligation of the DNA gene of interest and the appropriate plasmid vector. The enzymes were also used for restriction analysis in order to confirm the insertion of gene of interest after ligation. SacI and PmeI enzyme were used for the linearization of plasmid vectors before transformation of P. pastoris cells through electroporation.
3.6.3. Ligation reactions

Digested DNA fragments were mixed with the linearized vector in the presence of T4 DNA ligase from Fermentas (Maryland, USA). The ligation reaction set up was according the manufacturer’s instructions. The ligation mixtures were incubated overnight at room temperature. Ligation products were used for transformation into pCR® Blunt or pPICZaC vectors according to Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit and pPICZa Cloning kit (Invitrogen).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pCR® – Blunt (25 ng)</th>
<th>1 µL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCR product</td>
<td>5 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer (10×)</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrapure water</td>
<td>2 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligase (4U/µL)</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5. Composition of Ligation reaction mixture for the insertion of gene to the plasmid vector pCR® Blunt. Incubation takes place at 16°C for 1-2 hours. Final reaction volume is 10 µL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1:3</th>
<th>1:5</th>
<th>1:7</th>
<th>Control 1</th>
<th>Control 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pPICZaC (100 – 200 ng)</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer (10×)</td>
<td>3 µL</td>
<td>5 µL</td>
<td>7 µL</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrapure water</td>
<td>13 µL</td>
<td>11 µL</td>
<td>9 µL</td>
<td>16 µL</td>
<td>17 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligase (4U/µL)</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>1 µL</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6. Composition of Ligation reaction mixture for the insertion of gene to the plasmid vector pPICZaC. Incubation takes place at 16°C for 3-4 hours. Final reaction volume is 20 µL.
• **Transformation of *E. coli* competent cells**

500 μL of competent cells *E.coli* were supplemented with 1 μl of the appropriate vector. The mixture was incubate for 30 min on ice before thermal heat shock at 42°C for 90 sec. Immediately, the transformation tube was incubated on ice for additional 10 minutes and 1mL LB medium was added. Cells were incubated at 37°C and 200 rpm for 1 hour and then streaked on LB agar plates containing 50 / 100 μg mL⁻¹ kanamycin / zeocin respectively. Plates were incubate at 30°C overnight and positive transformant cells were selected.

• **Transformation of *P. pastoris* by electroporation**

Electric pulses of intensity in kilovolts per centimeter and of duration in microseconds to milliseconds cause a temporary loss of the semi-permeability of cell membranes, thus leading to ion leakage, escape of metabolites, and increased uptake by cells of drugs, molecular probes, and DNA. A generally accepted term describing this phenomenon is "electroporation." (Tsong, 1991). Electroporation has found many applications, such as introduction of plasmids or foreign DNA into living cells for gene transfections, fusion of cells to prepare heterokaryons, hybridoma etc., insertion of proteins into cell membranes, improving drug delivery and hence effectiveness in chemotherapy of cancerous cells and alteration of genetic expression in living cells (Dower et al., 1988). In *P. pastoris*, electroporation is used for the insertion of recombinant plasmid vectors carrying the gene of interest, causing the subsequent production of the heterologous protein from the yeast cells. This method is more effective than other methods of chemical transformation and leads to higher yield of living transformed cells (10³ – 10⁴ cells/μg linearized DNA).

*P. pastoris* X33 was grown overnight, in shake flasks, in YPD medium at 30°C and 180 rpm in a rotary shaker. Cells were harvested for electroporation according to the Invitrogen protocol (Cat. no.V195-20, version F) and resuspended in 1 mL of 1 M ice cold sorbitol. The linearized vectors were mixed with the resuspended cells and then transferred to an electroporation cuvette, which was then incubated on ice for 5 min. The BIO-RAD electroporation device’s parameters were
adjusted to *P. pastoris* set up. Cells were pulsed and then 1 mL of 1 M ice cold sorbitol was added to the electroporation cuvette. Contents of the cuvette were transferred to a 15 mL Falcon tube and incubated at without shaking for 2 hours. Finally the cells were streaked out on YPDS agar plates containing 100 μg mL⁻¹ of zeocin and incubated at for 72 hours. Zeocin resistant colonies were selected and grown overnight, in shake flasks, in YPD medium containing 100 μg mL⁻¹ of zeocin at 30°C. Cells were harvested at 12000 rpm and their genomic DNA were isolated by phenol chloroform method and amplified by PCR through AOX forward and reverse primers to verify the recombination of the expression cassette into the X33 strains genome. Then the PCR products were ran on gel electrophoresis.
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**Figure 3.6.** Schematic representation of plasma cell membrane during electroporation. Application of electric pulse leads to increase of permeability through small diameter pores, that close immediately after allowing the insertion of linearized plasmid DNA.

### 4.1.4. Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography, IMAC

IMAC was introduced in 1975 as a group-specific affinity technique for separating proteins (Porath *et al.*, 1975). The principle is based on the reversible interaction between various amino acid side chains and immobilized metal ions. Depending on the immobilized metal ion, different side chains can be involved in the adsorption process. Most notably, histidine, cysteine, and tryptophan side chains have been implicated in protein binding to immobilized transition metal ions and zinc.
TALON Resin is cobalt-based IMAC resin designed to purify recombinant polyhistidinetagged proteins (Bush et al., 1991); it is compatible with many commonly used reagents and allows protein purification under native or denaturing conditions.

Figure 3.7. Schematic diagram of the TALON IMAC System. (left) Part A. TALON Metal Affinity Resin; A Sepharose bead bearing the tetradentate chelator of the Co2+ metal ion. Part B. The polyhistidine-tagged recombinant protein binds to the resin. (right) ÄKTA Prime Plus liquid chromatography system, equipped with Prime View 5.31. software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

In this thesis, IMAC column containing 15 mL Talon® Metal Affinity Resin (Clontech, U.S.) was initially equilibrated with 300 mL Talon buffer 1X with flow rate 2.5 mL/min. Sample containing the histidine-tagged protein in excess was loaded onto the column at a flow rate 1 mL/min. Column was washed with 300 mL Talon buffer 1X, or until the OD280nm reached the baseline indicating that all non-histidine tagged proteins had been eluted. Then, a linear gradient from 0 to 100 mM imidazole in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (60 ml, pH 8.0) was applied at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. Fractions (2 ml) containing the protein of interest were concentrated and the homogeneity of was examined on a SDS-PAGE.
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The filamentous fungus *Myceliophthora thermophila* constitutes an exceptionally powerful cellulolytic microorganism that synthesizes a complete set of enzymes necessary for the breakdown of plant cell wall. The genome of this fungus has been recently sequenced and annotated, allowing systematic examination and identification of enzymes required for the degradation of lignocellulosic biomass. In this chapter, an overview of the cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic potential of this fungus regarding the degradation of plant cell wall material will be given, revealing the existence of an expanded enzymatic repertoire including numerous enzymes with auxiliary activities, covering the most of the recognized CAZy families (Table 4.1*). All sequences used in this study were extracted from Genome Portal database (http://genome.jgi-psf.org) and the continually updated CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Lombard *et al*., 2014). The conserved domains were found with Pfam/InterProscan (Punta *et al*., 2012; http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/), while the theoretical molecular mass and isoelectric point for each protein were calculated using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). Predicted secretome was extracted using SignalP v4.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). Post-translational glycosylation sites were predicted with NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) and NetOGlyc 3.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/). These data offer a better understanding of activities embedded in fungal lignocellulose decomposition mechanisms and suggest that *M. thermophila* could be made usable as an industrial production host for cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes.

*Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.*
4.1. The Cellulolytic system of *M. thermophila*

The cellulolytic system of *M. thermophila* consists of a repertoire of enzymes with endoglucanase (EG), celllobiohydrolase (CBH) and β-glycosidase (BGL) activity. Throughout the genome of this fungus, there are eight sequences encoding EG activity, seven sequences of CBH activity and nine sequences of BG activity (Figure 4.1; Table 4.2*). The theoretical average molecular weight of the translated proteins is calculated at $51.05 \pm 16.2$ kDa and the theoretical pI at $5.58 \pm 0.3$. EGs are distributed to families GH5, 7, 12, and 45, all predicted to possess a secretion signal and several N- and O-glycosylation sites. Only two of them exhibit a CBM that belong in family 1. CBHs represent three non-reducing acting enzymes of GH6 family and four reducing-end acting enzymes of GH7 family. All of these enzymes seem to be targeted to secretion pathway and modified with glycans during post-translational modifications. BGs are classified to GH3 family, except one GH1 sequence, while none of them exhibit a CBM, as expected. Four are secreted and have potential N- and O-glycosylation sites, showing the highest molecular weight compared to the other cellulases, with a theoretical average value of $85.18 \pm 3.2$ kDa.
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Figure 4.1. Distribution of cellulolytic enzymes of *M. thermophila* throughout eight GH families. Other activities refer to β-xylosidase (GH3), β-1,6-galactanase, β-1,3-glucanase, endo-1,4-beta-mannosidase or putative proteins with unknown function (GH5). GH74 represents xyloglucan specific 1,4-endoglucanase/xyloglucanase.

* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.
Totally, 12 cellulases have been isolated and characterized (Table 4.3*). The group of Bukhtojarov et al. (2004) investigated the properties of individual cellulases from the multienzyme complex produced by a mutant strain of M. thermophila C1 (Visser et al., 2011). Among EGs, the highest saccharification activity was displayed by EG60 and EG51, representing enzymes of 60 and 51 kDa, respectively, which exhibited pI values of 3.6 and 5.0, respectively. It has been shown later that the EG51 and EG60 represent the GH5 and GH7 EGs from M. thermophila, respectively, (Gusakov et al., 2011). A different EG (SCel5A) displays a typical GH5 domain, exhibiting optimal activity at pH 6.0 and 70°C and retained greater than 50% of its activity following 2 h of incubation at 55°C, diluted in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 4.5 (Tambor et al., 2012). Two EG genes, belonging to GH7 and GH5 families were functionally expressed in methylotrophic yeast P. pastoris and subsequently characterized, as described in Chapter 5 and Chapter 7 of this thesis respectively. Substrate specificity analysis revealed that the GH7 EG enzyme is one of the most thermostable fungal enzymes reported up to now and exhibits high activity on substrates containing β-1,4-glycosidic bonds as well as activity on xylan-containing substrates (Karnaouri et al., 2014). Moreover, MlEG7a was proved to liquefy rapidly and efficiently pretreated wheat straw, indicating EGs' key role to the initial step of hydrolysis of high-solids lignocellulose substrates (Karnaouri et al., 2014). This change in viscosity of these substrates is probably due to the gradual reduction of the average chain length of cellulose polysaccharides by endo-acting enzymes, such as endoglucanases.

Totally, four CBHs and two BGs have been isolated from M. thermophila crude supernatant and studied. CBH IIb is the product of MYCTH_66729 gene that represents an enzyme of GH6 family, which is attached to polysaccharide substrate through a CBM and exhibits high levels of activity in comparison to other CBHs (Gusakov et al., 2007). In the same study, the isolation of CBH Ib, a GH7 family enzyme (MYCTH_2140736) is reported, which acts mainly against microcrystalline cellulose and CMC. Bukhtojarov et al. (2004) studied the properties of CBH Ia and CBH IIa, which are classified to GH7 and GH6 family, respectively. CBH Ia is the product of MYCTH_109566 gene, and seems to be expressed in two isoforms with distinct molecular weights, one exhibiting the catalytic domain owing a CMB and the
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other only the catalytic domain and part of the linker, after proteolysis. This enzyme is produced as a major protein of fungi's secretome (20–25% of the total extracellular protein) and adsorbed strongly on microcrystalline cellulose. It has been shown that there is a significant synergism between CBH IIb and CBH Ia enzymes during substrate hydrolysis (Gusakov et al., 2007). The MYCTH_66729 and MYCTH_109566 genes encoding the two enzymes belonging to GH6 and EG7 families respectively were functionally expressed in methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris* and subsequently characterized, as described in Chapter 7 of this thesis.

Apart from the enzymes with cellulolytic activity, *M. thermophila* was found to produce an exo-β-1,4-glucanase (Xgl74A) that catalyzes the hydrolysis of (1-4)-D-glucosidic linkages in xyloglucans aiming in the successful removal of oligosaccharides from the chain end (Grishutin et al., 2004). The enzyme exhibits high specific activity toward tamarind xyloglucan, and very low or absent activity against carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) and barley β-glucan. Due to its unique substrate specificity the enzyme was given a new number in the Enzyme Nomenclature (EC 3.2.1.155). Apart from Xgl74A, two out of the seven cellulases reported from *M. thermophila* (Cel12A and Cel45A) possess a notable activity against xyloglucan, together with their major activities toward CMC and barley β-glucan (Bukhtojarov et al., 2004).

### 4.2. The Hemicellulolytic system of *M. thermophila*

As described in Chapter 2, hemicellulose polymers have a much more diverse structure than cellulose and consequently several enzymes are needed to completely degrade the polysaccharide into monosaccharides. *M. thermophila*'s hemicellulase genes are organized in 8 GH families (3, 10, 11, 30, 43, 51, 62, and 67) (Figure 4.2) and nine carbohydrate esterase (CE) families (1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 15, and 16) (Figure 4.3). Many of the encoding proteins have been isolated from the WT (wild type) culture supernatant or expressed in heterologous hosts and finally characterized in terms of specific activity and physicochemical properties. The majority of them are predicted to follow the secretion pathway, while modified with N- and/or O- glucans, comprising a
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total amount of 66 enzymes that act synergistically for the degradation of hemicellulose.

**Figure 4.2.** Distribution of hemicellulolytic enzymes of *M. thermophila* throughout nine GH families. Other activities refer to β-glycosidase (GH3), xylanase with endo-exo mode of action and xylobiohyrolase (GH30), and galactan 1,3-beta-galactosidase (GH43).

**Xylanases / Xylosidases**

For the degradation of xylan, the genome of *M. thermophila* encodes totally 12 xylanases with endo- mode of activity, classified to GH 10 and 11 and four xylosidases, classified to GH3 and 43 families (Table 4.4*). All aforementioned xylan-degrading translated sequences, apart from three, are predicted to exhibit a potential secretion signal. Xylanases possess 1-3 N-glycosylation and several O-glycosylation sites; whereas more N-sites are predicted for xylosidases, though not all of them are glycosylated during post-translational modifications. GH family 30 contains two genes encoding xylanolytic enzymes with endo-exo activity and one sequence for a
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characterized xylobiohydrolase, releasing xylobiose units from the substrate (Emalfarb et al., 2012).

Ten xylanases have been purified and characterized from multienzyme preparations of *M. thermophila* modified strains (Ustinov et al., 2008; van Gool et al., 2013). Four of them, belonging to GH10 family (*Table 4.5*), are the products of two genes, either with the presence of a family 1 CBM or displaying only the catalytic domain after partial proteolytic digestion (Ustinov et al., 2008). These enzymes, thought classified to the same family, can hydrolyze different types of decorated xylans. They differ in degradation of high and low substituted substrates and the substitution pattern seems to be an important factor influencing their efficiency (van Gool et al., 2012). Six xylanases, belonging to GH11 family, represent true xylanases, with high specific activities against glucuronoxylans and arabinoxylans. Four of these enzymes exhibit lower thermostability in comparison to GH10 xylanases, in which extended glycosylation has been noticed (Ustinov et al., 2008). One showed a substrate specificity pattern similar to GH10 enzymes and secreted in two forms, with or without CBM (van Gool et al., 2013).

**Figure 4.3.** Distribution of hemicellulolytic enzymes of *M. thermophila* throughout nine CE families. Family CE4 is comprised of putative proteins with polysaccharide deacetylase activity, CE5 of cutinases and CE8, 12 of pectin esterases. ND (not * Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.
determined) refers to sequences encoding putative proteins with unknown activity which are not classified to a specific family.

**Esterases**

The role of esterases in the breakdown of lignocellulosic material is complex and includes the cleavage of bonds between the main hemicellulose part and many types of side chains. So, upon a closer examination of the genome sequences of *M. thermophila*, there is a wide distribution of enzymatic activities through CE families. These enzymes are classified into nine families and their main activities, among others, include the hydrolysis of feruloyl and acetyl ester bonds.

**Feruloyl esterases** (FAEs; EC 3.1.1.73) are enzymes responsible for cleaving the ester-link between the polysaccharide main chain of xylans and monomeric or dimeric ferulates. They act synergistically with xylanases to release ferulic acid from cell-wall material and can be divided into four groups, namely A–D. The main difference between groups A and D is their substrate specificity toward synthetic substrates and their capability of liberating diferuloyl bridges (Crepin *et al.*, 2004). One of the first FAEs reported from thermophilic fungi, was produced from *M. thermophila* under solid-state fermentation (SSF) conditions. The esterase activity was isolated and partially characterized for its ability to release ferulic acid from complex substrate, destarched wheat bran (Topakas *et al.*, 2003). Two other FAEs, *St*FaeB, a protein with molecular weight of 66 kDa (homodimers of 33 kDa) (Topakas *et al.*, 2004) and *St*FaeC, 46 kDa (homodimers of 23 kDa) (Topakas *et al.*, 2005), were purified to homogeneity from culture supernatants of *M. thermophila*. *St*FaeB hydrolyzed methyl p-coumarate, methyl caffeate and methyl ferulate and was active on substrates containing ferulic acid ester linked to the C-5 and C-2 linkages of arabinofuranose. *St*FaeC showed maximum catalytic efficiency on 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy cinnamate, a substrate with both hydroxyl and methoxy substituents, indicating that it may be the most promising type of FAE as a biocatalyst for the enzymatic feruloylation of aliphatic alcohols, oligo- and polysaccharides. Properties of characterized FAEs are summarized in Table 4.6*.

Among the sequences registered to Genome Portal, there are four sequences encoding
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proteins with catalytic activity of FAE, all belonging to CE family 1. Two of them (MYCTH_48379, MYCTH_39279) seem to be identical with characterized FAEs secreted from M. thermophila C1 strain (Kühnel et al., 2012). One sequence (JDI ID: 96478) has been heterologously expressed in P. pastoris and encoded a 39 kDa protein (fae1A; MtFAe1a), which showed high activity toward methyl caffeate and p-coumarate and a strong preference for the hydrolysis of n-butyl and iso-butyl ferulate (Topakas et al., 2012). In addition, MtFAe1 esterase releases ferulic acid from destarched wheat bran only by the synergistic action of an endo-xylanase (a maximum of +1% total ferulic acid released after 1 h incubation). MYCTH_2302953 sequence has not yet been characterized; however it still shows 66% identity with a type B FAE from Neurospora crassa (CAC05587.1). All proteins encoded by the above sequences appear to be secreted and bring several N- and O-glycosylation sites, as shown in Table 4.7*.

About 60–70% of the xylose residues in hardwood xylan are acetylated at the C2 and/or C3 positions (Lindberg et al., 1973). The complete degradation of acetylated xylans by microbes requires the action of acetyl esterases (AcEs; EC 3.1.1.72), which cleave acetyl side groups from the heteroxylan backbone, and act in synergy with other hemicellulases (Tenkanen et al., 1996). Eight sequences that encode proteins with AcE activity were detected in the genome of M. thermophila and showed identity with characterized enzymes. All of them are secreted, as predicted with SignalP and belong to CE families 1, 3, 5, 16 (Table 4.7*). Two of them, Axe2 and Axe3, which bare members of CE5 and CE1 families, respectively, were isolated and characterized (Pouvreau et al., 2011a). Annotated genes, encoding the putative enzymes were cloned into the specially designed M. thermophila C1-expression host (Verdoes et al., 2010) and over-produced in the culture medium. Axe2 and Axe3 are able to hydrolyze acetyl groups when they are substituted to the O-2 and O-3 positions of acetylated xylo-oligosaccharides and complex insoluble polymeric substrates and had a preference for xylooligosaccharides (Pouvreau et al., 2011a).

Glucuronoyl esterases (GEs) are recently discovered enzymes that are suggested to play an important role in the dissociation of lignin from hemicellulose and cellulose by cleaving the ester bonds between the aromatic alcohols of lignin and the carboxyl groups of 4-O-methyl-D-glucuronic acid residues in glucuronoxylan (Špániková and * Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.
Sequence alignment studies of these enzymes have revealed a novel conserved amino acid sequence G-C-S-R-X-G that features the characteristic serine residue involved in the mechanism of this esterase family. It has been shown that the mode of action probably involves a nucleophilic serine (Topakas et al., 2010). The genome of M. thermophila possesses two genes classified to family CE15 that encode proteins with activity of 4-O-methyl-glucuronoyl esterase. Both putative enzymes are secreted and have potential glycosylation sites. The first GE (StEG1), isolated from the culture filtrate of M. thermophila, was proved to be a thermophilic enzyme that presents a C-terminal CBM, which was active on substrates containing glucuronic acid methyl ester (Vafiadi et al., 2009). Another CE15 protein molecule, StGE2 was heterologously expressed in yeast P. pastoris and was used to prove that nucleophilic serine residue is responsible for catalytic action of GEs, through site-directed mutagenesis studies (Topakas et al., 2010) and crystal structure determination (Charavgi et al., 2013).

**Mannan-degrading enzymes**

Mannan is a great component of hemicellulose, therefore, as expected the lignocellulolytic toolbox of M. thermophila possesses a complete reservoir of genes encoding mannan degrading enzymes. The genome of this fungus encodes three enzymes that putatively catalyze random cleavage of the mannan polysaccharide and belong to GH family 5 and 26. One of these enzymes has been isolated from culture supernatant, characterized and classified as GH5 endo-β-1,4-mannosidase (bMan2, Dotsenko et al., 2012). In addition, there are two genes encoding putative β-mannosidases belonging to GH2 family, while one of them has been characterized in terms of its specificity and physicochemical properties (bMann9, Dotsenko et al., 2012). Two GH27 and one GH26 α-galactosidases boost the efficiency of fungal culture supernatant against hydrolysis of mannan substrate (Emalfarb et al., 2012), while two CE12 family genes encoding proteins with high similarity to known acetyl-mannan esterases have been found.

**Arabinohydrolases**

L-arabinose is widely present in various hemicellulosic biomass components, such as arabinoxylan, where the main β-D-(1,4)-linked xylopyranosyl backbone is
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substituted with arabinose residues. α-L-arabinofuranosidases (AFase; EC 3.2.1.55) are enzymes that release arabinofuranose residues substituted at position O-2 or O-3 of mono or di-substituted xylose residues (Gruppen et al., 1993). Apart from that, AFases act in synergism with other arabinohydrolases, endo-(1,5)-α-L-arabinanases (ABNase; EC 3.2.1.99) for the decomposition of arabinan, a major pectin polysaccharide.

The genome of the M. thermophila encodes 14 enzymes that putatively release arabinose or arabinose oligomers from arabinan (Hinz et al., 2009). Throughout CAZy families, arabinohydrolases belong to GH families 43, 51, 54, 62, and 93 (Figure 4.3*). Eleven sequences contain a secretion signal peptide and produced as extracellular or cell-bounded proteins, while almost all of them exhibit isoelectric point around 4.6–5.6 (Table 4.8*). Seven of them have been selectively overexpressed homologously in M. thermophila C1 host and found to release arabinose from wheat arabinoxylan polymers and oligomers (Hinz et al., 2009). M. thermophila arabinofuranosidases are selective in releasing arabinose from either single or double substituted xylose residues in arabinoxylans. Eight enzymes, belonging to GH families 43, 51, 62, and 93 with different type of arabinolytic activity have been purified and characterized (Hinz et al., 2009; Kühnel et al., 2011; Pouvreau et al., 2011b) (Table 4.9*).

Abn7 and Abf3 are GH43 and GH51 arabinases respectively, which were selectively produced in C1 host. Abn7 was found to hydrolyze arabinofuranosyl residues at position O-3 of double substituted xylosyl residues in arabinoxylan-derived oligosaccharides, while Abf3 released arabinose from position O-2 or O-3 of single substituted xyloses. When these enzymes were incubated together, in combination with a GH10 endo-xylanase for the hydrolysis of arabinoxylans, they resulted in a synergistic increase in arabinose release from the substrate (Pouvreau et al., 2011b). In addition, α-L-arabinohydrolases Abn1, Abn2, and Abn4 were overexpressed in C1 and the produced culture supernatant has been shown to produce neutral branched arabinono-oligosaccharides from sugar beet arabinan by enzymatic degradation. As found by sugar analysis, neutral arabinono-oligosaccharides contained an α-(1,5)-linked backbone of l-arabinosyl residues and carried single substituted α-(1,3)-linked l-arabinosyl residues or consisted of a double substituted α-(1,2,3,5)-linked arabinan structure within the molecule (Westphal et al., 2010). Enzyme Abn4 belongs to GH43 family and
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is more active toward branched polymeric arabinan substrate that releases arabinose monomers from single substituted arabinose residues, while Abn1 and Abn2 are active toward linear arabinan (Kühnel et al., 2010). Abn2 is a member of GH93 family that consists of exoarabinases acting on linear arabinan, hydrolyzing the α-1,5-linkages of arabinan polysaccharides presented as side chains of pectin. Their mode of action was studied with Abn2, which binds two arabinose units at the subsites −1 and −2 and releases arabinose. Three more arabinohydrolases were also overexpressed in C1 strain (Hinz et al., 2009). Abn5 was found to be specifically active toward arabinan, but not arabinoxylan. Arabinofuranosidases Abf1 and Abf2, members of GH62 family released O-2 or O-3 substituted arabinose or linked arabinofuranosyl from mono substituted xylose. GH family 62 arabinofuranosidases are reported to be predominantly active toward arabinoxylan and are, therefore, also called arabinoxylan arabinofuranohydrolases (Beldman et al., 1997). Several of these enzymes contain either a CBM1, like Abf1, or a CBM43 (xylan)-binding domain.

4.3. Auxiliary enzymes

In spite of the cooperative activity exhibited by the cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes, the impressive hydrolytic ability of various microorganisms in nature cannot be attributed only to this endo–exo mechanism. Apart from the hydrolytic system responsible for carbohydrate degradation, it seems that an oxidative system catalyze lignin depolymerization and oxidation of plant cell wall components, yielding reactive molecules (e.g., H₂O₂). Recent evidence highlights the critical role of alternative enzymatic partners involved in the oxidation of cell wall components. Among these enzymes, outstanding role during hydrolysis exhibit the originally described as cellulases LPMO enzymes, CDH and multicopper enzymes such as laccases. The genome of *M. thermophila* possesses more than 30 genes that encode proteins with such auxiliary activities (Figure 4.4). Members of the LPMO family AA9, have been shown to be copper-dependent monooxygenases that enhance cellulose degradation in concert with classical cellulases, as aforementioned before and reviewed by Dimarogona et al. (2013). These enzymes catalyze the cleavage of cellulose by an oxidative mechanism provided that reduction equivalents are available. These equivalents either involve low molecular weight reducing agents (e.g., ascorbate) or are
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produced by CDH activity (Langston et al., 2011). CDHs are extracellular enzymes produced by various wood-degrading fungi that oxidize soluble cellodextrins, mannodextrins and lactose efficiently to their corresponding lactones by a ping-pong mechanism using a wide spectrum of electron acceptors (Henriksson et al., 2000). Throughout the genome of *M. thermophila*, two genes encoding proteins classified to AA3 and 8 families have been identified (Figure 4.4). Both of them are predicted to be secreted in the culture supernatant and have potential glycosylation sites. The translated CDH MYCTH_111388 exhibits a C-terminal CBM and a cDNA clone of this sequence has been isolated and biochemically characterized by screening an expression library of *M. thermophila* (Subramaniam et al., 1999). Canevacini et al. (1991) purified a monomeric (91 kDa) and a dimeric (192 kDa) form of CDH that differed not only in molecular weight, but amino acid composition and carbohydrate content. Both forms oxidized cellobiose in the presence of cytochrome c or dichlorophenol–indophenol.

![Figure 4.4](image)

**Figure 4.4.** Distribution of enzymes of *M. thermophila* with auxiliary activities, classified to AA3/8, AA9 families and multicopper oxidases. *M. thermophila* distinguishes itself from other cellulolytic fungi, exhibiting an impressing number of LPMOs accessory enzymes belonging to AA9 family (previously described as GH61).
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Laccases (EC 1.10.3.1) are multicopper enzymes that catalyze the oxidation of a variety of phenolic compounds, with concomitant reduction of O$_2$ to H$_2$O. These polyphenol oxidases are produced by most ligninolytic basidiomycetes (Baldrian, 2006) and can degrade lignin and other recalcitrant compounds in the presence of redox mediators (Ruiz-Dueñas and Martínez, 2009). The genome of the *M. thermophila* encodes eight putative enzymes with multicopper oxidase activity. Four of them have been annotated and one (MYCTH_51627) matches the lcc1 gene product encoding an extracellular laccase (Berka et al., 1997). Four sequences are predicted to possess a secretion signal, while one appears to remain membrane-bound. Lcc1 gene has been isolated from fungi’s genome, heterologously expressed in *A. oryzae* and the produced 85 kDa enzyme (MtL) was characterized as a thermostable low oxidation potential laccase with high reactivity in aqueous medium at room temperature and neutral pH. MtL was tested for its capacity to catalyze enzymatic oxidation of several phenolic and polyphenolic compounds (ferulic acid, gallic acid, caffeic acid, and catechin) (Mustafa et al., 2005). *M. thermophila* laccases have been reported to oxidize lignin surface, by increasing the amount of radicals during thermomechanical pulp fiber material bleaching (Grönqvist et al., 2003) and promote oxidative polymerization of Kraft lignin from back liquor, which is the main by-product of pulp and paper industry (Gouveia et al., 2013).

### 4.4. Lignocellulosic potential—statistics

*M. thermophila* is a powerful lignocellulolytic organism, which secretes a complex system of carbohydrate hydrolases for the breakdown of cellulose and hemicellulose, as well as oxidoreductases embedded in lignin degradation. Genome analysis in this review revealed 30 genes encoding cellulases classified to 10 GH families, 66 genes encoding hemicellulases classified to 10 GHs, 9 CEs and 35 genes encoding auxiliary enzymes. The latter include CDHs (AA3/AA8 family), LPMOs (AA9 family) and multicopper oxidases (laccases or laccase-like enzymes). Out of the total consortium of *M. thermophila* sequences encoding proteins with putative lignocellulosic activity, 80.2% are predicted to have a secretion signal peptide. Almost
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76% of cellulases, hemicellulases and 88% of the accessory redox enzymes are targeted to secretion pathway, while only a very small amount remain inside the cell or represent membrane cell—bound macromolecules. Only 15.8% of the secreted enzymes in this review are predicted to possess a CBM and the majority of them comprise of auxiliary enzyme activities. The theoretical average molecular weight of secreted enzymes is $41.36 \pm 15.9$, varying between 10 and 97 kDa. The majority of secreted enzymes have molecular weight varying between 20 and 50 kDa, whereas $\beta$-xylosidases and $\beta$-glycosidases (GH3 family), and arabinofuranosidases (GH43 and GH51) appear to be high molecular weight proteins (Figure 4.5). The theoretical average isoelectric point of secretory enzymes is calculated $5.27 \pm 0.8$, at a range 4.34–7.9. In vivo expression and study of these enzymes would give different results, as the proteins are glycosylated, so size and pl value tend to moderate.
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**Figure 4.5.** Theoretical molecular weight of secreted enzymes of *M. thermophila* classified in several GHs and CEs families, plotted against theoretical pl. The average molecular weight was calculated at $51.05 \pm 16.2$ kDa (range between 21 and 97 kDa) for cellulolytic enzymes, $35.5 \pm 19.5$ kDa (range between 22 and 89 kDa) for hemicellulases (GHs/CEs), and $28.51 \pm 4.1$ kDa (range between 23 and 39 kDa) for the fraction of esterases.
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Protein glycosylation

A total proportion of 92.8% of secreted proteins have either N- or O- putative glycosylation sites. These proteins are often glycosylated due to the existence of many Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons, which are known to be a prerequisite for N-glycosylation post-translational modifications. The molecules of many GHs and accessory enzymes have a modular structure consisting of a catalytic module, flexible peptide linker, and CBM. Flexible linker peptides, which are rich in Ser and Thr residues, are typically O-glycosylated (Gilkes et al., 1991). The N-glycosylation seems to be restricted to the catalytic modules, and it is usually absent in other parts of enzyme molecules. Various N-linked glycan structures have been found in different enzymes from M. thermophila, belonging to different enzyme classes and protein families (Gusakov et al., 2008). It has been noticed that glycosylation follows a heterogeneity pattern, meaning that in some molecules, the same Asn residue was modified with oligosaccharides having different structure, while not all of the potential glycosylation sites were found to be occupied. The most frequently met N-linked glycan was (Man)_3(GlcNAc)_2, a pentasaccharide which represents a well-known conserved core structure that forms mammalian-type high-mannose and hybrid/complex glycans in glycoproteins from different organisms (Dwek et al., 1993). Both types of glycosylation occur in 65% of secreted cellulases, 62.1% of secreted hemicellulases, while only O-glycosylation patterns appear in most of accessory enzymes. The presence of N-linked glycans is common for catalytic domain of the enzymes, while O-glycosylation usually occurs in linker region. Even though predicted to, non-secreted enzymes are not modified in vivo with glycans, since this procedure has been noticed as a post-translational modification in proteins targeted to the secretory pathway of the cell (Blom et al., 2004).

4.5. Conclusions

Rapid depolymerization of lignocellulosic material is a distinguishing feature of thermophilic fungi, such as M. thermophila, which was isolated from soil and self-heating masses of composted vegetable matter (Domsch et al., 1993). However, the precise biochemical mechanisms and underlying genetics of this procedure are not

* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.
completely understood. Systematic examination of the *M. thermophila* genome revealed a unique enzymatic system comprising of an unusual repertoire of auxiliary enzymes, especially those classified to AA9 family, and provided insights into its extraordinary capacity for protein secretion. The current review constitutes, to the best of our knowledge, the first genomic analysis of the lignocellulolytic system of *M. thermophila*. The genomic data, along with the observed enzymatic activity of several isolated and characterized enzymes suggest that this fungus possesses a complete set of enzymes, including 30 cellulases, 66 hemicellulases, and 35 proteins with auxiliary auxiliary enzymes, covering the most of the recognized CAZy families. From its cellulases to its oxido-reductases and multicopper enzymes, *M. thermophila* gene complement represents several avenues for further research and its diverse array of enzymatic capabilities will contribute to the study of lignocellulose degradation and the subsequent ethanol biofuel production.

References


* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.


* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.


Moukouli M., Topakas E., Christakopoulos P. 2010. Optimized expression of a GH11 xylanase and a type C feruloyl esterase from Fusarium oxysporum in Pichia pastoris and study of their synergistic action, in Final Workshop, COST Action 928, Control and exploitation of enzymes for added-value products, Poster Presentation (Naples).


* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.


* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.


* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.
Table 4.1. Number of predicted CAZymes encoded in the genome of *M. thermophila*. GHs, Glycoside hydrolases; CEs, carbohydrate esterases; and PLs, polysaccharide lyases are included, covering the most of the recognized families.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific activity</th>
<th>CAZy module(s)</th>
<th>No id. seq.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cellulases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endoglucanases</td>
<td>GH 5, 7, 12, 45</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellobiohydrolases</td>
<td>GH 6, 7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-glucosidases</td>
<td>GH 1, 3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xylanases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xylanases</td>
<td>GH 10, 11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xylosidases</td>
<td>GH 3, 43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arabinases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endoarabinases</td>
<td>GH 43</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exo-arabinases / arabinofuranosidases</td>
<td>GH 43, 51, 62</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mannanases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endomannanases</td>
<td>GH 5, 26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mannosidases</td>
<td>GH 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pectinases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polygalacturonases</td>
<td>GH28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhamnosidases</td>
<td>GH78</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pectin lyases</td>
<td>PL1, PL3, PL4, PL20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pectin esterases</td>
<td>CE 8, 12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Esterases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feruloyl esterases</td>
<td>CE 1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetyl esterases</td>
<td>CE 3, 5, 16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetylmannanesterases</td>
<td>CE 12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glycuronoyl esterases</td>
<td>CE 15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Tables are provided at the end of this Chapter.
Table 4.2. Number of predicted sequences encoding enzymes with cellulolytic activity (EGs, CBHs, and BGLs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EGs</th>
<th>Protein ID</th>
<th>InterPro ID</th>
<th>InterPro description</th>
<th>CAZy module</th>
<th>GenBank ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Secretion signal</th>
<th>Exons</th>
<th>pl/M W (kDa)</th>
<th>Lenght</th>
<th>N-gly sites</th>
<th>O-gly sites</th>
<th>CBM 1</th>
<th>BLAST parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_5</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH5</td>
<td>AEO58455.1</td>
<td>4:188041-189524</td>
<td>18aa 7</td>
<td>4.66 / 35.2</td>
<td>319aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65% identity with endoglucanase GH5 from Thermosascus aurantiacus [PDB ID: 1GZJ] &amp; 79% with β-1,4-endoglucanase from Penicillium brasili anum [GenBank: ACOB06750]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_8</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH5</td>
<td>AEO53769.1</td>
<td>1:2823610-2825549</td>
<td>16aa 3</td>
<td>5.07 / 40.85</td>
<td>389aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>61% identity with cellulase family protein from Ophiostoma piceae UAMH 11346 [GenBank: EPE03278.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_9</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH5</td>
<td>AEO57401.1</td>
<td>3:908597-909880</td>
<td>21aa 1</td>
<td>4.92 / 44.6</td>
<td>406aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61% identity with endoglucanase from Verticillium dahliae [GenBank: EGY21718.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_4</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH5</td>
<td>AEO53175.1</td>
<td>1:580471-581733</td>
<td>25aa 1</td>
<td>7.86 / 44.18</td>
<td>394aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68% identity with endo-1,4-beta-glucanase from Humicola grisea [UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot:Q12622.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_1</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH7</td>
<td>AEO59361.1</td>
<td>4:4081701-4083768</td>
<td>20aa 2</td>
<td>4.75 / 47.20</td>
<td>436aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64% identity with endoglucanase I from Hypocrea orientalis [GenBank: AFD50194.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_1</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH7</td>
<td>AEO58196.1</td>
<td>3:4135959-4137568</td>
<td>22aa 1</td>
<td>4.61 / 46.632</td>
<td>442aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>56% identity with Cel12A from Humicola grisea [PDB ID: 1OLR] &amp; 63% with endoglucanase from Fusarium oxysporum [GenBank: ENH72093.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_1</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH12</td>
<td>AEO60532.1</td>
<td>6:212893-214302</td>
<td>15aa 3</td>
<td>5.46 / 25.48</td>
<td>247aa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78% identity with endoglucanase from Humicola insolens [PDB ID: 1HDS] &amp; 82% with endoglucanase from Humicola grisea [GenBank: BAA74957.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein_ID</td>
<td>InterPro_ID</td>
<td>InterPro_Description</td>
<td>CAZyme_module</td>
<td>GenBank_ID</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>pI / MW (kDa)</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>N-gly positions</td>
<td>O-gly positions</td>
<td>CBM1</td>
<td>BLAST parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_51545</td>
<td>IPR016288</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulbiohydrolase</td>
<td>GH6</td>
<td>AEO59280.1</td>
<td>4:372672-3728113</td>
<td>17aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.66 / 40.64</td>
<td>378aa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49% identity with CBH II from <em>Trichoderma reesei</em> [GenBank: ADC83999.1] &amp; 51% with CBH II from <em>Trichoderma reesei</em> [PDB ID: 3CBH]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_66729</td>
<td>IPR016288</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulbiohydrolase</td>
<td>GH6</td>
<td>AEO55787.1</td>
<td>2:46305-48489</td>
<td>17aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.28 / 49.41</td>
<td>465aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>79% identity with CBH II from <em>Humicola insolens</em> [PDB ID: 1BVW] &amp; 64% with CBH II from <em>Trichoderma viride</em> [GenBank: AAQ76941.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2303045</td>
<td>IPR016288</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulbiohydrolase</td>
<td>GH6</td>
<td>AEO57190.1</td>
<td>2:5389544-5391132</td>
<td>18aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.94 / 39.41</td>
<td>363aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56% identity with endoglucanase Cel6b from <em>Humicola insolens</em> [PDB ID: 1DY5] &amp; 39% with Cellbiohydrolase II (Cel6a) from <em>Humicola insolens</em> [1BVW]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_109566</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin / glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH7</td>
<td>AEO55544.1</td>
<td>1:9753507-9755507</td>
<td>17aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.77 / 54</td>
<td>509aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>61% identity with CBH I from <em>Humida grisea</em> [GenBank: BAA09785.1] &amp; 67% with cellulbiohydrolase Cel7d (Cbh 58) <em>Phanerochaete chrysosporium</em> [PDB: 1GPI]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_42937</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin / glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH7</td>
<td>AEO53522.1</td>
<td>1:1922814-1924693</td>
<td>20aa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.34 / 47.08</td>
<td>436aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66% identity with β-1,4-cellbiohydrolase from <em>Phanerochaete chrysosporium</em> [UniProtKB: P13860.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_97137</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin / glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH7</td>
<td>AEO61262.1</td>
<td>7:24661-26448</td>
<td>20aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.48 / 46.5</td>
<td>430aa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79% identity with β-1,4-beta-celllobiosidase from <em>Melanocarpus albomyces</em> [GenBank: CAD56667.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_95095 fragment</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin / glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH7</td>
<td>AEO58824.1</td>
<td>4:1967106-1967560</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.87 / 11.82</td>
<td>109aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C-term, ND</td>
<td>77% identity with β-1,4-beta-celllobiosidase from <em>Acremonium thermophilum</em> [GenBank: CAM98446.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGLs</td>
<td>InterPro_ID</td>
<td>InterPro_description</td>
<td>CAZy module</td>
<td>GenBank ID</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>pI/MW (kDa)</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>N-gly positions</td>
<td>O-gly positions</td>
<td>CBM 1</td>
<td>BLAST parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _115968</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH1</td>
<td>AEO57459.1</td>
<td>3:1092854-1095039</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.33 / 54.1</td>
<td>476aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92% identity with β-glucosidase from Humicola grisea [GenBank: BAA74958.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _38200</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH1</td>
<td>AEO61246.1</td>
<td>6:4096305-4099311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.54 / 10.53</td>
<td>968aa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>78% identity with β-glucosidase from Chaetomium thermophilum var. thermophilum [GenBank: EGS22574.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _2059579</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase/fibronectin type III-like domain</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO56238.1</td>
<td>2:1843191-1846185</td>
<td>23aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.94 / 94.8</td>
<td>880aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57% identity with β-1,4-glucohydrolase from M. grisea [GenBank: EKF11918.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _66804</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase/fibronectin type III-like domain</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO58343.1</td>
<td>3:486135-4863642</td>
<td>17aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.99 / 75.83</td>
<td>716aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72% identity with β-glucosidase from Trichoderma reesei [PRF: 227874]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _80304</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase/fibronectin type III-like domain</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO58175.1</td>
<td>3:394661-3952737</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.05 / 93.3</td>
<td>851aa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77% identity with β-glucosidase from Chaetomium thermophilum [GenBank: ABR57325.2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _62925</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO53892.1</td>
<td>1:330354-3333832</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.39 / 97.05</td>
<td>884aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76% identity with β-glucosidase from Fusarium oxysporum [GenBank: EMT71863.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _2302509</td>
<td>IPR026891</td>
<td>fibronectin type III-like domain</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO56946.1</td>
<td>2:4612453-4614052</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.15 / 47.75</td>
<td>440aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60% identity with β-glucosidase from Gramania davidae [GenBank: EFX01027.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH _58882</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase/fibronectin type III-like domain</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO60477.1</td>
<td>6:51326-53769</td>
<td>16aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.21 / 82.46</td>
<td>761aa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46% identity with β-glucosidase from Parastagonospora avenae [GenBank: CAB82861.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3. Description of the characterized cellulolytic enzymes either isolated from the culture broth of a *M. thermophila* C1 mutant strain or expressed in an heterologous host.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Type of action</th>
<th>GH</th>
<th>MW-monomer (kDa)</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StCel5A</td>
<td>endoglucanase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>cDNA library-EST analysis, expressed in A. niger</td>
<td>Tambor et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG51</td>
<td>endoglucanase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Bukhtojarov et al., 2004/Gusakov et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtEG7a</td>
<td>endoglucanase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>eg7a</td>
<td>expressed in <em>P. pastoris</em></td>
<td>Karnaouri et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG60</td>
<td>endoglucanase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Bukhtojarov et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG28</td>
<td>endoglucanase</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Bukhtojarov et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG25</td>
<td>endoglucanase</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Bukhtojarov et al., 2004/Gusakov et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBH Ia</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulohydrolase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Bukhtojarov et al., 2004/Gusakov et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBH IIa</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulohydrolase</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Bukhtojarov et al., 2004/Gusakov et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBH Ib</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulohydrolase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Gusakov et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBH Ib</td>
<td>1,4-beta cellulohydrolase</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from the culture broth of a C1 mutant strain</td>
<td>Gusakov et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtBgl3a</td>
<td>beta-glucosidase</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>bgl3a</td>
<td>expressed in <em>P. pastoris</em></td>
<td>Karnaouri et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bxl5</td>
<td>beta-glucosidase</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120 ± 5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>bxl5</td>
<td>homologously expressed in C1</td>
<td>Dotsenko et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein ID</td>
<td>InterPro ID</td>
<td>InterPro description</td>
<td>CAZy module</td>
<td>GenBank ID</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>pI/MW (kDa)</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2139438</td>
<td>IPR013781</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic domain</td>
<td>GH10</td>
<td>AEO58898.1</td>
<td>4:852357-853828</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.42 / 39.65</td>
<td>356aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_52904</td>
<td>IPR013781</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic domain</td>
<td>GH10</td>
<td>AEO599320.1</td>
<td>4:3932271-3933431</td>
<td>16aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.70 / 34.20</td>
<td>311aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2125938</td>
<td>IPR013781</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic domain</td>
<td>GH10</td>
<td>AEO56947.1</td>
<td>2:4614086-4614433</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.11 / 13.53</td>
<td>115aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_112050</td>
<td>IPR013781</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic domain</td>
<td>GH10</td>
<td>AEO60457.1</td>
<td>5:4306110-4307709</td>
<td>17aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.52 / 42.86</td>
<td>396aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_100008</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO57157.1</td>
<td>2:5265193-5266157</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.77 / 27.53</td>
<td>259aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_89063</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO55365.1</td>
<td>1:9130476-9131260</td>
<td>20aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.71 / 23.12</td>
<td>208aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2121801</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO62054.1</td>
<td>7:3382576-3383357</td>
<td>18aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.81 / 22.59</td>
<td>200aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_99786</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO54512.1</td>
<td>1:5821400-5822224</td>
<td>21aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.50 / 22.17</td>
<td>205aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2308574</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO60385.1</td>
<td>5:4039395-4039724</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.19 / 7.10</td>
<td>66aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2309575 (fragment)</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO60386.1</td>
<td>5:4039732-4040297</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.49 / 16.34</td>
<td>146aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_56237</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO59539.1</td>
<td>5:215065-215872</td>
<td>18aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.83 / 22.08</td>
<td>205aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_49824</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>AEO58284.1</td>
<td>3:4545212-4546113</td>
<td>16aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.93 / 23.79</td>
<td>214aa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BXYLs</th>
<th>Protein_ID</th>
<th>InterPro_ID</th>
<th>InterPro_description</th>
<th>CAZY module</th>
<th>GenBank ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Secretion signal</th>
<th>Exons</th>
<th>pI/M W (kDa)</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>N-gly position</th>
<th>O-gly position</th>
<th>Conserved domains</th>
<th>BLAST parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_104628</td>
<td>IPR017853</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO60531.1</td>
<td>6:209038-211675</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.69 / 89.69</td>
<td>835aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BglX</td>
<td>55% identity with 1,4-beta-xylosidase from Fusarium fujikuroi IMI 58289 [GenBank: CCT61496.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_50705</td>
<td>IPR017853 / IPR026891</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, catalytic core / fibronectin type III-like domain</td>
<td>GH3</td>
<td>AEO58346.1</td>
<td>3:4871063-4873395</td>
<td>21aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.57 / 79.51</td>
<td>739aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53% identity with 1,4-beta-xylosidase from Neurospora crassa [GenBank: CAB91343.2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_80104</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO58399.1</td>
<td>3:5027172-5028785</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.96 / 61.23</td>
<td>537aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>XynB</td>
<td>80% identity with beta-xylosidase from Fusarium oxysporum [GenBank: EMT73385.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2072383</td>
<td>IPR008985</td>
<td>concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO61672.1</td>
<td>7:1863035-1864780</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.77 / 53.52</td>
<td>494aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>XynB</td>
<td>45% identity with beta-xylosidase from Micromonospora sp. ATCC39149 [NCBI Ref: WP_007073468.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.5. Description of the characterized β-1,4-xylanases isolated from the culture broth of a *M. thermophila* C1 mutant strain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>CAZy module</th>
<th>MW-monomer (kDa)</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xyn10A</td>
<td>GH10</td>
<td>42 / 31*</td>
<td>5.5 - 7.0</td>
<td>65 - 70</td>
<td>7.8 / 8.9</td>
<td>xyl1</td>
<td>Ustinov et al., 2008; van Gool et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyn10B</td>
<td>GH10</td>
<td>57 / 46*</td>
<td>5.5 - 7.0</td>
<td>80 - 85</td>
<td>4.4 / 4.3</td>
<td>xyl3</td>
<td>Ustinov et al., 2008; van Gool et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyn10C</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>xyl4</td>
<td>Ustinov et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyn11A</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>xyl2</td>
<td>Ustinov et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyn11B</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.0 - 6.5</td>
<td>65 - 70</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>xyl6</td>
<td>Ustinov et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyn11C</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>xyl5</td>
<td>Ustinov et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyl7</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>22 / 30*</td>
<td>5.5 - 6.5</td>
<td>50 - 60</td>
<td>7.3 / 7.6</td>
<td>xyl7</td>
<td>van Gool et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyl8</td>
<td>GH11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.5 - 6.0</td>
<td>50 - 65</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>xyl8</td>
<td>van Gool et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*isolated in two different forms, with (high molecular weight enzyme) or without CBM (low molecular weight enzyme).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein ID</th>
<th>InterPro ID</th>
<th>InterPro description</th>
<th>CAZy module</th>
<th>GenBank ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Secretion signal</th>
<th>Exons</th>
<th>pI/MW (kDa)</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>N-gly positions</th>
<th>O-gly positions</th>
<th>Conserved domains</th>
<th>BLAST parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_39555</td>
<td>IPR006710</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO61077.1</td>
<td>6:3204367-3205350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.87 / 37.12</td>
<td>327aa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82% identity with a-N-arabinofuranosidase / alpha-L-arabinofuranosidase from Fusarium fujikuroi [GenBank: CCT69715.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2303298</td>
<td>IPR006710</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO57303.1</td>
<td>3:514704-516772</td>
<td>23aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.91 / 59.16</td>
<td>535aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55% identity with arabinase from Auricularia delicata [GenBank: EJD41599.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2305738</td>
<td>IPR006710</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO58423.1</td>
<td>4:27829-29545</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.90 / 33.18</td>
<td>301aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52% identity with a-N-arabinofuranosidase 2 from Fusarium oxysporum [GenBank: EMT68952.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_103032</td>
<td>IPR16840</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43, endo-1,5-alpha-L-arabinosidase</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO58422.1</td>
<td>4:25889-27048</td>
<td>20aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.63 / 32.74</td>
<td>301aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60% identity with arabinan endo-1,5-alpha-L-arabinosidase A from Aspergillus kawachii [GenBank: GAA90221.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2300677</td>
<td>IPR006710</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO56123.1</td>
<td>2:1449574-1451195</td>
<td>22aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.79 / 41.72</td>
<td>410aa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38% identity with endo-arabinase from Colletotrichum gloeosporioides [GenBank: ELA34066.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2064169</td>
<td>IPR006710</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO58916.1</td>
<td>4:2252824-2255361</td>
<td>16aa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.42 / 64.17</td>
<td>573aa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65% identity with arabinofuranosidase from Coprinopsis cinerea [NCBI Ref: XP_001836293.2] &amp; 52% with beta-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_201869</td>
<td>IPR006710</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase family 43</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO56692.1</td>
<td>2:3685597-3687704</td>
<td>18aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.89 / 65.71</td>
<td>613aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55% identity with Xylosidase/arabinosidase (arabinofuranoside) from Fusarium oxysporum [GenBank: ENH67211.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2127683</td>
<td>IPR007934</td>
<td>N-Arabinofuranosidase B</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO58631.1</td>
<td>4:1109767-1110916</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.91 / 36.35</td>
<td>339aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65% with N-Arabinofuranosidase from Chaetomium sp. [GenBank: AUF88757.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_2306666</td>
<td>IPR023296</td>
<td>glycosyl hydrolase, five-bladed-beta-propeller domain</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>AEO58919.1</td>
<td>4:2260967-2262690</td>
<td>25aa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.26 / 35.76</td>
<td>329aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72% identity with arabinosidase (arabinofuranosidase) from Glomerella graminicola [GenBank: EFQ32395.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_42071</td>
<td>IPR010720</td>
<td>a-L-arabinofuranosidase, C-terminal</td>
<td>GH51</td>
<td>AEO53569.1</td>
<td>1:2109152-2111615</td>
<td>18aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.80 / 69.54</td>
<td>636aa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54% identity with a-L-arabinofuranosidase from <em>Penicillium chrysogenum</em> [GenBank: BAH70480.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_83019</td>
<td>IPR010720</td>
<td>a-L-arabinofuranosidase, C-terminal</td>
<td>GH51</td>
<td>AEO58452.1</td>
<td>4:178906-181205</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.81 / 57.67</td>
<td>512aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75% identity with a-L-arabinofuranosidase from <em>Glomerella graminicola</em> [GenBank: EFQ27215.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_98003</td>
<td>IPR005193</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, family 62, arabinosidase</td>
<td>GH62</td>
<td>AEO69934.1</td>
<td>6:2448019-2449251</td>
<td>22aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.52 / 37.84</td>
<td>353aa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CBM1 73% identity with a-L-arabinofuranosidase axhA-2 from <em>Colletotrichum higginsianum</em> [GenBank: CCF42219.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_55982</td>
<td>IPR005193</td>
<td>glycoside hydrolase, family 62, arabinosidase</td>
<td>GH62</td>
<td>AEO59813.1</td>
<td>5:1711636-1712601</td>
<td>19aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.90 / 33.1</td>
<td>302aa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>77% identity with a-L-arabinofuranosidase from <em>Streptomyces ghanaensis</em> [NCBI Ref: WP_004979944.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCTH_104827</td>
<td>IPR011040</td>
<td>Sialidases</td>
<td>GH93</td>
<td>AEO55492.1</td>
<td>1:9532077-9534361</td>
<td>17aa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.16 / 41.8</td>
<td>378aa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>56% with exo-arabinanase from <em>Penicillium chrysogenum</em> [NCBI Ref: XP_002562032.1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.7. Description of the characterized endoarabinases and arabinofuranosidases isolated from the culture broth of a *M. thermophila* C1 mutant strain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>CAZy module</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>MW-monomer (kDa)</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>Uniprot / SwissProt ID</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abf1</td>
<td>GH62</td>
<td>Arabinofuranosidase / releases O-2 or O-3 arabinose from mono-substituted xylose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>abf1</td>
<td>abf1</td>
<td>selectively produced in C1-host</td>
<td>Hinz <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abf2</td>
<td>GH62</td>
<td>Arabinofuranosidase / releases O-2 or O-3 linked arabinofuranosyl residues from mono-substituted xylose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>abf2</td>
<td>abf2</td>
<td>selectively produced in C1-host</td>
<td>Hinz <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abf3</td>
<td>GH51</td>
<td>Arabinofuranosidase / releases arabinose from the non-reducing end of reduced arabinose oligomers</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>HQ324254</td>
<td>abf3</td>
<td>selectively produced in C1-host</td>
<td>Pouvreau <em>et al.</em>, 2011b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abn7</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>Arabinofuranosidase / releases O-3 linked arabinofuranosyl residues from di-substituted xylose</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>HQ324255</td>
<td>abn7</td>
<td>selectively produced in C1-host</td>
<td>Pouvreau <em>et al.</em>, 2011b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abn1</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>Endoarabinase</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>HQ324251</td>
<td>abn1</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Kühnel <em>et al.</em>, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abn2</td>
<td>GH93</td>
<td>Exoarabinase / arabinobiose from the non-reducing end of reduced arabinose oligomers.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>abn2</td>
<td>abn2</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Kühnel <em>et al.</em>, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abn4</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>Arabinofuranosidase / releases arabinose from the non-reducing end of reduced arabinose oligomers</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>HQ324253</td>
<td>abn4</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Kühnel <em>et al.</em>, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abn5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arabinofuranosidase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>abn5</td>
<td>abn5</td>
<td>selectively produced in C1-host</td>
<td>Hinz <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.8. Description of *M. thermophila* characterized esterases (FAEs, AcEs, and GEs).

### FAEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>MW-monomer (kDa)</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StFaeB</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>33*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55-60</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>isolated from culture supernatant</td>
<td>Topakas et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StFaeC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>23*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>&lt;3.5</td>
<td>isolated from culture supernatant</td>
<td>Topakas et al., 2005b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAE</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>isolated from culture supernatant</td>
<td>Topakas et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FaeA1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>≈ 5.5</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Kühnel et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FaeA2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>≈ 5.2</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Kühnel et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FaeB2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>≈ 6.0</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Kühnel et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtFae1a</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>fae1a</td>
<td>expressed in <em>P. pastoris</em></td>
<td>Topakas et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* dimeric structures are detected, after comparing the results of SDS-PAGE and native electrophoresis

### AcEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>MW-monomer (kDa)</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MtAxe3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>axe3</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Pouvreau et al., 2011a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtAxe2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>axe2</td>
<td>overexpressed in fermentation supernatant</td>
<td>Pouvreau et al., 2011a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>MW-monomer (kDa)</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StGE2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ge2</td>
<td>expressed in <em>P. pastoris</em></td>
<td>Topakas et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StEG1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>isolated from culture supernatant</td>
<td>Vafiadi et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Endoglucanases [E.C. 3.2.1.4] play a key role in cellulose degradation and act at the initial stages of hydrolytic conversion of the cellulosic components into fermentable sugars. These enzymes catalyze the initial attack on the polymer by hydrolyzing β-1,4 glucosidic bonds within amorphous regions of cellulose chains (Xiros et al. 2012). Enzyme thermostability is essential during the saccharification process, which converts lignocellulosic biomass to reducing sugars, because steam is usually used as a pretreatment step in order to make the biomass more suitable for enzymatic hydrolysis. The thermostable enzymes can be used directly after the heating step, thereby decreasing the processing time, saving energy and improving fermentation yields. Considerable emphasis has also been placed on identifying alkalistable enzymes that are active on an alkaline pH range used in many biotechnological applications, such as modifying the structure of cellulose fibrils, as additives to laundry detergents, as well as in cotton treatment process (Anish et al. 2007). Together with the stability of the enzymes used in the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to reducing sugars and the subsequent ethanol production, the ability of liquefaction is very important; when aiming at ethanol concentrations above 4% (w/w) in the broth (which is considered as a minimum prerequisite for a feasible large-scale distillation technology) the initial dry matter (DM) loading has to be over 15% for most technical substrates (Fan et al. 2003). The high gravity processes (HG, initial DM concentrations of lignocellulose above 12% (w/w)) have gained much attention, as they result in high final ethanol concentrations and therefore at a significantly decreased cost of the distillation step. However, these high-solid contents create an environment in which practically no free water exists in the pretreated material, resulting in a difficulty to handle the slurry. The poor mass-transfer conditions can be improved by means of a partial enzymatic hydrolysis stage (liquefaction), where the structured, porous and water-absorbing high solid substrate is converted to a more flowable fluid (Szipjartó et al. 2011a). This change in viscosity is
probably due to the gradual reduction of the average chain length of cellulose polysaccharides by endo-acting enzymes, such as endoglucanases.

The thermophilic fungi *Myceliophthora thermophila* ATCC 42464, as described in Chapter 4, synthesizes a complete set of endoglucanases. In this chapter, the successful cloning of the complete genomic DNA sequence of an endoglucanase gene belonging to GH7 family, the heterologous expression in methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris* and the characterization of the recombinant enzyme are described. Although many endoglucanases were characterized from fungi previously, the properties of the recombinant *M. thermophila* MtEG7a described here are distinct from them in terms of catalytic efficiency and thermostability. Apart from that, viscosity measurements showed the liquefaction efficiency of the enzyme on high solid lignocellulose substrates.

5.1. Identification and cloning of MtEG7a

From genome analysis, the translation of eg7a open reading frame (ORF) (Model ID 111372) from the *M. thermophila* genome database shows significant primary sequence identity with characterized endoglucanases which have been classified to family GH7 on CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Cantarel et al. 2009). The putative endoglucanase showed high sequence identity (64%) with endoglucanase I from *Hypocrea orientalis* [GenBank: AFD50194.1]. The hypothetical protein of 111372 was selected as a candidate endoglucanase and the corresponding gene, which was provisionally named eg7a, has no introns leading us to the direct cloning and expression of the encoding enzyme named MtEG7a (Table 5.1).

The ORF of eg7a encodes a protein of +64 amino acids including a secretion signal peptide of 22 amino acids (MGQKTLQGLVAAALAAHSVANA) based upon the prediction using SignalP v4.0, which is a web-based program (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The predicted mass and isoelectric point (pI) of the mature protein was 46629 Da and pH 4.61, respectively, by calculations using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).
Table 5.1. Properties of *MtEG7* obtained from genome analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome Portal ID</th>
<th>66960</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>3:413592-413768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Glycoside hydrolase7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains</td>
<td>CBM1, Pfam: PR00784, InterProScan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (translation)</td>
<td>1365 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (transcription)</td>
<td>3'STP, 3'STP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>484 aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical predicted MW</td>
<td>MGQKLQGLVAAAALAASVANA (22 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theoretical pI</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites N-Glyc</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SignalP (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) [Bendtsen et al., 2004]
ProtParam (http://expasy.org/tools/protparam/) [Wilkins et al., 1999]
NetNGlyc (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) [Gupta et al., 2004]
The gene coding for the hypothetical protein MtEG7a (Model ID 111372; chromosome_3:4135959-4137568) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers designed accordingly to the available gene sequence (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/, DOE Joint Genome Institute) including the ClaI and XbaI restriction enzyme sites at their respective 5'-ends. The two specific primers were designed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
<th>% GC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'-GGA TCG AGT CAG CAA CCG GCC ACC TTC ACG - 3'</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-GCT TCA GAA GGC ACT GCG AGT AGT CG - 3'</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A high fidelity KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase producing blunt ends was used for the DNA amplification which was carried out with 30 cycles of denaturation (20 s at 95°C), annealing (10 s at 58°C), and extension (26 s at 70°C), followed by 1 min of further extension at 70°C. In order to determine the DNA sequence, the PCR product was cloned into the PCR-Blunt vector according to the method described by the Zero Blunt PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, USA). The PCR Blunt vector carrying the eg7a gene was digested with ClaI and XbaI, and the produced fragment was gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/eg7a which was amplified in E. coli TOP10F', and the transformants were selected by scoring for zeocin resistance (25 µg/ml). The recombinant vector pPICZαC/eg7a was confirmed by restriction analysis (Figure 4.1). Then, it was linearized with restriction enzyme SacI to allow gene replacement at the AOX1 locus and was used to transform P. pastoris X33.

5.2. Transformation of P. pastoris and screening of recombinant transformants

P. pastoris host strain X33 and pPICZαC (Invitrogen, USA) were used for protein expression. P. pastoris was routinely grown in shaking flasks at 30°C according to the instructions in the EasySelect Pichia Expression Kit (Invitrogen, USA). High-level expression transformants were screened from the YPDS plates containing zeocin
at a final concentration of 100 μg/mL. To screen the *P. pastoris* transformants for endoglucanase expression, 50 colonies were plated out on methanol medium (MM) (1.34% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base, 4x10-5% (w/v) biotin and 0.5% (v/v) methanol top agar containing 1% (w/v) CMC (carboxymethyl-cellulose) at a density of 1 colony/cm². After incubation at 30°C for 24 h, the plates were overlaid with 4 ml of 0.1% Congo red in phosphate–citrate 100 mM pH 5.0 buffer solution. After incubation for 15 min, the plates were washed with 1 M NaCl to reveal clear zones against a red background that were developed by the hydrolysis of CMC (Figure 4.2).

**Figure 5.1.** Amplification of eg7 gene through PCR (A), cloning of the PCR product into the PCR® Blunt vector (Invitrogen, USA), amplification in *E. coli* TOP10 cells and digestion with *ClaI* and *XbaI* (B). The produced fragment was gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/eg7α (C) which was amplified in *E. coli* TOP10F cells.
Figure 5.2. The pPICZαC/eg7a transformants were selected by their ability to grow on agar plates containing zeocin and their ability to produce surrounding clear zones on MM agar plates containing CMC after Congo red treatment, which are indicative of endoglucanase expression.

5.3. Production and purification of recombinant MtEG7a – Enzyme assay

The production of the recombinant P. pastoris harboring eg7a gene was grown and harvested, as previously described (Topakas et al. 2012). The cultures were kept in a shaking incubator at 30°C for 6 days (200 rpm) with the addition of 0.75 ml methanol once a day to maintain induction (0.5% v/v). Ten colonies zeocin resistant were screened for protein expression and secretion under methanol induction. All transformants produced a major secreted protein product of ca. 65 kDa upon examination of culture supernatants by SDS-PAGE, whereas no protein could be detected with the vector control.

To confirm the production of endoglucanase activity by the transformants, all ten independent clones were assayed using CMC. The endoglucanase activity was determined by incubating the enzyme with 1% CMC, for 15 min in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. The concentration of reducing ends was determined using the dinitrosalicylic acid reagent (DNS) (Miller 1959). Glucose was used for the standard curve. One unit (U) of activity was defined as the amount of enzyme which released 1 μmol of glucose equivalents per min under assay conditions. Recombinant protein was determined by measuring A_{280nm} using molar absorptivity of 83070 M^{-1} cm^{-1} (Stoscheck
1990). The clone showing the highest activity was retained for further study. Endoglucanase activity could be first detected in the medium 24 h after inoculation and peaked at 144 h with a titer of 468 U/ml (Figure 5.3).

**Figure 5.3.** Time course of *Mt*EG7a endoglucanase activity (■) and biomass (●) production of the recombinant *P. pastoris* harbouring the eg7a gene. The endoglucanase was expressed in culture broth by induction with 0.5% methanol and measured with CMC as substrate.

For the purification of the recombinant endoglucanase, 800 ml of culture broth were centrifuged and concentrated 30-fold using an Amicon ultrafiltration apparatus (Amicon chamber 8400 with membrane Diaflo PM-10, exclusion size 10 kDa), (Millipore, Billerica, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 8.0) and loaded onto an immobilized metal-ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) column (Talon, Clontech; 1.0 cm i.d., 15 cm length) equilibrated with the same buffer. The column was first washed with 300 ml buffer, then a linear gradient from 0 to 100 mM imidazole in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (60 ml, pH 8.0) was applied at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. Fractions (2 ml) containing endoglucanase activity were concentrated and the homogeneity of the purified recombinant *Mt*EG7a was examined on a SDS-PAGE, which appeared as a single band. The molecular weight was estimated to be ca. 65 kDa (Figure 5.4a), which appears to be higher than the predicted value using the
ProtParam tool of ExPASY (49455 Da) considering the presence of the myc epitope and the polyhistidine tag which contribute 2.8 kDa to the size of MtEG7a. The nominal mass discrepancy observed for MtEG7a might be explained by the existence of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons, which are known to be a prerequisite for N-glycosylation post-translational modifications. Indeed, 2 N-glycosylation sites were predicted by using the NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) to occur at Asn residues, while another 2 Asn-Pro-Ser sequons were found in which Pro residue is known to preclude N-linked glycosylation in most cases by rendering Asn inaccessible. Moreover, several potential O-glycosylation sites were expected along the flexible linker peptide rich in Ser and Thr residues between the catalytic and non-catalytic CBM of protein, which is often O-glycosylated due to the use of a yeast expression system (Conde et al. 2004). Indeed, 13 potential O-glycosylation sites (4 Ser and 9 Thr) were identified, as predicted by using the NetOGlyc 3.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/).

Figure 5.4 SDS-PAGE (a) and IEF (b) of MtEG7a. (a) Lanes: 1, LMW standard protein markers; 2, P. pastoris culture broth; 3, purified MtEG7a. (b) Lanes: 1, standard protein markers with pI range 3.5-9.3; 2, purified MtEG7a.

For the determination of isoelectric point (pI), isoelectric focusing (IEF) was performed with the Phastsystem using PhastGel IEF (Amersham Biosciences AB) using broad-range IEF markers (pH 3-9) from Pharmacia. The calculated pI value of
the translated recombinant *Mt*EG7a was found 4.76, which is very close to the experimentally determined value range found from the IEF in the pH range of 3–9 (multiple bands in the range of 3.8–4.5; **Figure 5.4b**).

### 5.4. Enzyme characterization (I) – Temperature and pH optimal activity / stability

The optimal temperature was determined using the standard assay procedure at temperatures ranging from 30 to 90°C in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the standard assay procedure, after incubation of 0.28 mg of purified *Mt*EG7a at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimum temperature activity was observed at 60°C, losing rapidly its activity for temperatures over 65°C (**Figure 5.5b**). The endoglucanase remained fairly stable up to 40°C after preincubation for 8 hours in 100 mM phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 5.0) at different temperatures (**Figure 5.6**). However, at higher temperatures the enzyme lost part of its initial activity, retaining more than 40% at temperatures up to 80°C for 8 hours of incubation. *Mt*EG7a exhibits half-lives of 9.96 h and 6.5 h at 70°C and 80°C, respectively.

**Figure 5.5** Effect of pH (a) and temperature (b) on the activity of *Mt*EG7a. The experiment was carried out in duplicates.

The optimal pH was determined by the standard assay at 50°C over the pH range 3.0–11.0 using either 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 3.0-7.0, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7-9 or 0.1 M glycine-NaOH buffer pH 9-11. The stability at different pH was determined after incubating the enzyme in the above buffers at 4°C for 24 h and then
measuring the activity remaining using the standard assay. The enzyme presented the highest activity levels at pH 5.0 and the >80% of the peak activity was displayed at pH 6, while the activity drops rapidly for pH less than 4 or higher than 7 (Figure 5.5a). The enzyme was found remarkably stable in the pH range 3-11 after 24 h retaining its initial activity (data not shown). The activity of MtEG7a at different pH values and temperature conditions reveals that this enzyme corresponds to an acidic thermophilic endoglucanase.

![Figure 5.5a](image)

**Figure 5.6** Effect of temperature (● 30°C, ○ 40°C, ▼ 50°C, Δ 60°C, ■ 70°C and □ 80°C) on the stability of MtEG7a. The experiment was carried out in duplicates.

5.5. Enzyme characterization (II) – Adsorption on microcrystalline cellulose

The translated sequence of eg7a gene, feature a recognizable carbohydrate binding module (CBM), which is quite common not only to other known endoglucanases but also to cellulolytic enzymes generally in literature. Through this domain, which belongs to the CBM family 1 of the CAZy database, the enzyme adsorbs on the surface of the substrate at the initial step of hydrolysis. It contains conserved cysteines which form disulfide bridges, five aromatic amino acid residues (Tyr433, Trp441, Tyr451, Tyr455 and Trp459), and two glutamines (Gln435 and Gln456) which are essential for the adsorption capacity (Linder *et al*. 1995).
Adsorption of the *MtEG7a* on cellulose was estimated using 10 mg/ml Avicel as substrate in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0 (Medve et al. 1998). Enzyme solutions with known concentrations of protein (5.7 – 113.6 μg/ml) were incubated for 1 hour at 4°C under continuous mixing then filtered and the residual activity was estimated by standard assay. The amount of unbound protein was also determined by measuring A280nm. This adsorption can often be described by the Langmuir isotherm model: \[ [A] = [A_{\text{max}}] \times K_{\text{ad}} \times [E] / (1 + K_{\text{ad}} \times [E]) \] where \([A]\) represents the adsorbed enzyme, \([A_{\text{max}}]\) the maximum adsorption amount, \(K_{\text{ad}}\) the adsorption equilibrium constant, and \([E]\) the initial enzyme concentration in the reaction (Nimz 1974).

The enzyme adsorption on Avicel cellulose is influenced by the concentration of the protein relative to the substrate. At initial enzyme concentration 5.7 μg/mg substrate, the amount of bounded enzyme was 92 %, at 4 °C for 1 hour. The parameters in the Langmuir isotherm were optimized using non-linear regression. The maximum adsorbed amount (\(W_{\text{max}}\)) was found 110.4 ± 1.8 μg/mg substrate. At the point where the adsorbed amount of *MtEG7a* was half of the maximum amount, the equilibrium concentration (1/\(K\)) was 128.7 ± 0.02 mg/ml. With the assumptions that the substrate binding sites are equivalent and the reaction mix was homogeneous, the Langmuir isotherm was found to describe the adsorption well.

### 5.6. Enzyme characterization (III) – Substrate specificity

To investigate *substrate specificity* of *MtEG7a*, multiple substrates, such as isolated polysaccharides (lichenan, barley β-glucan, laminarin, wheat arabinoxylan, HEC, HPMC, Avicel) or xylans (oat spelt, beechwood and birchwood xylan) were selected. Enzyme activity was determined after incubation in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 1.0% of each substrate at 50°C for 15 min. The amount of reducing sugars produced was estimated using the DNS method, as described above. The activity against filter paper was determined, as previously described (Wood and Bhat 1988). Enzyme activity on p-NPG and p-NPX was tested in reaction mixtures (1 ml) containing 0.145 mg *MtEG7a* and 10 mM p-NPG or p-NPX in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. The mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 50°C. The amount of p-nitrophenol released was measured at A410nm after addition of 0.2 ml 1 M
Na$_2$CO$_3$. For determining the kinetic constants ($K_m$, $k_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ ratio) of MtEG7a for the hydrolysis of CMC, the enzyme was incubated at different concentrations of the polysaccharide ranging from 0.10 – 20 % (w/v) in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0 at 50°C.

The enzyme showed relatively high activity toward barley β-glucan (298 U/mg) and 1% low viscosity CMC (177 U/mg) and lower activity toward lichenan (26 U/mg), wheat arabinoxylan (5 U/mg) and other xylans suggesting that its primal enzymatic activity is to hydrolyze the β-1,4 linkages of the substrates (Table 5.2). The enzyme exhibited very low activity on Avicel cellulose, while showed no activity toward laminarin, arabic gum, and p-NPG. Since β-glucan is a linear polysaccharide formed from glucose residues bound by β-1,4 and β-1,3 glucoside bonds, this enzyme could be classified as β-1,3-1,4-glucanase. However, MtEG7a completely lacked activity against laminarin (β-1,3-glucan), thus it is obvious that the enzyme is strictly specific in hydrolyzing β-1,4-bonds.

### Table 5.2 Activity of purified MtEG7a on polysaccharide substrates. The enzyme was not active on the following substrates: p-NPG, p-NPX, HPMC, laminarin and arabic gum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Specific activity (U/mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley β-glucan 1%</td>
<td>298 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC 4% low viscosity</td>
<td>230 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC 1% low viscosity</td>
<td>177 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC 1% high viscosity</td>
<td>106 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichenan 1%</td>
<td>26 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat spelt xylan 1%</td>
<td>10 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat arabinoxylan 1%</td>
<td>5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beechwood xylan 1%</td>
<td>5 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birchwood xylan 1%</td>
<td>4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter paper</td>
<td>5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEC 1%</td>
<td>2 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avicel</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For studying the hydrolysis products of cellooligosaccharides under the action of the purified enzyme, 1 ng of MtEG7a and 30 μM cellotriose (G3), cellotetraose (G4) or cellopentaose (G5), respectively, in 4 ml citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) was incubated at 50°C for 100 min. During hydrolysis, samples of 250 μl were taken and inactivated by boiling for 15 min prior to analysis using high-performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC), by a CarboPac PA-1 (4 x 250 mm, Dionex) column with a pulsed amperometric detector equipped with a gold electrode. For the analysis, NaOH (66 mM), NaOAc (500 mM) in 66 mM NaOH and 500 mM NaOH as eluent A, B and C respectively, at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The column was pre-equilibrated for 20 min in 100% A. Following sample injection, a gradient run was performed as follows: 0–5 min, isocratic step (100% A), 5.1–30 min 0-20% B, 30.1–35 min 100% B, 35.1–40 min 100%C. The column was stabilized for 10 min between separate injections (100% A). For the identification and quantification of hydrolysis products D-glucose and G2–G5 cello-oligosaccharides were used as carbohydrate standards. Assuming that the condition $[E_0] < [S_0] < K_m$ is satisfied (where $[E_0]$ and $[S_0]$ represent the concentrations of the enzyme and substrate, respectively), the enzymatic hydrolysis of cello-oligosaccharides was regarded as a first-order reaction. Since the integrated rate equation for the first-order kinetics can be written as $k*t = \ln([S_0]/[S_t])$, where $k=(k_{cat}/K_m)[\text{enzyme}]$, whereas $[S_0]$ and $[S_t]$ represent substrate concentration prior to the start of the reaction and at a specified time during the reaction, respectively (Matsui et al. 1991), the estimation of the catalytic efficiency of MtEG7a against cello-oligosaccharides was made using the above equation.

Analysis of the hydrolysis patterns of cellooligosaccharides G3, G4 and G5 were showed that the enzyme displaying no detectable activity against cellobiose (G2). The hydrolysis of G3 produced G2 and glucose, the hydrolysis of G4 produced only G2, whereas when the enzyme catalyzed the hydrolysis of G5, the products were G2 and G3 which was subsequently cleaved to glucose and G2. The catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_m$) of MtEG7a against G3, G4 and G5 was 4.1 x 103, 5.6 x 104 and 6.8 x 104 min⁻¹ M⁻¹, respectively. Kinetics parameters were also calculated for the hydrolysis of CMC at concentrations between 0.10 – 20 % (w/v), where the endoglucanase exhibited a catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_v$) of 18.82 ml mg⁻¹ min⁻¹ ($V_{max} = 622.5 \pm 86.4$ U min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ of protein and $K_v = 24 \pm 0.5$ mg CMC ml⁻¹).
5.7. Viscosity measurements

The change in viscosity of CMC solution was determined using an Ostwald viscometer and incubating a 5-ml reaction mixture containing 4% CMC (low viscosity) and the enzyme (0.28 μg) in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 5.0 at 50°C. The time of outflow was measured at different time intervals up to 2 hours. Simultaneously, another set of reactions was carried out under identical conditions for different time intervals and the amount of reducing sugar released was estimated by DNS method. The specific viscosity ($n_{sp}$) was calculated by the formula $n_{sp} = (t-t_o)/t_o$, where $t_o$ and $t$ represent the flow time of the buffer and reaction mixture respectively. This was plotted against the amount of released sugars and showed that even a small amount of *MtEG7a* caused rapid decrease in the relative viscosity of the CMC solution. When 0.28 μg of purified enzyme was added to 5 ml of CMC 4% (w/v) solution, almost 50% loss of viscosity was observed at the initial stage of the reaction, with simultaneous release of small sugar amounts (Figure 5.7), which suggested that it is an endo-acting endoglucanase catalyzing randomly the cleavage of polymeric cellulose (Christakopoulos et al. 1995).

![Figure 5.7](image)

**Figure 5.7** Plot of viscosity decrease ($n_{sp}$) versus the release of reducing sugars for the hydrolysis of CMC by the *MtEG7a* endoglucanase.

The capability of *MtEG7a* to reduce the viscosity of high-solid biomass suspensions using an oscillation viscometric measurement method was investigated.
The substrate used for the hydrolysis was hydrothermally pretreated wheat straw (*Triticum aestivum* L.; PWS), obtained from Inbicon A/S (Fredericia, Denmark). Wheat straw residence time in the hydrothermal reactor averaged 12 min with the reactor temperature maintained at 190°C by injection of steam (Thomsen *et al.* 2006). The solid fiber fraction was analyzed according to the procedure of Xiros *et al.* 2009 and was found to contain 50.2% glucan, 3.8% hemicellulose, 25.5% acid insoluble lignin and 2.8% starch, based on DM (w/w). The hydrolysis step of PWS catalyzed by purified *MtEG7a* was carried out in a liquefaction reactor based on fall mixing consisted of a 6 cm wide and 25 cm in diameter chamber (Figure 5.8). A horizontal rotating shaft mounted with three paddlers in each chamber was used for mixing/agitation. A 0.37 kW motor was used as drive and the rotation speed was set at 7 rpm. The direction of rotation was programmed to shift twice a minute between clockwise and anticlockwise. Mixing and falling down of material results to better saccharification at shorter time duration. An oil-filled heating jacket on the outside enables the control of the temperature up to 90°C.

The experiments were performed at 45 - 60°C, using 5 mg/g DM of *MtEG7a* that is contained in *P. pastoris* concentrated culture supernatant adjusted at pH=5.0, resulting in 800 g of 18% (w/w) DM. Microbial contaminations were prevented by the addition of 0.02% (w/v) sodium azide. For the determination of the viscosity, aliquots of the liquefacted PWS were taken in different time intervals and apparent viscosities of slurries were measured with an Anton Paar Physica MCR rheometer (Anton Paar Gmbh, Austria), using a parallel plate system with roughened plates. This plate system is reported to be well suited for measuring nearly all of the rheological properties in biomass slurry consisted of pretreated corn stover (Stickel *et al.* 2009). Oscillatory measurements were taken at 25°C, at angular velocities (ω) of the spindle between 10 – 100 rad/s and normal force of the parallel plates was set at 0 N. Aliquots of the reaction mixture were transferred to pre-weighed Falcon tubes, boiled for 10 min in order to inactivate the enzyme, diluted approximately 10-times and centrifuged. Clear supernatants of the diluted samples were analyzed for reducing sugars by the DNS method (Miller 1959) using D-glucose as standard.
Figure 5.8 The laboratory scale liquefaction reactor based on fall mixing that was employed for the hydrolysis and the reduction of viscosity of PWS (18% w/w, DM) by the recombinant *MtEG7a*.

In all reactions the apparent viscosity decreased with increasing shear rates typically found for non-Newtonian liquids (Stickel *et al*. 2009). The apparent initial viscosity of the mixture (*t = 0*) was found very high (1860 Pa·s), and, as expected, the viscosity decreased with reaction time, owing to the loss of the lignocellulose structure and water-binding capacity in cellulose degradation. The decrease in viscosity was found to be most pronounced within the first 1.5 hour of reaction (Figure 5.9a). Since the viscosity response to angular velocity $\omega$ was proportional in all reactions at angular
velocities of less than 60 rad/s (data not shown), the reactions could be compared at a
single \( \omega \) and for the specific velocity of 50 rad/s, at which all measurements at different
time points for the different reactions were within the linear range. \( Mt\text{\textsubscript{EG7a}} \)
endoglucanase was found to catalyze the liquefaction of PWS more efficiently at 55\(^\circ\)C
(Figure 4.9a). At this temperature, the viscosity decreased drastically from 1773 to 302
Pa\(\cdot\)s within the initial 3 hours of hydrolysis and then became relatively stable for the
rest of the liquefaction reaction. Moreover, the saccharification levels obtained at 55\(^\circ\)C
were far above those obtained at 50\(^\circ\)C and 60\(^\circ\)C (Figure 5.9b).

5.8. Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to image the surface
morphology of the initial and the resulting dried fiber fraction from wheat straw after 6
hours of enzymatic hydrolysis at 55 \(^\circ\)C. The SEM images were done using a FEI
Quanta 200 (FEI Company, Eindhoven, The Netherlands), operated at an accelerating
voltage of 25–30 kV. All samples were coated with gold in an Emitech K550X sputter
coater. The straw initially exhibited rigid and highly ordered fibrils with smooth and
contiguous surface (Figure 5.10a), while the fibers of hydrolyzed samples were
distorted (Figure 5.10b). When the biomass was treated with \( Mt\text{\textsubscript{EG7a}} \) clefts appeared
on the surface of PWS, which seems to be slightly corroded and became heterogeneous
and loose.

Figure 5.10 SEM images (×3,000 of magnitude) of PWS before (a) and after 6 h of
hydrolysis (b) catalyzed by \( Mt\text{\textsubscript{EG7a}} \) in a laboratory scale liquefaction reactor based on
fall mixing.
The gene encoding MtEG7a from *M. thermophila* was expressed in the heterologous host *P. pastoris*. After induction with methanol, the hydrolytic activity towards CMC and the accumulation of the recombinant enzyme in the culture broth increased significantly up to 468 U/ml after 6-day culture. This expression yield is 20–30-fold higher than levels observed at *Volvariella volvacea* endoglucanase using the *P. pastoris* system (15.7 – 24.1 U/ml; Ding et al. 2002). Total extracellular enzyme activity in the yeast expression system was about 80 times higher compared with that reported previously for a *E. coli* construct developed to produce recombinant *Trichoderma reesei* Cel7B endoglucanase (5.78 U/ml; Nakazawa et al. 2008) and *Erwinia chrysanthemi* endoglucanase (7.8 U/ml; Zhou et al. 1999) for use in industrial applications.

The *P. pastoris* expression system has been used successfully to study recombinant endoglucanases from various fungi species, like for example from *Aspergilli* species *Aspergillus kawachii* (AkCel61) (Koseki et al. 2008) and *Aspergillus niger* (EGI) (Shumiao et al. 2010). The methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris* is a favorite system for expressing heterologous proteins due to its many advantages, such as protein processing, protein folding and post-translational modification (Cereghino and Cregg 2004).

The calculated molecular mass for MtEG7a and the pI value (46629 Da and pH 4.61, respectively) are similar to other fungal endoglucanases isolated from *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* (45 kDa, pI 3.8) (Mansfield et al. 1998) and *Aspergillus aculeatus* (45 kDa, pI 4.3) (Naika et al. 2007). However, the higher molecular weight found by SDS-PAGE (ca. 65 kDa) might be explained by N- and O-glycosylation post-translational modifications, particularly along the flexible linker peptide rich in Ser and Thr residues between the catalytic and non-catalytic CBM of protein. The same observation was indicated in the heterologous expression of a GH61 cellulase from the same thermophilic fungus (*S. Cel61a*) in *P. pastoris*, where 23 potential O-glycosylation sites were predicted on the Ser-Thr linker region (Dimarogona et al. 2012). The glycosylation patterns of the recombinant endoglucanase produced by *P. pastoris* may shift the pI (multiple bands in the range of pH 3.8–4.5), as a result of the charged carbohydrate groups added to the molecule during post-translational modification.
These glycosylation patterns have been showed to vary considerably in the length and the type of oligosaccharides. Even in the same glycosylation Golgi machinery of one cell, it is possible for two proteins to be differently glycosylated and has been proposed that this heterogeneity is related to specific sequences recognized by the various glycosylation systems. It has been observed that some proteins heterologously expressed in *P. pastoris* vary considerably in terms of the number of the mannose units added to the same polysaccharide core (Daly and Hearn 2005).

The purified recombinant MtEG7a showed the highest activity toward barley β-glucan (298 U/mg), while considerable activity was found on oat spelt xylan (10 U/mg), wheat arabinoxylan (5.3 U/mg) and other xylans underpinning its primal enzymatic activity on the hydrolysis of the β-1,4 linkages. The enzyme was more active against barley β-glucan than CMC, maybe due to the reason that CMC is highly substituted with methoxy side chains, which may interfere with the enzyme activity (Karlsson *et al.* 2002). Other endoglucanases exhibiting significant xylanolytic activity have been reported previously from *A. niger* (EG1C) (Shumiao *et al.* 2010) and *Fomitopsis pinicola* (Yoon *et al.* 2008). It is worth mentioning that EGI of *T. reesei* is the only known cellulase of *T. reesei*, which displays significant xylanase activity, with a specific activity of 7.9 U/mg on glucuronoxylan and 8.3 U/mg arabinoxylan (Markov *et al.* 2006). It has been previously reported that activity on xylans is a common feature shared only by endoglucanases of GH7 family. This can be ascribed to the structural homology between the GH7 EGs and xylanases. GH7 EGs and xylanases might originate from a diverged ancestral gene, and its remnants might enable Cel7 EGs active on xylan (Vlasenko *et al.* 2010).

The optimum temperature activity of the recombinant enzyme was observed at 60°C while a considerable amount of activity was retained up to 80°C exhibiting more than 40% of its initial activity. Thermophilic enzymes would have advantages in stability during the course of harsh process conditions, and increased catalytic rates at higher temperatures. Other endoglucanases with similar properties (pH=5.0 and T=60°C) have been isolated from different fungal species, such as *F. pinicola* (Yoon *et al.* 2008) or *Bispora* sp. MEY-1 (Luo *et al.* 2010).
The comparison of $k_{cat}/K_m$ values of MtEG7a against the oligosaccharides showed preference against G5 following a G5>G4>G3 pattern. Similar hydrolysis patterns have been observed in the endoglucanases isolated from *Gloeophyllum sepiarium* and *Gloeophyllum trabeum* (Mansfield et al. 1998), but differ in terms of hydrolysis of G3. The recombinant MtEG7a exhibited lower $K_m$ than an endoglucanase isolated from *Bispora* sp. MEY-1 ($V_{max}= 3460$ U min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$ of protein and $K_m= 287$ mg CMC ml$^{-1}$; Luo et al. 2010).

*M. thermophila* has been previously characterized as a powerful source of endoglucanases. A very thermostable endoglucanase with properties similar to MtEG7a was isolated from the culture filtrates of *M. thermophila* (Klyosov et al. 1988). The molecular weight of the enzyme was 52 kDa, the pI was found 4.7 while the pH optimum was determined between 5.0-6.0. The enzyme exhibited high thermostability after incubation at different temperatures, at 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (170 hours at 65°C), which is higher than that of the recombinant MtEG7a. Another group (Bukhtojarov et al. 2004) investigated the properties of individual cellulases from the multienzyme complex produced by a mutant strain of the fungus *C. lucknowense* C1, later reclassified as a *M. thermophila* isolate (Visser et al. 2011). Among endoglucanases, the highest saccharification activity was displayed by EG60 (60 kDa) and EG51 (51 kDa). The enzymes exhibited pI 3.6 and 5.0 respectively. It has been shown later that the EG51 and EG60 represent the GH5 and GH7 endoglucanases from *M. thermophila*, respectively (Gusakov et al. 2011). The latter enzyme first described by Bukhtojarov et al. (2004) shows highest activity at 60°C, pH 5.0 and lacks a CBM domain, which implies that EG60 is different from the MtEG7a described in the present work. Another endoglucanase (StCel5A) from *S. thermophile* (synonym of *M. thermophila*) was discovered by Tambor et al. (2012). StCel5A displays a typical GH5 domain, exhibiting optimal activity at pH 6.0 and 70°C. The enzyme retained greater than 50% of its activity following 2 hours of incubation at 55°C, diluted in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 4.5.

Only a few experiments on hydrolysis and rheological examination of biomass slurries are reported under high DM conditions, which involve either a monoenzyme treatment under low enzyme loading conditions and a short liquefaction period (Szijártó et al. 2011a, 2011b) or a multienzyme treatment under normal enzyme loading conditions and a typical liquefaction period (Rosgaard et al. 2007). Under this view, in
the present investigation we evaluated MtEG7a monoenzyme for its ability to liquefy high-consistency lignocellulosic biomass under conditions that mimics the real behavior of that process. MtEG7a was proved to liquefy the biomass efficiently and rapidly, similar to EGI/Cel7B endoglucanase of T. reesei (Szijártó et al. 2011b). MtEG7a endoglucanase catalyzed the liquefaction of PWS more efficiently at 55°C by decreasing significantly the viscosity of the slurry within the first 3 hours of incubation. The cleavage of the long-chain cellulose fibers during liquefaction process occurs at the initial stages of liquefaction process by the endoglucanases, as reported by Szijártó et al. (2011b) and Lu et al. (2008), who also observed dramatic rheological changes in the suspensions during the first hours of the hydrolysis. In addition, hydrolysis resulted in the release of small amount of reducing sugars, indicating low saccharification rates and the ability of MtEG7a to alter the viscoelastic properties of wheat straw, possibly by separating the microfibrils and degrading part of the chains. It seems that at the beginning of HG liquefaction experiments, lignocellulosic biomass slurry is insoluble in the solvent and the viscosity of the reaction is high. Along with the process, more and more macromolecules are dissolved by the solvent, resulting in change of the rheological properties and decline in mechanical strength of the material. At elevated temperatures, the reaction rates of thermostable endoglucanases are higher, potentially reducing processing times. The high thermostability and extended life-time of this enzyme can make the liquefaction stage possible at high temperatures.

In the present study for the first time, to our knowledge, a monoenzyme such as the recombinant thermophilic GH7 endoglucanase from M. thermophila has been evaluated for its ability to liquefy high-consistency lignocellulosic biomass under conditions that mimics the real behavior of that process. Although many endoglucanases have been reported to date, the high catalytic efficiency and thermostability of MtEG7a, renders it a good candidate for industrial applications, including the saccharification of lignocellulosic materials at high DM content for high ethanol production at the subsequent fermentation.
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CHAPTER 6

Cloning, expression and characterization of BGL3 β-glucosidase from *M. thermophila* (PAPER III)

Beta-glucosidases (EC 3.2.1.21) are enzymes that participate in the final step of cellulose degradation and belong to GH families 1, 3, 5, 9, 30 and 116. They act on soluble cello-oligosaccharides produced by the action of β-1,4-endoglucanases (EC 3.2.1.4) and cellobiohydrolases (EC 3.2.1.91), including cellobiose, towards the release of D-glucose. β-Glucosidases hydrolyze soluble celloexdrins and cellobiose to D-glucose and thus relieve the system from end product inhibition (Himmel *et al.*, 2007).

As shown in various studies (Bezerra & Dias, 2005; Gruno *et al.*, 2004; Zhao *et al.*, 2004) the cellobiose released during the hydrolysis of lignocellulose for ethanol production has a high inhibitory effect on cellulases (Sorensen *et al.*, 2013). Thus the need of instant cellobiose removal is crucial for the efficiency of the process. Due to the fact that the most common cellulolytic commercial complex (Celluclast 1.5L) lacks β-glucosidase activity, efforts have been made for the production of an enzyme supplement that will be rich in this enzymatic activity (Zhang *et al.*, 2010; Gurgu *et al.*, 2011; Pitson *et al.*, 1997). The presence of sufficient β-glucosidase activity in the enzyme mixture is shown to increase the hydrolysis performance by more than 20% reaching even 40% increase in the total ethanol production of the processes (Xin *et al.*, 1993; Han & Chen, 2008). Here lies the need of β-glucosidase activity in the lignocellulosic multi-enzyme systems.

Recently, β-glucosidases have become the focus of many applied studies because they are essential part not only in the cellulose breakdown but also in the synthesis of oligomers and other complex molecules, such as alkyl-glucosides. The synthetic behavior is a result of the transglycosylation activity that has occurred by the presence of a stronger nucleophile compared to water, such as methanol. Therefore, these enzymes can be used for synthesizing a variety of glycoconjugates, such as alkyl glucosides, aminoglycosides and special disaccharide fragments of phytoalexin-elicitor oligosaccharides, which are involved in plant and other microbial defence mechanisms (Bhatia *et al.*, 2002). The enzymatic production of alkyl glycosides, which are
surfactants with good biodegradability and low toxicity, is attractive forming stereochemically well-defined products. To date, much of the effort in the enzymatic synthesis of alkyl glucosides has been placed into GH1 enzymes (Hansson & Adlercreutz, 2001; Goyal et al., 2001), however, there is still a great need to find better glycosidases to compete traditional chemical synthesis (Goyal et al., 2001; Turner et al., 2006).

The thermophilic fungi Myceliophthora thermophila (synonym Sporotrichum thermophile) ATCC 42464, as described in Chapter 4, is an exceptionally powerful cellulolytic organism which possesses a great variety of genes encoding proteins with β-glucosidase activity, that are necessary for the breakdown of cellulose. This paper describes, for the first time, the successful cloning of the complete genomic DNA sequence of M. thermophila β-glucosidase gene belonging to GH3 family, and its heterologous expression in methylotrophic yeast P. pastoris. This enzyme exhibiting high specific activity towards hydrolysis of glucosidic substrates is stimulated by alcohols and has been shown to be an efficient biocatalyst in alkyl glucoside synthesis at increased cellobiose concentrations due to its transglycosylation activity. Moreover, it exhibits a combined tolerance to ethanol, pH and temperature, characteristics that render it a good candidate to be used in SSF processes for biofuel production and reflect potential commercial significance of the enzyme.

6.1. Identification and cloning of MtBgl3a

The translation of bgf3a open reading frame (ORF) (Model ID 66804) from the M. thermophila genome database shows significant primary sequence identity with known β-glucosidases which have been classified to family GH3 on CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Cantarel et al., 2009). The putative β-glucosidase shows high sequence identity with β-glucosidases identified in Trichoderma species, such as BGL1 (70%) from Trichoderma viride (Liu et al., 2004) and BGL1 (71%) from Hypocrea jecorina (anamorph T. reesei) (Mach, 1993). The hypothetical protein of 66804 was selected as a candidate β-glucosidase and the corresponding gene, which was provisionally named bgf3a, was cloned and used to transform P. pastoris X33; the encoded enzyme named MtBgl3a was expressed and finally characterized.
The ORF of \textit{bgl3a} encodes a protein of 733 amino acids including a secretion signal peptide of 17 amino acids MTLQAFALLAAAALVRG based upon the prediction using SignalP v4.0, which is a web-based program (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The predicted mass and isoelectric point (pI) of the mature protein was 79819 Da and pH 5.05, respectively, by calculations using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome Portal ID</th>
<th>66804</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>3:4861135-4863642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Glycoside hydrolase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains</td>
<td>CBM_1, Pfam: PR00734, InterProScan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (translation)</td>
<td>2202 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (transcription)</td>
<td>δ'UTP, δ'UTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>733 aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>MTLQAFALLAAAALVRG (17 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical predicted MW</td>
<td>79.92 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theoretical pI</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites N-Glyc</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.1.** Properties of \textit{MtBgl3a} obtained from genome analysis.

The gene coding for the hypothetical protein \textit{MtBgl3a} (Model ID 66804; chromosome 3:4861135-4863642) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers \textit{EF/ER} (Table 6.2) designed accordingly to the available gene sequence(http://genome.jgi-psf.org/, DOE Joint Genome Institute, (Berka et al., 2011) including the \textit{ClaI} and \textit{XbaI} restriction enzyme sites at their respective 5'-ends. A high fidelity KOD Hot Start® DNA polymerase producing blunt ends was used for the DNA amplification, which was carried out with 30 cycles of denaturation (20 s at 95°C), annealing (10 s at 60°C), and extension (50 s at 70°C), followed by 1 min of further extension at 70°C. In order to determine the DNA sequence, the PCR product was cloned into the PCR Blunt® vector according to the method described by the Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit.
Intron removal was achieved using the molecular technique of overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OEPCR) (Topakas et al., 2012) using the polymerase KOD Hot Start® (Novagen, USA). Two complementary DNA primers per intron, two external primers (EF/EeR, EeF/ER, Table 6.2) and the appropriate PCR amplification process were used to generate two DNA fragments harbouring overlapping ends. The recombinant plasmid pCRBlunt/bgl3a, at an appropriate dilution, was used as template DNA and the PCR conditions for each reaction are given as the following: 95°C for 2 min, ensued by 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 10 s and 70°C for 16 s (fragment 407 bp) or 20 s (fragment 1795 bp) respectively, with a final extension step at 70°C for 1 min. The two PCR products were combined together in a subsequent hybridization reaction. The generated “fusion” fragment was amplified further by overlapping PCR through the utilization of the two external primers, EF end ER, with an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 10 s, 70°C for 25 s and a final extension step at 70°C for 1 min. An extended annealing was performed (25 min) in order to improve base-pairing between the complementary ends of each fragments that have to be fused. The produced bgl3a DNA was digested with the enzymes ClaI and XbaI and the DNA fragment gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector, resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/bgl3a which was amplified in E. coli TOP10F’, and the transformants were selected by scoring for Zeocin™ resistance (25 μg/ml). The recombinant vector pPICZαC/bgl3a was confirmed by restriction analysis and DNA sequencing and finally transformed into P. pastoris. The recombinant plasmid pPICZαC/bgl3a was linearized with SacI, and then transformation of P. pastoris and cultivation in shaken flasks were performed according to the EasySelect™ Pichia Expression Kit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>5’-GCATCCATGGAGACCCCGACCAAGGTCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ClaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>5’-CGTGCTAGAAGCGCTCAGCAGTCG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XbaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EeR</td>
<td>5’-GTGAAGTTGGTGTAGACAGGCAAACCCTTCAAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EeF</td>
<td>5’-CATACACCAACTTCAGGTACC-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1. PCR primers for amplification and intron splicing.
Figure 5.1. Amplification of $bgl3$ gene through PCR, cloning of the PCR product into the PCR® Blunt vector (Invitrogen, USA), amplification in $E. coli$ TOP10' cells and digestion with Clal and XbaI, subsequent amplification of two exons, final overlapping PCR and cloning into the PCR® Blunt vector as previously. The produced fragment was gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/$bgl3$ (C) which was amplified in $E. coli$ TOP10F' cells.

6.2. Transformation of $P. pastoris$ and screening of recombinant transformants

High-level expression transformants were screened from the YPDS plates containing Zeocin™ at a final concentration of 100 μg/ml. The presence of the $bgl3α$ gene in the transformants was confirmed by PCR using yeast genomic DNA as template and gene specific primers (EF and ER; Table 6.1). For the cloning of the $β$-glucosidase gene from $M. thermophila$, Escherichia coli One Shot®Top10 (Invitrogen, USA) and Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, USA) were used as the host-vector system. $P. pastoris$ host strain X-33 and pPICZαC (Invitrogen, USA) were used for protein expression. The WT strain of $M. thermophila$ ATCC 42464 was maintained on 1.5% malt-peptone-agar slants at 4°C. $P. pastoris$ was routinely grown in shaking
flasks at 30°C according to the instructions in the EasySelect™ *Pichia* Expression Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Genomic DNA was prepared and isolated as previously described *(Topakas et al., 2012)*. An *E. coli/P. pastoris* vector, pPICZαC, was used to achieve secreted expression of *Mtbgl3a*. pPICZαC contains the tightly regulated *AOX1* promoter and the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* α-factor secretion signal located immediately upstream of the multiple cloning site *(Higgins et al., 1998)*.

To screen the *P. pastoris* transformants for β-glucosidase expression, 50 colonies were plated out on MM (1.34% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base, 4×10^{-5} (w/v) biotin and 0.5% (v/v) MeOH top agar at a density of 1 colony/cm². After incubation at 30 °C for 24 h, the plates were overlaid with 4 ml of 1% agarose containing 10 mM MeUmbGlc and incubated at room temperature for up to 5 min. The plates were inspected regularly under UV light for fluorescent haloes surrounding recombinant colonies, which is indicative of MeUmbGlc hydrolysis *(Figure 6.2)*.

**Figure 6.2.** The pPICZαC/bgl3 transformants were selected by their ability to hydrolyse MeUmbGlc substrate, as indicated by the fluorescent haloes surrounding the recombinant colonies.

For the quantification of β-glucosidase activity found in the fluorescent positive *P. pastoris* colonies, the different transformants were cultivated in BMGY medium for 18–24 h, at 30 °C in a shaker (200 rpm) and then inoculated into the production medium BMMY reaching OD₆₀₀ = 1. The extracellular secreted protein was tested for β-glucosidase activity after 24 h of incubation at 30 °C and 200 rpm. The best recombinant *P. pastoris* harbouring *bgl3a* gene was grown and harvested, as previously described *(Topakas et al., 2012)*. The cultures were kept in a shaking incubator at 30 °C
for 6 days (200 rpm) with the addition of 0.75 ml methanol once a day to maintain induction (0.5% v/v).

6.3. Production and purification of recombinant MtBgl3a – Enzyme assay

After selection of *P. pastoris* transformants by their ability to produce fluorescent haloes under UV light when covered with agar containing MeUmbGlc substrate, ten colonies ZeocinTM resistant were screened for protein expression and secretion under methanol induction. All transformants produced a major secreted protein product of ca. 90 kDa upon examination of culture supernatants by SDS-PAGE, whereas no protein could be detected with the vector control (data not shown). The β-glucosidase activity was determined by incubating the enzyme with p-β-NPG. The enzymatic reaction mixtures (1 ml) containing 50 μl of enzyme solution and 1 mM p-β-NPG (final concentration) in 0.1M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0 were incubated for 10 min at 50°C. The amount of p-nitrophenol (p-NP) released was measured at A410, after addition of 0.2 ml 1 M Na2CO3 to the reaction mixtures, using a standard curved prepared under the same conditions. β-Glucosidase activity could be first detected in the medium 24 h after inoculation and peaked at 192 h with a titer of 41 U/ml (Figure 6.3).
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**Figure 6.3.** Time course of MtBgl3a β-glucosidase activity ( ) and biomass (●) production of the recombinant *P. pastoris* harbouring the *blg3a* gene. The β-glucosidase was expressed in culture broth by induction with 0.5% methanol and measured with p-β-NPG as substrate.
For the purification of the recombinant β-glucosidase, 800 ml of culture broth was centrifuged and concentrated 30-fold using an Amicon ultrafiltration apparatus (Amicon chamber 8400 with membrane Diaflo PM-30, exclusion size 30 kDa), (Millipore, Billerica, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed overnight at +4 °C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 8.0) and loaded onto an immobilized metal-ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) column (Talon, Clontech; 1.0 cm i.d., 15 cm length) equilibrated with the same buffer. The column was first washed with 300 ml buffer, then a linear gradient from 0 to 100 mM imidazole in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (60 ml, pH 8.0) was applied at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. Fractions (2 ml) containing β-glucosidase activity were concentrated and the homogeneity was checked by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using 10% acrylamide separating gels, which appeared as a single band. The molecular weight was estimated to be ca. 90 kDa (Figure 5.6), which appears to be higher than the predicted value using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (79819 Da) considering the presence of the myc epitope and the polyhistidine tag which contribute 2.8 kDa to the size of MtBgl3a. The nominal mass discrepancy observed for MtBgl3a might be explained by the existence of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons and Ser-Thr residues, which are known to be a prerequisite for N- and O-glycosylation post-translational modifications respectively. Indeed, 2 N-glycosylation and 95 potential O-glycosylation sites (50 Ser and 45 Thr) were predicted by using the NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) and the NetOGlyc 3.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/). The same observation was indicated in the heterologous expression of a feruloyl esterase from the same thermophilic fungus (StFaeB) in P. pastoris, where 3 potential N-glycosylation sites were predicted (Topakas et al., 2012).

For the determination of isoelectric point (pI), isoelectric focusing (IEF) was performed with the Phastsystem using PhastGel IEF (Amersham Biosciences AB) using broad-range IEF markers (pH 3–9) from Pharmacia. Both gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue G-250. The calculated pI value of the translated recombinant MtBgl3a was found 4.0, which is close to the experimentally determined value range found from the IEF in the pH range of 3–9 (multiple bands in the range of 3.8–4.5; Figure 5.6). Glycosylation patterns may shift the pI as a result of the charged
carbohydrate groups added to the molecule during post-translational modification. *P. pastoris* glycosylation patterns have been showed to vary considerably in the length and the type of oligosaccharides, thus resulting in diverse structural heterogeneity of the protein population. Within the same cell, two different molecules of the same protein may have different oligosaccharides; even they have been exposed to the same enzymes and glycosylation machinery. It has been observed that some proteins heterologously expressed in *P. pastoris* vary considerably in terms of the number of the mannose units added to the same polysaccharide core (Daly and Hearn, 2005). Both molecular mass and pI values of the isolated recombinant *MtBgl3a* are similar to other fungal _β_-glucosidases, such as _β_-glucosidase from *Penicillium brasillianum* (92.9 kDa, pI 3.9) (Krogh et al., 2010).

Figure 6.6. SDS-PAGE (A) and IEF (B) of *MtBgl3a*. (A) Lanes: 1, LMW standard protein markers; 2, *P. pastoris* culture broth; 3, purified *MtBgl3a*. (B) Lanes: 1, standard protein markers with pI range 3.5–9.3; 2, purified *MtBgl3a*.

6.4. Enzyme characterization – Specificity, Temperature and pH optimal activity / stability

The optimal temperature was determined using the standard assay procedure at temperatures ranging from 30 to 80°C in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the standard assay procedure, after incubation of purified *MtBgl3a* at various temperatures for different amount of time in 50mMMOPS buffer (pH D 6.5) in the presence of 1 mg/ml BSA. The optimal pH was determined by the standard assay at 50°C over the pH range 3.0–11.0 using either 0.1Mcitrate-phosphate buffer pH 3.0–7.0, 0.1 M Tris-
HCl pH 7–9 or 0.1 M glycine-NaOH buffer pH 9–11. The stability at different pH was determined after incubating the enzyme in the above buffers at 4 °C for 4 h and then measuring the activity remaining using the standard assay.
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**Figure 6.7.** Effect of pH (A) and temperature (B) on the activity of MtBgl3a.
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**Figure 6.8.** The thermal stability of MtBgl3a preincubated at different temperatures (pH 6.5, 30–65°C) in the absence of substrate and assayed for residual activity on p-β-NPG under standard assay conditions. Incubation temperatures: 30 °C (●), 40 °C (○), 50 °C (▼), 55 °C (Δ), 60 °C (■), 65 °C (□) and 70 °C (+). The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

The enzyme presented the highest activity levels at pH 5.0 and the >80% of the peak activity was displayed at pH 6, while the activity drops rapidly for pH less than 4 or higher than 7 (**Figure 6.7**). The enzyme was found remarkably stable in the pH range 3–7 after 24 h of incubation, retaining almost 90% of the initial activity. The specific activity of the MtBgl3a at different temperatures under standard assay
conditions was measured and the enzyme exhibited its optimal activity at 70°C (Figure 6.7). The β-glucosidase was fairly stable up to 60°C for 60 min and retained 56.3% of its activity after 2 h preincubation at the same temperature (Figure 6.8). MtBgl3a exhibits half lives of 274 min, 214 min and 143 min at 50°C, 55°C and 60°C, respectively. Other β-glucosidases showing similar values for optimal temperature and pH of enzymatic activity have been isolated from different fungal species, such as A. fumigatus (pH 6, 60°C; Liu et al., 2012), P. brasiliense (pH 4.8, 70°C; Krogh et al., 2010) and T. koningii (pH 5, 50°C; Lin et al., 2010).

The substrate specificity of MtBgl3a against p-α-NPG, p-β-NPGal, p-α-NPGal was tested with reaction mixtures containing 0.064 mg MtBgl3a and 5 mM of each substrate under the standard assay conditions. Enzyme activity on p-NPCell was tested at the same conditions, using 1 mM substrate. Enzyme activity on multiple polysaccharide substrates (lichenan, barley β-glucan, laminarin, Avicel) or birchwood xylan was also investigated. Enzyme activity was determined after incubation in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 1.0% of each substrate at 50°C for 15 min. The amount of reducing sugars released was estimated using the dinitrosalicylic acid reagent (DNS) (Miller, 1959), using D-glucose for the standard curve. The activity on cellulose was estimated by assaying the amount of released D-glucose using GOD–POD method (Lin et al., 1999). One unit of activity was defined as the amount of enzyme which released 1 μmol of D-glucose equivalents or p-nitrophenol (p-NP) per min under assay conditions. The protein was determined by the absorbance at 280 nm using molar extinction coefficient of 115655 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ (Stoscheck, 1990).

β-Glucosidase was preferentially active against p-β-NPG when compared to cellulose. The highest activity was observed with 1 mM p-β-NPG (97.7 U/mg) followed by laminarin (52.0 U/mg), 10 mM cellulose (30.7 U/mg) and lichenan (20.6 U/mg) (Table 6.2). The purified enzyme had lower activity on p-NPCell and barley-β-glucan, whereas no detectable activity towards p-α-NPGal, p-α-NPG, CMC, xylans (wheat and birchwood) and Avicel was observed. Although polymers are not usually substrates for β-glucosidases, MtBgl3a can hydrolyze long glucans, such as laminarin and lichenan and in this respect resembles an exoglucanase. Several β-glucosidases have been found to hydrolyze laminarin and/or lichenan (Mamma et al., 2004; Riou et
al., 1998). According to their specific activity β-glucosidases are classified into three major groups including aryl β-glucosidases with a strong affinity for aryl β-glucosides, cellobiases, which only hydrolyze oligosaccharides (including cellobiose) and β-glucosidases that are active with both type of substrates (Enari and Niku-Paavola, 1987). The above results indicate that β-glucosidase MtBgl3a was active against both aryl β-glucosides and cellobiose therefore it can be concluded that belong to the last group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Specific activity (U / mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-β-NPG (1 mM)</td>
<td>97.7 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-β-NPGal (5 mM)</td>
<td>traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NPCell 1mM</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellobiose 10mM</td>
<td>30.7 ± 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>laminarin 0.5%</td>
<td>52.0 ± 2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lichenan 1%</td>
<td>20.6 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barley β-glucan</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2. Activity of purified MtBgl3a on polysaccharide substrates. Activity was measured, as described in “Methods” section. Activity not detected for substrates p-α-NPG, p-α-NPGal, wheat arabinoxylan, CMC, Avicel, filter paper and birchwood xylan. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

The effects of various metal ions or other substances at 10 mM on MtBgl3a activity were determined by preincubating the enzyme with the individual compounds in 100 mM citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0 at 4 °C for 40 min. Activities were then measured at 50 °C, under standard assay conditions, in the presence of the metal ions or chemical agents. The activity assayed in the absence of metal ions or agents was recorded as 100%. The enzyme was slightly inhibited by Ca²⁺, Co²⁺, EDTA and SDS while, it was activated by Mn²⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Cu²⁺ (Table 6.3). β-Glucosidases of microbial origin are usually affected by EDTA and the cations Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Co²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺ at 1.0 mM (Venturi et al. 2002; Peralta et al. 1997). It has been demonstrated that some fungal β-glucosidases are activated by several cations, including Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, and/ or Mn²⁺ (Riou et al. 1998; Karnchanatat et al. 2007).
Metal ion or reagent & Residual activity (%) \\
KCl & 103 % \\
ZnSO₄ & 149 % \\
CuSO₄ & 208 % \\
MgSO₄ & 110 % \\
MnSO₄ & 167 % \\
CoCl₂ & 85 % \\
CaCl₂ & 94 % \\
EDTA & 90 % \\
urea & 95 % \\
SDS & 91 % \\

Table 6.3. Effect of metal ions and other chemical reagents (10 mM each) on β-glucosidase MtBgl3a. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

6.5. Determination of MtBgl3a kinetic parameters – inhibition studies

The values of the Michaelis constant (Kₘ) and the maximum velocity (Vₘₐₓ) for MtBgl3a were determined by incubating the enzyme in 100 mM citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0 at 40 °C with p-β-NPG and cellobiose at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mM. The inhibition of MtBgl3a by D-glucose and xylose was determined by assay the enzymatic activity on p-β-NPG in the presence of different inhibitor concentrations. Data were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation to generate estimates of values for Kₘ, Vₘₐₓ and Kᵢ, using GraFit data analysis software that also gives an estimate of the standard error of each parameter (Leatherbarrow 1998). The recombinant β-glucosidase showed higher specificity for the hydrolysis of p-β-NPG compared to cellobiose, exhibiting Kₘ values of 0.39 ± 0.12 mM and 2.64 ± 0.30 mM, respectively. However, both substrates were hydrolysed by the enzyme exhibiting similar velocities of 47.9 ± 3.8 and 49.4 ± 2.4 μmol/min/mg for p-β-NPG and cellobiose, respectively. A broad range of Kₘ values for p-β-NPG and cellobiose has been reported for β-glucosidases produced from different fungal sources, such as Aspergillus oryzae (Kₘ =0.29 mM for p-β-NPG; Langston et al., 2006), Aspergillus niger (Kₘ =0.57 mM for p-β-NPG and 0.88 mM for cellobiose; Chauve et al. 2010) and Trichoderma reesei (Kₘ =0.38 mM for p-β-NPG and 1.36 mM for cellobiose; Chauve et al. 2010).
The effect of different amounts of D-glucose (0-25 mM), D-xylose (0-10 mM) and D-gluconic acid (0-10 mM) on the hydrolysis of p-β-NPG by β-glucosidase was investigated (Table 6.4). The enzyme was competitively inhibited by D-glucose and D-xylose with Ki values of 282 μM and 30 μM, respectively. β-Glucosidase is frequently a rate-limiting factor during enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose and is very sensitive to D-glucose inhibition (Mamma et al. 2004; Riou et al. 1998; Karnchanatat et al. 2007). Most of the microbial β-glucosidases, reported to date, are competitively inhibited by D-glucose and exhibit Ki values ranging from as low as 0.2 mM to no more than 100 mM (Pitson et al. 1997; Karnchanatat et al. 2007; Mamma, et al., 2004; Seidle et al., 2004; Harhangi et al. 2002; Lin, Pillay & Singh 1999; Ferreira Filho 1996; Parry et al. 2001). However, several fungal β-glucosidases show high glucose tolerance with Ki values of more than 100 mM (Riou et al. 1998).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor (mM)</th>
<th>Km (mM)</th>
<th>Vmax (μmol/min.mg protein)</th>
<th>Ki (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>7.262 ± 1.2</td>
<td>100.656 ± 38</td>
<td>282 ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>9.017 ± 3.5</td>
<td>389.6 ± 72</td>
<td>30 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucono-δ-lactone/gluconic acid</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.5</td>
<td>205.4 ± 49</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.4. Kinetic parameters of inhibition of p-β-NPG hydrolysis by 0-25 mM glucose, 0-10 mM xylose and 0-10 mM glucono-δ-lactone/gluconic acid. The Ki values given are the averages of separate experiments on four different substrate concentrations performed in duplicate.

D-Gluconic acid, as a transition state analogue, is by far the most potent inhibitor for the microbial β-glucosidases (Harhangi et al. 2002). Cellulolytic fungi have been proposed to own an oxidoreductive cellulolytic system composed by different enzymes, such as GH-61 proteins that co-exist with the well-studied fungal cellulases resulting in efficient lignocellulose conversion (Dimarogona et al. 2012; Langston et al., 2011). These enzymes act through a mechanism that involves an hydrolytic and an oxidative step, thus generating two new chain ends on the crystalline surface, one normal non-
reducing and an “oxidized reducing end”, i.e. an aldonic acid (Forsberg *et al.* 2011; Langston *et al.* 2011). Thus the use of β-glucosidases with tolerance for these oxidized derivatives, such as D-gluconic acid seems to be a promising approach. Like other fungal β-glucosidases, the *MtBgl3a* was competitively inhibited by D-gluconic acid (Pitson *et al.*, 1997; Parry *et al.* 2001; Riou *et al.* 1998) with a $K_i$ value of 22 μM in this study. $K_i$ values reported for D-gluconic acid are in the range of 3–30 μM (Harhangi *et al.* 2002; Pitson *et al.* 1997; Parry *et al.* 2001) with exception of the β-glucosidase from *A. oryzae*, which exhibited a $K_i$ value of 12.5 mM (Riou *et al.* 1998).

6.6. Effect of alcohols and transglycosylation activity

β-Glucosidases catalyse the transglycosylation reaction in an aqueous solution, in the presence of a second nucleophile stronger than water, such as methanol or ethanol (Tsitsimpikou *et al.* 1997). The *effect of alcohols* (ethanol, methanol and propanol) as strong nucleophile reagents on the hydrolysis of $p$-β-NPG was studied. Reaction mixtures containing 1mM $p$-β-NPG in 100 mM citrate phosphate buffer, pH 5.0, with varying concentrations of short chain alcohols were incubated at 50 °C and the activity was measured under standard assay conditions. The stability at various concentrations of ethanol up to 50% (v/v) at 30 °C was determined, after incubating the enzyme in 100 mM citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0 for 6 hours and then measuring the residual activity using the standard assay.

In the presence of these alcohols, an increase in enzyme activity was observed. Analysis of the reaction products revealed that the optimum *methanol* concentration was 20% (v/v) (Figure 6.9). At concentrations higher than 20% (v/v), the activation was decreased probably due to the denaturation effect of methanol, as many proteins break down in response to alcohol exposure. Furthermore, ethanol and propanol stimulated the activity of β-glucosidase to concentrations up to 15% (v/v) and 5% (v/v), respectively. These results indicate that the presence of short chain alcohols have a positive influence on the hydrolytic activity of β-glucosidase. It has been reported that the change in polarity of the medium induced by alcohols could stabilize enzyme conformation (Mateo & Stefano 2011). Activation by short chain alcohols has been

*MtBgl3a* remained stable after 6 hours of incubation at different ethanol concentrations up to 50% (v/v) at 30 °C. This result is important at the SSF process for industrial ethanol production since the enzyme and ethanol coexist in the reactor. Various researches have shown that ethanol reduces the enzyme activities of cellulases (Wu & Lee 1997; Jorgensen *et al.* 2007) thus the presence of an ethanol tolerant β-glucosidase appears to be critical for the efficiency of the process, as it will be able to remove cellobiose even at the late fermentation process eliminating its inhibitory effect.

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 6.9.** Effect of increasing concentrations of alcohols, such as methanol (□), ethanol (●) and propanol (○) on the activity of *MtBgl3a*. The experiment was carried out in triplicates.

*Transglycosylation* activity was examined using cellobiose as a donor and methanol as an acceptor. A 2 ml incubation mixture contained 20% (v/v) of methanol, 0.02% NaN₅ and different concentrations of cellobiose (1, 2, 4, 5 and 6% w/v) in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 5.0 and 64 μg mg of enzyme was used. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 50 °C and samples were withdrawn at different time intervals for 5 hours. Methyl-D-glucoside synthesis was monitored by employing an HPLC system (Shimadzu LC-20AD) equipped with a refractive index detector (Shimadzu RID 10A) and a Macherey-Nagel CC 250 x 4.6 mm Nucleosil 100-5 NH₂ column. The mobile phase was acetonitrile:water (87:13 v/v) and the sugars were
eluted at a flow rate of 1 ml/min, as described previously (Tsitsimpikou et al. 1997). The products were quantified based on peak areas using standard methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, D-glucose and cellobiose.

**Figure 6.10.** Effect of different amounts of cellobiose in transglycosylation reaction with methanol activity catalyzed by MtBgl3a.

The inductive effect of the methyl group and the transglycosylation activity of MtBgl3a were further investigated by studying the effect of increasing cellobiose concentration on the composition of the product mixture (Figure 6.10). Methanol was used at the optimum concentration (20% v/v) found previously for methyl-D-glucoside synthesis. Analysis of the reaction products by HPLC revealed that methyl-D-glucoside synthesis increased sharply at cellobiose concentrations above 4% (w/v) in the reaction. The K\textsubscript{m} values of cellobiose for transglycosylation (methanolysis) were calculated to be 91 mM. Similar affinity towards cellobiose for transglycosylation (methanolysis) has been reported for β-glucosidase from *F. oxysporum* (Km=138.2 mM) (Tsitsimpikou, 1997). Methanol has a competitive role as a nucleophilic glycosyl acceptor, as glycosylation proceeds through a nucleophilic attack to the stronger nucleophilic character of methanol compared to that of water (Drueckhammer et al. 1991). Glycosidase-catalyzed transglycosylation is a promising alternative to classical chemical glycosylation methods, with numerous applications not only in the Food and Cosmetics but also in Pharmaceutical industry for the production of bioactive compounds (Pal et al. 2010). The transferase activity was also studied in β-glucosidase
from *P. thermophila* (Yang *et al*., 2008), *Thermotoga neapolitana* (Park *et al*., 2005), thermophilic fungus *Melanocarpus sp.* (Kaur *et al*., 2007).

### 6.7. Conclusions

Currently, heterologous expression is the main tool for the production of industrial enzymes, with *P. pastoris* being one of the favorite expression hosts for the heterologous expression of eukaryotic biocatalysts. In this study, the gene encoding MtBgl3a from *M. thermophila* was functionally expressed and secreted by the heterologous host *P. pastoris*. β-Glucosidases are of key importance, as they are needed to supplement the cellobiohydrolase and endoglucanase activities for ensuring final glucose release and at the same time decreasing the accumulation of cellobiose and shorter cellooligomers, which are known as product inhibitors for the cellobiohydrolases. The low inhibition rate by glucose and ethanol renders this enzyme a good candidate for use in many biotechnological processes, including cellulose degradation, where combined stability is appreciated. In addition, the ability of the enzyme to catalyze transglycosylation reactions seems to be very promising for the synthesis of glycoside-containing compounds and bioactive products with potential application in both Food and Pharmaceutical industries.
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CHAPTER 7

Lignocellulolytic enzymes from *M. thermophila*

In this Chapter, the cloning, heterologous expression and characterization of one GH5 endoglucanase and two cellobiohydrolases (GH6 and GH7), all from *M. thermophila*, are described. The genes encoding the above enzymes were isolated from the fungal genomic DNA, then cloned and amplified in *E. coli* strains and finally heterologously expressed in *P. pastoris*. The recombinant proteins were secreted to the culture medium, purified to their homogeneity and characterized.

The productivity of *P. pastoris* in shake flasks is typically low and is improved greatly by fermentor culturing. The first reason is that only in the controlled environment of a fermentor is it possible to grow the organism to high cell densities. The second reason is that the level of transcription initiated from the AOX1 promoter is greater in *P. pastoris* cells fed with methanol at growth-limiting rates in fermentor culture than in cells grown in excess of methanol (Chirovolu *et al.*, 1997). Therefore improving the fermentation methodology is important for *P. pastoris* based processes. These improvements include substrate feeding strategies, oxygen supplementation to allow higher cell densities while avoiding oxygen limitation, and mixed-substrate feeding strategies, as described in detail in Chapter 2. In this thesis, two basic strategies were followed for the production of one endoglucanase belonging to the glycoside hydrolase family 5, one cellobiohydrolase belonging to the GH6 and one lytic-polysaccharide monooxygenase belonging to the recently reclassified AA9 family (previously reported as GH61). These strategies include control of proteolysis through low temperature and addition of amino acid rich supplements to the culture medium, as well as smooth transition of cell culture from glycerol to methanol feed phase. A common procedure was followed for all three enzymes and resulted in the successful production of the recombinant proteins in the culture medium.

Apart from the great variety of cellulases, *M. thermophila* is capable of synthesizing a complete set of enzymes with xylanase activity, as described in Chapter 4. In this chapter, the partial purification of enzymes with xylanolytic activity is
described. Totally three different fractions were isolated from the culture medium of *M. thermophila* either grown on wheat straw or on corn cob. All these fractions exhibited relatively high xylanase specific activity, in absence of cellulolytic activity and thus, they were used for enrichment of the cellulases cocktail in hydrolysis experiments described in **Chapter 8**.

**Subjects described in this Chapter:**

- 7.1. Cloning, expression and characterization of Endoglucanase MtEG5
- 7.2. Cloning, expression and characterization of Cellobiohydrolase MtCBH6
- 7.3. Cloning, expression and characterization of Cellobiohydrolase MtCBH7
- 7.4. Production of MtGH61 in fermentor
- 7.5. Production and purification of enzymes with xylanase activity
7.1. Cloning, expression and characterization of Endoglucanase MtEG5

7.1.1. Identification and cloning of MtEG5

From genome analysis, as described in Chapter 4, the translation of eg5 open reading frame (ORF) (Model ID 86753) from the M. thermophila genome database shows significant primary sequence identity with characterized endoglucanases which have been classified to family GH5 on CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Cantarel et al. 2009). The putative endoglucanase showed high sequence identity (65%) with structure identified endoglucanase GH5 from Thermoascus aurantiacus [PDB ID: 1GZJ] and 79% with endoglucanase from Penicillium brasilianum [GenBank: ACB06750]. The hypothetical protein of 86753 was selected as a candidate endoglucanase and the corresponding gene, which was provisionally named eg5, was cloned and used to transform P. pastoris X33; the encoded enzyme named MtEG5 was expressed and finally characterized (Table 7.1). The ORF of eg5 encodes a protein of 389 amino acids including a secretion signal peptide of 17 amino acids (MKSSILASVFATGAVA) based upon the prediction using SignalP v4.0, which is a web-based program (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The predicted mass and isoelectric point (pI) of the mature protein was 40.85 kDa and pH 5.07, respectively, by calculations using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome Portal ID</th>
<th>86753</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>1: 2823610 - 2825549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Glycoside hydrolase 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains</td>
<td>CBM_1, [Pfam: PR00734, InterProScan]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (translation)</td>
<td>1170 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (transcription) [5'UTP, 5'UTP]</td>
<td>1940 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>389 aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>MKSSILASVFATGAVA (17 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical predicted MW</td>
<td>40.85 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theoretical pI</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites N-Glyc</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites O-Glyc</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1. Properties of MtEG5 obtained from genome analysis.
For the cloning of the endoglucanase gene from *M. thermophila*, *Escherichia coli* One Shot® Top10 (Invitrogen, USA) and Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, USA) were used as the host-vector system. *P. pastoris* host strain X33 and pPICZαC (Invitrogen, USA) were used for protein expression. The WT strain of *M. thermophila* ATCC 42464 was maintained on 1.5% malt-peptone-agar slants at 4 °C. *P. pastoris* was routinely grown in shaking flasks at 30 °C according to the instructions in the EasySelect™ Pichia Expression Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Genomic DNA was prepared and isolated as previously described (Topakas *et al.*, 2012).

An *E. coli*/*P. pastoris* vector, pPICZαC, was used to achieve secreted expression of *MtEG5*. pPICZαC contains the tightly regulated *AOX1* promoter and the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* α-factor secretion signal located immediately upstream of the multiple cloning site (Higgins *et al.*, 1998). The gene coding for the hypothetical protein *MtEG5* (Model ID 86753, chromosome 1:2823610-2825549) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers EF/ER (*Table 7.2*) designed accordingly to the available gene sequence (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/, DOE Joint Genome Institute, (Berka *et al.*, 2011) including the *ClaI* and *XbaI* restriction enzyme sites at their respective 5’-ends. A high fidelity KOD Hot Start® DNA polymerase producing blunt ends was used for the DNA amplification, which was carried out with 30 cycles of denaturation (20 s at 95 °C), annealing (10 s at 56 °C), and extension (25 s at 70 °C), followed by 1 min of further extension at 70 °C. In order to determine the DNA sequence, the PCR product was cloned into the pCRBlunt® vector according to the method described by the Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit.

*Intron 1* and *intron 2* removal was achieved using the molecular technique of overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OEPCR) (Topakas *et al.*, 2012) using the polymerase KOD Hot Start® (Novagen, USA). Two complementary DNA primers per intron, two external primers (*EF/Ee1R, Ee2F/Ee2R, Ee3F/ER, Table 7.2*) and the appropriate PCR amplification process were used to generate two DNA fragments harbouring overlapping ends. The recombinant plasmid pCRBlunt/eg5, at an appropriate dilution, was used as template DNA and the PCR conditions for each reaction are given as the following: 95 °C for 2 min, ensued by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, annealing for 10 s and extension step, with a final extension step at 70 °C for 1 min. Annealing and extension conditions for each fragment are described in *Table 7.2*.
Figure 7.1. Amplification of eg5 gene through PCR. Intron 1 and 2 removal (A) and final OE-PCR (B), cloning of the PCR product into the PCR® Blunt vector/amplification in E. coli TOP10 cells and final cloning to pPICZαC vector/amplification in E. coli TOP10F’ cells. (A) Lane 1: Hyperladder Marker (Bioline), Lanes 2–4: exons 1-3, (B) Lane 1: final OE-PCR product, Lane 2: Hyperladder Marker (Bioline), (C) Lane 1: Hyperladder Marker (Bioline), Lanes 2–5: Digestion of pPICZαC/eg5 with Clal/Xbal.

The two PCR products were combined together in a subsequent hybridization reaction. The generated “fusion” fragment was amplified further by overlapping PCR through the utilization of the two external primers, EF end ER, with an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 20 s, 56 °C for 10 s, 59 °C for 26 s and a final extension step at 70 °C for 1 min. An extended annealing was performed in order to improve base-pairing between the complementary ends of each fragments that have to be fused. The produced eg5 DNA was digested with the enzymes Clal and Xbal and the DNA fragment gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector, resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/eg5 which was amplified in E. coli TOP10F’, and the transformants were selected by scoring for Zeocin™ resistance.
(25 μg/ml). The recombinant vector pPICZαC/eg5 was confirmed by restriction analysis and DNA sequencing and finally transformed into P. pastoris.

Table 7.2. Primers and conditions used for the amplification of eg5 gene through PCR (#1), removal of introns (#2–4) and final overlapping PCR (#5). Colored sequences represent the restriction sites of ClaI and XbaI enzymes (red, purple), as well as the complementary DNA fragments that allowed the hybridization reaction and the amplification of the generated “fusion” fragment by overlapping PCR (blue: annealing, green: overhang).
7.1.2. Expression in high-cell density cultures and purification of MtEG5

Protein expression of the recombinant enzyme was first evaluated in small scale cultures, in shake flasks. For the production of endoglucanase, one single \textit{P. pastoris} colony harboring \textit{eg5} gene was cultivated in BMGY medium for 18-24 hours, at 30°C in a shaker (200 rpm) and then inoculated into the production medium BMMY reaching OD$_{600}$=1. The extracellular secreted protein was tested for endoglucanase activity against β-glucan 1%w/v in 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0, after 24 hours of incubation at 30°C and 200 rpm. The clone exhibiting the highest activity was chosen for the production of the recombinant enzyme and further characterization studies. The cultures were kept in a shaking incubator at 30°C for 6 days (200 rpm) with the addition of 0.75 ml methanol once a day to maintain induction (0.5% v/v).

![Figure 7.2.](image)

**Figure 7.2.** Studies of initial pH, methanol concentration and agitation influence at the MtEG5 production in shake flasks. All experiments were conducted in 50 mL BMMY medium, in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks.
To achieve higher enzyme production, the influence of initial pH, methanol concentration and agitation was evaluated (Figure 7.2). According to these studies, the enzyme reaches the highest levels of expression at shakes flasks when cultured at pH 6.0, 200 rpm agitation and 0.5% (v/v) methanol addition. Under optimum culture conditions, production of MtEG5 reached a final yield of 377 U/mL of culture supernatant in shake flasks containing BMMY culture medium.

Cultivation of recombinant strain expressing the endoglucanase in high cell-density fermentation was performed in the basal salts medium (BSM), as described in the *Pichia* fermentation guidelines provided by Invitrogen (*Pichia* Fermentation Process Guidelines). The basal salts medium consists of mineral salts and glycerol as the sole carbon source at the initial phase of cultivation. In addition the BSM is supplemented by a trace element solution referred to as PTM₁ trace salts which also includes biotin (Wegner, 1983). The PTM₁ trace salt solution is also included in the glycerol- and methanol feeds during glycerol and methanol fed-batch phases. The only nitrogen source is ammonium hydroxide which was added as the pH was regulated. Cultivation started at 28°C, aeration was set at 4 vvm and agitation at 800 rpm.

![Figure 7.3](image.png)

**Figure 7.3.** Fermentation of *Pichia pastoris* recombinant strains was carried out in a 3 lt glass autoclavable Applikon bioreactor, equipped with an ez-Control system (Applikon Biotechnology B.V., Netherlands). Glycerol and methanol feeding was performed with Alitea XV pump with MasterFlex 96400 L/S 14 silicon tube (glycerol) and PharmaMed 0.51mm (methanol).
After 24 hours of batch fermentation in glycerol medium (30 g/lt initial concentration), the dry cell mass of the culture reached about 17.80 g/lt. Analysis of the culture supernatant, after growth in glycerol medium, showed absence of recombinant enzyme (based on β-glucan 0.1% w/v activity assay and SDS-PAGE). The end of glycerol batch was indicated by a sharp increase in the dissolved oxygen (DO) tension. This stage was followed by a 5-hour step of fed-batch glycerol one; during this step 50% w/v glycerol, with PTM1 salts was fed at an initial flow-rate of 12 mL/h/lt of culture medium and was reduced gradually until it was fully consumed. At the same time, temperature was reduced from to 28°C to 25°C and finally to 23°C and 2 mL of methanol were added manually in small aliquots with syringe. Total consumption of glycerol was again indicated by a spike in the DO. At the end of this stage the dry cell mass of the cells reached the amount of 40.1 g/lt.

At the onset of methanol fed-batch phase, casamino acids solution was added at a final concentration of 3 g/lt and then, a feed of 100% MeOH, with PTM1 was initiated at a flow rate of 1.9 mL/h/lt. The methanol consumption rate was monitored indirectly by stopping the feed and checking the “lag phase”, while increasing the methanol feed rate manually. After 8h, feed rate was adjusted to a maximum of 5.46 mL/h/lt and maintained for ~20h, causing extracellular expression of the recombinant enzyme into the supernatant.. Then, the temperature was decreased to 21°C and pure oxygen supply was set to maintain dissolved oxygen levels between 60-30%. Induction time lasted 153h in total and approximately 700 mL of methanol were consumed. The level of enzyme expression increased with fermentation time and maximum level obtained was 6.3 U/mL (β-glucan activity for varying time points shown at Figure 7.4). As methanol was used as carbon source, there was an increase in cell-density during the fed batch phase (Figure 7.4). At the end of the fermentation, the dry weight of cells reached 98.63 g/lt of culture medium. The amount of extracellular protein produced reached 0.98 g.

For the purification of the recombinant enzyme, the culture broth was centrifuged and concentrated 30-fold using a LabScale Tangential flow filtration system (TFF with membrane Pellicon XL Ultrafiltration Module Biomax, exclusion size 10 kDa; Millipore, Billerica, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed overnight at 4°C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 8.0) and MtEG5 was
rapidly purified by single-step immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC), with a cobalt charged resin on an ÄKTA Prime Plus system, using 0-100 mM imidazole gradient, at a flow rate of 2 ml/min (Figure 7.5). Fractions (2 ml) containing endoglucanase activity were concentrated and the homogeneity was checked by SDS-PAGE (Figure 7.6). MtEG5 was further polished using S300 Gel Filtration chromatography column to remove trace endoglucanase contaminants. Removal of background impurities from the fermentation broth resulted in 564 mg of pure MtEG5 per 1lt of culture supernatant. The molecular weight of the enzyme was estimated to be ca. 75 kDa (Figure 7.6), which appears to be higher than the predicted value using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (40.85 kDa) considering the presence of the myc epitope and the polyhistidine tag which contribute 2.8 kDa to the size of MtEG5a. The nominal mass discrepancy observed might be explained by the existence of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons and Ser-Thr residues, which are known to be a prerequisite for N- and O-glycosylation post-translational modifications respectively. Indeed, 3 N-glycosylation and 17 potential O-glycosylation sites were predicted by using the NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) and the NetOGlyc 3.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/).

Figure 7.4. (A) Cell mass concentration during MtEG5 fermentation. Dry cell weight reached 40.1 g/lt after glycerol fed-batch phase and 110 g/lt at the end of the cultivation. (B) Protein concentration and endoglucanase activity detected in the culture medium during fermentation. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay microplate procedure (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Specific activity was tested against β-glucan 0.1% (w/v), pH 5.0, 60°C in 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer.
Figure 7.5. Immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography for the purification of the recombinant MtEG5. The left peak corresponds to proteins that were not bound to the resin and the right one to the His-tagged endoglucanase that was eluted using a 0-100 mM gradient imidazole. Elution started at 36 mM imidazole, total protein eluted was 602 mg.

Figure 7.6. SDS – PAGE of MtEG5 during fermentation. Lane 1: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, lanes 2–5: samples from fermentation culture broth at 99, 118, 130 and 153 h of hydrolysis, lane 6: culture broth with MtEG5 after ultrafiltration.

MtEG5 activity was determined on β-glucan 0.1% w/v for 15 min, at 60°C in 100 mM citrate–phosphate buffer pH 5.0. The concentration of reducing ends was determined using the dinitrosalicylic acid reagent (DNS; Miller 1959). Glucose was used for the standard curve. One unit (U) of activity was defined as the amount of
enzyme which released 1 μmol of glucose equivalents per minute under assay conditions. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay microplate procedure (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, using bovine serum albumin as standard (Smith et al., 1985).

7.1.3. Characterization of purified MtEG5

The optimal temperature was determined using β-glucan 0.1% (w/v) as a substrate, as described above, at temperatures ranging from 30 to 90°C in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the same assay procedure, after incubation of 0.23 mg of purified MtEG5a at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimum temperature activity was observed at 70 °C, losing rapidly its activity for temperatures over 80°C. The endoglucanase remained fairly stable up to 50 °C after preincubation for 8 hours in 100 mM phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 5.0) at different temperatures (Figure 7.7). MtEG5a exhibits half-lives of 26.9 h and 6.02 h at 55°C and 60°C, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MW</th>
<th>pH_{opt}</th>
<th>T_{opt} (°C)</th>
<th>Specific activity (U/mg)</th>
<th>T stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>β-glucan 0.1% w/v</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CMC 1% w/v</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avicel 5% w/v</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.7. Properties of purified MtEG5 endoglucanase.

The optimal temperature was determined using the β-glucan assay procedure at temperature ranging from 30 to 80°C in 100 mM citrate–phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the
standard assay procedure, after incubation of 0.43 mg of purified MtEG5 at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimal pH was determined by the standard assay at 60°C over the pH range 3.0–11.0 using either 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 3.0–7.0, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7–9 or 0.1 M glycine-NaOH buffer pH 9–11. The stability at different pH was determined after incubating the enzyme in the above buffers at 4°C for 24 h and then measuring the activity remaining using the β-glucan assay. The enzyme presented the highest activity levels at pH 5.0–6.0, while the activity drops rapidly for pH less than 4 or higher than 7. The enzyme was found remarkably stable in the pH range 3–11 after 24 h retaining its initial activity. Properties of MtEG5 are described in Figure 7.7.

7.1.4 Conclusions - Discussion

MtEG5a is an endoglucanase of glycoside hydrolases family 5, consisting of an N-terminal carbohydrate-binding module (CBM1) and a catalytic domain (CD). It has specific activity both on microcrystalline cellulose (Avicel) and β-glucan and exhibits properties that render it a suitable candidate for use in biotechnological applications, such as high temperature stability in 55°C. Its relatively higher activity on microcrystalline cellulose in comparison with CMC is indicative of its processivity properties. Processivity is thought to be a critical strategy for improving the catalytic efficiency for hydrolysis of crystalline substrates and is mainly attributed to cellobiohydrolases (Kurasin and Väljamäe, 2011), which are the major components of most cellulolytic systems and are responsible for the degradation of crystalline cellulose (Teeri, 1997). EGs are typically non-processive enzymes that are expressed in smaller amounts than CBHs and assist CBHs by randomly attacking internal sites in the cellulose chain, thereby generating new chain ends. However, there have been reported EGs with processive activity, which cleave cellulose internally and also release soluble oligosaccharides before detaching from the polysaccharide. These enzymes belong almost exclusively to the GH9 family, but processive EGs belonging to the GH5 family have been found to be produced by the brown rot basidiomycete Gloeophyllum trabeum (Cohen et al., 2005) and Volvariella volvacea (Zheng and Ding, 2013). It has been also suggested that there is a strong link between enzyme processivity and adsorption-desorption properties attributed to CBM1 domain (Zheng and Ding, 2013) which is also present in MtEG5 endoglucanase.
7.2. Cloning, expression and characterization of Cellobiohydrolase \textit{Mt}CBH6

7.2.1. Identification and cloning of \textit{Mt}CBH6

From genome analysis, as described in Chapter 4, the translation of \textit{cbh6} open reading frame (ORF) (Model ID 66729) from the \textit{M. thermophila} genome database shows significant primary sequence identity with characterized cellobiohydrolases acting on the non-reducing end of the carbohydrate molecules, which have been classified to family GH 6 on CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Cantarel et al. 2009). The putative cellobiohydrolase showed high sequence identity (79\%) with structure identified CBHII from \textit{Humicola insolens} [PDB ID: 1BVW] and 64\% with CBHII from \textit{Trichoderma viride} [GenBank: AAQ76094.1]. The hypothetical protein of 66729 was selected as a candidate cellobiohydrolase and the corresponding gene, which was provisionally named \textit{cbh6}, was cloned and used to transform \textit{P. pastoris} X33; the encoded enzyme named \textit{Mt}CBH6 was expressed and finally characterized (Table 7.3). The ORF of \textit{cbh6} encodes a protein of 465 amino acids including a secretion signal peptide of 17 amino acids (MAKKLFITAALAAAVLA) based upon the prediction using SignalP v4.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The predicted mass and isoelectric point (pI) of the mature protein was 49.41 kDa and pH 5.28, respectively, by calculations using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

An \textit{E. coli}/\textit{P. pastoris} vector, pPICZaC (Invitrogen, USA) containing the tightly regulated \textit{AOX1} promoter and the \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} \textit{α}-factor secretion signal located immediately upstream of the
multiple cloning site (Higgins et al., 1998). The gene coding for the hypothetical protein MtEG5 (Model ID 66729, chromosome 2:46305-48489) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers EF/ER (Table 7.4) designed accordingly to the available gene sequence (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/, DOE Joint Genome Institute, (Berka et al., 2011). The EF primer did not include restriction site and was used only for fishing gene from M. thermophila’s genome, while the ER primer included the XbaI restriction enzyme site at 5'-end. A high fidelity KOD Hot Start® DNA polymerase producing blunt ends was used for the DNA amplification, which was carried out with 30 cycles of denaturation (20 s at 95 °C), annealing (10s at 58°C), and extension (32s at 70 °C), followed by 1 min of further extension at 70°C. In order to determine the DNA sequence, the PCR product, containing exons 2-4 and introns was cloned into the pCRBlunt® vector according to the method described by the Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome Portal ID</th>
<th>66729</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>2:46305-48489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Glycoside hydrolase 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains</td>
<td>CBM_1, [Pfam: PR00734, InterProScan]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (translation)</td>
<td>1449 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (transcription) [5'UTP, 5'UTP]</td>
<td>1832 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>465 aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>MAKKLFITAALAAAVLA (17 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical predicted MW</td>
<td>49.41 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theoretical pl</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites N-Glyc</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites O-Glyc</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3. Properties of MtCBH6 obtained from genome analysis.

Introns removal was achieved using the molecular technique of overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OEPCR) (Topakas et al., 2012) using the polymerase KOD Hot Start® (Novagen, USA). Two complementary DNA primers per intron, two external primers (Ee2F/Ee2R, Ee3F/Ee3R, Ee4F/ER, Table 7.4) and the appropriate PCR amplification process were used to generate two DNA fragments harbouring overlapping ends. The primer Ee2F included the sequence of exon 1, as well
as the Clal restriction enzyme site at 5’-end and was used for the synthesis of the N-terminal part of the protein in order to avoid overlapping PCR (Figure 7.8). The recombinant plasmid pCRBlunt/cbh6, at an appropriate dilution, was used as template DNA and the PCR conditions for each reaction are given as the following: 95 °C for 2 min, ensued by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, annealing for 10 s and extension step, with a final extension step at 70 °C for 1 min. Annealing and extension conditions for each fragment are described in Table 7.4.

**Figure 7.8.** Amplification of cbh6 gene through PCR. *Intron 2 and 3 removal were done with complementary DNA primers, while exon 1 was added as part of the Ee2F primer. The final OE-PCR resulted in a DNA sequence 1398 bp that is able to encode the MtCBH6 protein. Primers Ee2F and ER included the Clal and XbaI restriction sites respectively at their 5′ ends.**
Table 7.4. Primers and conditions used for the amplification of \( cbh6 \) gene through PCR (#1), removal of introns (#2-4) and final overlapping PCR (#5). Colored sequences represent the restriction sites of \( Clal \) and \( XbaI \) enzymes (red, purple), as well as the complementary DNA fragments that allowed the hybridization reaction and the amplification of the generated “fusion” fragment by overlapping PCR (blue: annealing, green: overhang).

The three PCR products were combined together in a subsequent hybridization reaction. The generated “fusion” fragment was amplified further by overlapping PCR through the utilization of the two external primers, EF end ER, with an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 20 s, 54.5 °C for 10 s, 70 °C for 26 s and a final extension step at 70°C for 1 min. An extended annealing was performed in order to improve base-pairing between the complementary ends of
each fragments that have to be fused. The produced cbh6 DNA was digested with the enzymes Clal and XbaI and the DNA fragment gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector, resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/cbh6 which was amplified in E. coli TOP10F’, and the transformants were selected by scoring for Zeocin™ resistance (25 μg/ml). The recombinant vector pPICZαC/cbh6 was confirmed by restriction analysis and DNA sequencing and finally transformed into P. pastoris.

7.2.2. Expression in high-cell density cultures and purification of MtCBH6

Protein expression of the recombinant enzyme was first evaluated in small scale cultures, in shake flasks. For the production of cellobiohydrolase, one single P. pastoris colony harboring cbh6 gene was cultivated in BMGY medium for 18-24 hours, at 30°C in a shaker (200 rpm) and then inoculated into the production medium BMMY reaching OD600=1. The extracellular secreted protein was tested for cellobiohydrolase activity against Avicel 5% (w/v) in 100 mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0, after 24 hours of incubation at 30°C and 200 rpm. The clone exhibiting the highest activity was chosen for the production of the recombinant enzyme and further characterization studies. The cultures were kept in a shaking incubator at 30°C for 6 days (200 rpm) with the addition of 0.75 ml methanol once a day to maintain induction (0.5% v/v).

Cultivation of recombinant strain expressing the cellobiohydrolase in high cell-density fermentation was performed in the basal salts medium (BSM) supplemented with PTM1 trace salts, as described in the Pichia fermentation guidelines provided by Invitrogen (Invitrogen, Pichia Fermentation Process Guidelines) and in § 7.1.2. Batch growth on glycerol was used in the first step in order to provide biomass (70,5 g/l wet cell biomass), while product formation was prevented due to repression of AOX1. The second stage was fed-batch growth on glycerol, followed by a transition phase when glycerol was fed together with small amounts of methanol, causing a slight increase of the enzyme alcohol oxidase due to the derepression of the AOX1 (Jahic et al., 2002). The third stage started with fed-batch growth on methanol, in which the AOX1 was strongly induced, and was maintained for the rest of the cultivation, in order to control the oxygen demand at the high cell density.

Analysis of the culture supernatant, after growth in glycerol medium, showed absence of recombinant enzyme (based on Avicel 5%w/v activity assay and SDS-
The end of glycerol batch was indicated by a sharp increase in the dissolved oxygen (DO) tension. This stage was followed by a 5-hour step of fed-batch glycerol; during this step 50% w/v glycerol, with PTM$_1$ salts was fed at an initial flow-rate of 12 mL/h/lt of culture medium and was reduced gradually until it was fully consumed. At the same time, temperature was reduced from to 28°C to 25°C and finally to 23°C and 2 mL of methanol were added manually in small aliquots with syringe. Total consumption of glycerol was again indicated by a spike in the DO. At the end of this stage the dry cell mass of the cells reached the amount of 44.93 g/lt. The level of enzyme expression increased with fermentation time and maximum level obtained was 0.65 U/mL (activity against Avicel for varying time points shown at Figure 7.9). As methanol was used as carbon source, there was an increase in cell-density during the fed batch phase (Figure 7.9). At the end of the fermentation, the dry weight of cells reached 98.63 g/lt of culture medium. The amount of extracellular protein produced reached 0.98 g.

Figure 7.9. (A) Cell mass concentration during M/CBH6 fermentation. Dry cell weight reached 44.93 g/lt after glycerol fed-batch phase and 151 g/lt at the end of the cultivation. (B) Protein concentration and cellobiohydrolase activity detected in the culture medium during fermentation. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay microplate procedure (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Specific activity was tested against Avicel 5% (w/v), pH 5.0, 60°C in 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer.

For the purification of the recombinant enzyme, the culture broth was centrifuged and concentrated 30-fold using a LabScale Tangential flow filtration system (TFF with membrane Pellicon XL Ultrafiltration Module Biomax, exclusion
size 10 kDa; Millipore, Billerica, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed overnight at 4°C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 8.0) and MtEG5 was rapidly purified by single-step immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC), with a cobalt charged resin on an ÄKTA Prime Plus system, using 0-100 mM imidazole gradient, at a flow rate of 2 ml/min (Figure 7.11). Fractions (2 ml) containing cellobiohydrolase activity were concentrated and the homogeneity was checked by SDS-PAGE (Figure 7.11). MtCBH6 was further polished using S300 Gel Filtration chromatography column to remove trace cellobiohydrolase contaminants. Removal of background impurities from the fermentation broth resulted in 564 mg of pure MtCBH6 per lt of culture supernatant. The molecular weight was estimated to be ca. 75 kDa (Figure 7.10), which appears to be higher than the predicted value using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (49.41 kDa) considering the presence of the myc epitope and the polyhistidine tag which contribute 2.8 kDa to the size of MtCBH6. The nominal mass discrepancy observed might be explained by the existence of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons and Ser-Thr residues, which are known to be a prerequisite for N- and O-glycosylation post-translational modifications respectively. Indeed, 1 N-glycosylation and 35 potential O-glycosylation sites were predicted by using the NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) and the NetOGlyc 3.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/).

Figure 7.10. SDS – PAGE of MtCBH6 during fermentation. Lane 1: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, lanes 2-6: samples from fermentation culture broth at 71, 95, 118, 141 and 162 h of hydrolysis, lane 7: purified MtCBH6 after IMAC.
Figure 7.11. Immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography for the purification of the recombinant \( \text{MtCBH6} \). The left peak corresponds to proteins that were not bound to the resin and the right one to the \textit{His}-tagged cellobiohydrolase that was eluted using a 0-100 mM gradient imidazole. Elution started at 31 mM imidazole, total protein eluted was 348 mg.

7.2.3. Characterization of purified \( \text{MtCBH6} \)

The optimal temperature was determined using Avicel 5\% (w/v) as a substrate, as described above, at temperatures ranging from 30 to 90°C in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the same assay procedure, after incubation of 0.48 mg of purified \( \text{MtCBH6} \) at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimum temperature activity was observed at 60°C, losing rapidly its activity for temperatures over 70°C. The cellobiohydrolase remained fairly stable up to 55°C after preincubation for 24 hours in 100 mM phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 5.0) at different temperatures (Figure 7.12) and exhibited half-life of 16.02 h at 60°C. The optimal temperature was determined using the Avicel assay procedure at temperature ranging from 30 to 80°C in 100 mM citrate–phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability
was determined by measuring the residual activity under the standard assay procedure, after incubation of 0.56 mg of purified \textit{M}t\textit{CBH6} at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimal pH was determined by the standard assay at 60°C over the pH range 3.0–11.0 using either 0.1 M citrate–phosphate buffer pH 3.0–7.0, 0.1 M Tris–HCl pH 7–9 or 0.1 M glycine–NaOH buffer pH 9–11. The stability at different pH was determined after incubating the enzyme in the above buffers at 4°C for 24 h and then measuring the activity remaining using the Avicel assay. The enzyme presented the highest activity levels at pH 5.0, while the activity drops rapidly for pH less than 4 or higher than 6. The enzyme was found remarkably stable in the pH range 3–11 after 24 h retaining its initial activity. Properties of \textit{M}t\textit{CBH6} are described in Figure 7.12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MW</th>
<th>pH\textsubscript{opt}</th>
<th>T\textsubscript{opt} (°C)</th>
<th>Specific activity (U/mg)</th>
<th>T stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>β-glucan 0.1% w/v</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CMC 1% w/v</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avicel 5% w/v</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7.12. Properties of purified \textit{M}t\textit{CBH6} cellobiohydrolase.

7.2.4 Conclusions - Discussion

Cellobiohydrolases are characterized as CBH I (GH7 family) and CBH II (GH6 family) and act on cellulose molecules from reducing and non-reducing ends, respectively, as described in Chapter 2. These enzymes can achieve complete, although slow, solubilization of cellulose crystals even without help of endoglucanases (Teeri, 1997). In the presence of endoglucanases, the rate of hydrolysis of crystalline cellulose by CBHs increases drastically because of an endo–exo synergy between two classes of the enzymes (Wood and McCrae, 1976, Henrissat \textit{et al.}, 1985). An exo–exo synergism between two types of cellobiohydrolases has been reported too (Medve \textit{et al.}, 1994). The enzymes from each family typically share a high degree of identity in their amino
acid sequence and display a common fold. Family 6 enzymes perform catalysis with inversion of anomic configuration of the substrate, whereas family 7 enzymes operate with retention of configuration (Schulein, 2000). Fungal cellobiohydrolases usually have molecular structure typical for most cellulases, i.e. they have a core catalytic module and a cellulose-binding module (CBM) connected by a flexible peptide linker (Van Tilbeurgh et al., 1986; Gilkes et al., 1991) and are often glycosylated, involving both O-linked and N-linked carbohydrate chains (Maras et al., 1997; Hui et al., 2001). Processivity is a feature common to many cellobiohydrolases and is thought to be a critical strategy for improving the catalytic efficiency for hydrolysis of crystalline substrates (Teeri, 1997). Structure analyses have revealed that CBHs such as CBHI and CBHII from *Trichoderma reesei* have enclosed active site tunnels for substrate binding and catalysis (Kurasin et al., 2011). A single glucan chain enters the tunnel from one end, and disaccharides are cleaved off at the catalytic center during its passage.

The cellulolytic system of *M. thermophila* consists of a repertoire of enzymes with cellobiohydrolase (CBH) activity. Totally, four CBHs have been isolated from crude supernatant and studied, as described in Chapter 4. MtCBH6 is the product of MYCTH_66729 gene that represents an enzyme of GH6 family, which is attached to polysaccharide substrate through a CBM and in the same study, was cloned, heterologously expressed in *Pichia pastoris* and partially characterized. The relatively high thermostability (stable for 24h at 55°C) that the enzyme exhibited is indicative of the potential biotechnological use of the enzyme and can be attributed partially to the mannose units added by the post-translational system of *P. pastoris* that tends to hyperglycosylate the secreted proteins, as described in Chapter 2.
7.3. Cloning, expression and characterization of Cellobiohydrolase \textit{Mt}CBH7

7.3.1. Identification and cloning of \textit{Mt}CBH7

From genome analysis, as described in Chapter 4, the translation of \textit{cbh6} open reading frame (ORF) (Model ID 109566) from the \textit{M. thermophila} genome database shows significant primary sequence identity with characterized cellobiohydrolases acting on the reducing end of the carbohydrate molecules, which have been classified to family GH7 on CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Cantarel \textit{et al}. 2009). The putative cellobiohydrolase showed high sequence identity (67\%) with structure identified Cel7d (Cbh58) \textit{Phanerochaete chrysosporium} [PDB: 1GPI] and 61\% identity with CBH I from \textit{Humicola grisea} [GenBank: BAA09785.1]. The hypothetical protein of 109566 was selected as a candidate cellobiohydrolase and the corresponding gene, which was provisionally named \textit{cbh7}, was cloned and used to transform \textit{P. pastoris} X33; the encoded enzyme named \textit{Mt}CBH7 was expressed and finally characterized (\textbf{Table 7.5}). The ORF of \textit{cbh7} encodes a protein of 509 amino acids including a secretion signal peptide of 17 amino acids (MYAKFATLAALVAGAAA) based upon the prediction using SignalP v4.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The predicted mass and isoelectric point (pI) of the mature protein was 54 kDa and pH 4.77, respectively, by calculations using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome Portal ID</th>
<th>109566</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>1:9753507-9755507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Glycoside hydrolase 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains</td>
<td>CBM_1, [Pfam: PR00734, InterProScan]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (translation)</td>
<td>1581 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (transcription)</td>
<td>[5'UTP, 5'UTP] 1934 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>509 aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretion signal</td>
<td>MYAKFATLAALVAGAAA (17 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical predicted MW</td>
<td>54 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theoretical pI</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites N-Glyc</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosylation sites O-Glyc</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Table 7.5. Properties of \textit{Mt}CBH7 obtained from genome analysis.}
For the cloning of the cellobiohydrolase gene from *M. thermophila*, *Escherichia coli* One Shot® Top10 (Invitrogen, USA) and Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, USA) were used as the host-vector system. *P. pastoris* host strain X-33 and pPICZαC (Invitrogen, USA) were used for protein expression. The WT strain of *M. thermophila* ATCC 42464 was maintained on 1.5% malt-peptone-agar slants at 4 °C. *P. pastoris* was routinely grown in shaking flasks at 30 °C according to the instructions in the EasySelect™ Pichia Expression Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Genomic DNA was prepared and isolated as previously described (Topakas *et al.*, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
<th>% GC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StCBH7109566F (30 bp)</td>
<td>Clal</td>
<td>5’- GC [ATCG AG] CAG AAC GCC TGC ACT CTG ACC - 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StCBH109566R (32 bp)</td>
<td>Xbal</td>
<td>5’- GGC ACT GCG AGT ACC CAT TC - 3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7.6.** Primers and conditions used for the amplification of *cbh7* gene through PCR (#1), removal of introns (#2-3) and final overlapping PCR (#5). Colored sequences represent the restriction sites of *ClaI* and *XbaI* enzymes (red, purple).

An *E. coli/P. pastoris* vector, pPICZαC, was used to achieve secreted expression of *MtCBH7*. pPICZαC contains the tightly regulated *AOX1* promoter and the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* α-factor secretion signal located immediately upstream of the
multiple cloning site (Higgins et al., 1998). The gene coding for the hypothetical protein MtCBH7 (Model ID 109566, chromosome 1:9753507-9755507) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers EF/ER (Table 7.6) designed accordingly to the available gene sequence (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/, DOE Joint Genome Institute, Berka et al., 2011) including the ClaI and XbaI restriction enzyme sites at their respective 5’-ends. A high fidelity KOD Hot Start® DNA polymerase producing blunt ends was used for the DNA amplification, which was carried out with 30 cycles of denaturation (20 s at 95°C), annealing (10 s at 60°C), and extension (33 s at 70°C), followed by 1 min of further extension at 70°C. In order to determine the DNA sequence, the PCR product was cloned into the pCRBlunt® vector according to the method described by the Zero Blunt® PCR Cloning Kit.

Intron removal was achieved using the molecular technique of overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OEPCR) (Topakas et al., 2012) using the polymerase KOD Hot Start® (Novagen, USA). Two complementary DNA primers per intron, two external primers (EF/Ee1R, Ee2F/ER, Table 7.6) and the appropriate PCR amplification process were used to generate two DNA fragments harbouring overlapping ends. The recombinant plasmid pCRBlunt/cbh7, at an appropriate dilution, was used as template DNA and the PCR conditions for each reaction are given as the following: 95°C for 2 min, ensued by 30 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 10 s and 70°C for 4 s (fragment 409 bp) or 12 s (fragment 1172 bp) respectively, with a final extension step at 70°C for 1 min. The two PCR products were combined together in a subsequent hybridization reaction. The generated “fusion” fragment was amplified further by overlapping PCR through the utilization of the two external primers, EF and ER, with an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 59°C for 10 s, 70°C for 25 s and a final extension step at 70°C for 1 min. An extended annealing was performed (25 min) in order to improve base-pairing between the complementary ends of each fragments that have to be fused. The produced cbh7 DNA was digested with the enzymes ClaI and XbaI and the DNA fragment gel-purified before cloning into the pPICZαC vector, resulting in the recombinant pPICZαC/cbh7 which was amplified in E. coli TOP10F0, and the transformants were selected by scoring for Zeocin™ resistance (25 μg/ml). The recombinant vector pPICZαC/cbh7 was confirmed by restriction analysis and DNA
sequencing and finally transformed into *P. pastoris*. The recombinant plasmid pPICZαC/cbh7 was linearized with *PmeI* and then transformation of *P. pastoris* and cultivation in shaken flasks were performed according to the EasySelect™ *Pichia* Expression Kit. High-level expression transformants were screened from the YPDS plates containing Zeocin™ at a final concentration of 100 μg/ml. The presence of the *cbh7* gene in the transformants was confirmed by PCR using yeast genomic DNA as template and gene specific primers (*EF and ER; Table 7.6*).

**Figure 7.12.** Amplification of *cbh7* gene through PCR. *Intron 1 and 2 removal* (A) and final OE-PCR (B), cloning of the PCR product into the PCR® Blunt vector/amplification in *E. coli* TOP10 cells and final cloning to pPICZαC vector/amplification in *E. coli* TOP10F’ cells. (A) *Lane 1*: Hyperladder Marker (Bioline), *Lane 2*: gene *cbh7* (left) and *Lanes 2–3*: exons 1,2 (right), (B) *Lane 1*: final OE-PCR product, *Lane 2*: Hyperladder Marker (Bioline), (C) *Lane 1*: Hyperladder Marker (Bioline), *Lanes 2–3*: Digestion of pPICZαC/cbh7 with ClaI/XbaI.
7.3.2. Expression in shake flask cultures and purification of MtCBH7

Protein expression of the recombinant enzyme was first evaluated in small scale cultures, in shake flasks. For the production of cellobiohydrolase, one single *P. pastoris* colony harboring *cbh7* gene was cultivated in BMGY medium for 18-24 hours, at 30°C in a shaker (200 rpm) and then inoculated into the production medium BMMY reaching OD<sub>600</sub>=1. The extracellular secreted protein was tested for cellobiohydrolase activity against Avicel 5% w/v n 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0, after 24 hours of incubation at 30°C and 200 rpm. The clone exhibiting the highest activity was chosen for the production of the recombinant enzyme and further characterization studies. The cultures were kept in a shaking incubator at 30°C for 6 days (200 rpm) with the addition of 0.75 ml methanol once a day to maintain induction (0.5% v/v). After examination of cellobiohydrolase activity, no efficient yield of recombinant protein has been achieved. The major factor causing this problem was primarily the proteolytic degradation of enzymes produced, which hampered the yield. Proteolysis led to low recombinant protein levels and active products that were smaller than the full-length protein. Degraded proteins ran as a “smear” after SDS-PAGE ([Figure 7.13](#)). As a result, in spite of high protein amounts measured at the culture medium, only a small proportion was biologically active.

In order to achieve eliminate proteolysis and achieve higher production levels of homogenous and stable enzyme, several strategies were followed and a series of different parameters were evaluated, such as the reduction of incubation temperature, the influence of initial pH, ammonium sulfate and methanol concentration and agitation. Of all the above parameters, it was found that when the initial concentration of ammonium sulfate in the culture medium was 2-fold higher than the one usually used (10% w/v, as suggested by EasySelect™ *Pichia* Expression Kit protocol), the protein appeared full length sized and homogenous ([Figure 7.13](#)).

Addition of ammonium ions has also been recommended, in the form of ammonium sulfate. Tsujikawa and colleagues (1996), observed 10 fold reduction of proteolysis by supplementing medium with ammonium ions. Proteolysis is found to amplify over the induction period when the amount of viable cells in the culture is reduced. Salting-out is attractive for separation and purification of proteins in both laboratory and industrial
scales. Examples include the recovery of proteins, i.e. diagnostic enzymes, insulin, human growth hormone, interferon, and food proteins, by salting-out with (NH₄)₂SO₄ or Na₂SO₄ (Shih et al. 1992, McNay et al. 2001). However, there are some data on literature that report recombinant protein production with salting-out effect to protect against proteolytic degradation have been published. Ammonium sulfate had a salting-out effect on extracellular proteins shown by the higher protein purity on SDS-PAGE gels. Kobayashi and Nakamura, 2003 proposed that lower proteolysis can be attributed to the fact that the solubility of the proteases decreases with the addition of (NH₄)₂SO₄, so total proteolytic activity in the supernatant liquid decreases by sulfate conjugation. It was found that the addition of 20 g (NH₄)₂SO₄ l⁻¹ to a culture medium was effective for not only increasing the amount of glucoamylase produced but also for maintaining glucoamylase activity at a high level. País-Chanfrau et al., 2004 reported an increased expression of recombinant mini-proinsulin in Pichia pastoris in bioreactors, achieved by, among others, periodical addition of ammonium sulfate.

**Figure 7.13.** SDS-PAGE of cellobiohydrolase MtCBH7. *Lane 1*: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, *Lane 2*: samples from culture medium, where BMMY was used as substrate, with addition of 10% w/v ammonium sulfate. Signs of proteolysis are dominant, as protein exhibit lower molecular weight, run as a “smear” and smaller size molecules appear. *Lanes 3–4*: samples of MtCBH7 from culture medium with addition of 20% w/v ammonium sulfate, after ultrafiltration (3) and after purification with IMAC (4). The enzyme appears full length sized and homogenous.
Figure 7.14. Immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography for the purification of the recombinant MtCBH7. The left peak corresponds to proteins that were not bound to the resin and the right one to the His-tagged cellobiohydrolase that was eluted using a 0-100 mM gradient imidazole. Elution started at 38 mM imidazole, total protein eluted was 197.8 mg from 5 lt of initial culture.

For the purification of the recombinant enzyme, the culture broth was centrifuged and concentrated 30-fold using a LabScale Tangential flow filtration system (TFF with membrane Pellicon XL Ultrafiltration Module Biomax, exclusion size 10 kDa; Millipore, Billerica, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed overnight at 4°C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 8.0) and MtEG5 was rapidly purified by single-step immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC), with a cobalt charged resin on an ÄKTA Prime Plus system, using 0-100 mM imidazole gradient, at a flow rate of 2 ml/min (Figure 7.14). Fractions (2 ml) containing cellobiohydrolase activity were concentrated and the homogeneity was checked by SDS-PAGE (Figure 7.13). Removal of background impurities from the fermentation broth resulted in 39.5 mg of pure MtCBH7 per lt of culture supernatant. The molecular weight was estimated to be ca. 78 kDa (Figure 7.14), which appears to be higher than the predicted value using the ProtParam tool of ExPASY (54 kDa) considering the presence of the myc epitope and the polyhistidine tag which contribute...
2.8 kDa to the size of *Mt* CBH6. The nominal mass discrepancy observed might be explained by the existence of Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr sequons and Ser-Thr residues, which are known to be a prerequisite for N- and O-glycosylation post-translational modifications respectively. Indeed, 1 N-glycosylation and 20 potential O-glycosylation sites were predicted by using the NetNGlyc 1.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/) and the NetOGlyc 3.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/).

### 7.3.3. Characterization of purified *Mt* CBH7

The optimal temperature was determined using Avicel 5% (w/v) as a substrate, as described above, at temperatures ranging from 30 to 90°C in 0.1 M citrate-phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the same assay procedure, after incubation of 0.52 mg of purified *Mt* CBH7 at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimum temperature was observed at 60°C, losing rapidly its activity for temperatures over 70°C. The cellobiohydrolase remained fairly stable up to 50°C after preincubation for 24 hours in 100 mM phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 5.0) at different temperatures (Figure 7.15) and exhibited half-life of 18.1 h at 55°C and 9.41 h at 60°C, respectively.

The optimal temperature was determined using the Avicel assay procedure at temperature ranging from 30 to 80°C in 100 mM citrate–phosphate buffer pH 5.0. Temperature stability was determined by measuring the residual activity under the standard assay procedure, after incubation of 0.55 mg of purified *Mt* CBH7 at various temperatures for different amount of time. The optimal pH was determined by the standard assay at 60°C over the pH range 3.0–11.0 using either 0.1 M citrate–phosphate buffer pH 3.0–7.0, 0.1 M Tris–HCl pH 7–9 or 0.1 M glycine–NaOH buffer pH 9–11. The stability at different pH was determined after incubating the enzyme in the above buffers at 4°C for 24 h and then measuring the activity remaining using the Avicel assay. The enzyme presented the highest activity levels at pH 5.0, while the activity drops rapidly for pH less than 4 or higher than 6. The enzyme was found remarkably stable in the pH range 3–11 after 24 h retaining its initial activity. Properties of *Mt* CBH7 are described in Figure 7.15.
### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MW</th>
<th>pH&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;opt&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</th>
<th>Specific activity (U/mg)</th>
<th>T stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>pNP-β-cellobioside (5mM)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pNP-β-lactopyranoside (5 mM)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CMC 1% w/v</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avicel 5% w/v</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stable at 50°C for 24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; = 18.1h at 55°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; = 9.41h at 60°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7.15.** Properties of purified *Mt*CBH7 cellobiohydrolase.

#### 7.3.4. Conclusions - Discussion

Proteolytic degradation has been a perpetual problem when yeasts are employed to express recombinant proteins in *P. pastoris* (Gimenez et al., 2000). Yeast vacuoles contain various proteases whose levels vary according to the nutritional conditions (van den Hazel et al., 1996). Though several strategies have been followed to limit proteolytic degradation of the recombinant protein, no in-depth analysis on the conditions that promote proteolysis or the nature of the proteases acting on the desired protein is exactly known. In this study, it is reported the successful expression of cellobiohydrolase *Mt*CBH7 in *P. pastoris*, under high osmotic pressure and increased salinity conditions. 2-fold increase of the ammonium sulfate in the culture medium resulted in the production of full length product. *Mt*CBH7 is a process exo-acting, cellobiose-releasing enzyme of GH7 family, acting on the reducing end of the cellulosic substrate. As other retaining carbohydrases, family 7 cellobiohydrolases may catalyse transglycosylation (Gusakov et al., 1991). *Mt*CBH7 is stable at 50°C after 24h incubation and exhibits activity on Avicel and pNP-substituted substrates, as described above. Though CBHs have a key role to the conversion of biomass to fermentable sugars, they are referred to be notoriously slow and susceptible to inhibition. Xylan and xylan-fragments have been suggested repeatedly as one cause of the reduced activity of
CBHs, together with product inhibition by cellobiose (Selig et al., 2008; Bauman et al., 2011). The addition of enzymes with xylanolytic activity will increase the yield of soluble sugars and provide remedies for xylan inhibition of CBHs’ activity.

### 7.4. Production of MtGH61 in fermentor

The recently discovered family of AA9 lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases (LPMOs) includes metallo-enzymes enzymes that have been shown to enhance the hydrolytic potential of a cellulase mixture during the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic substrates (Hu et al., 2013). Unlike the canonical cellulase enzymes which have been shown to cleave cellulose by a hydrolytic mechanism involving the conserved carboxylic acid residues within either channel or cleft shape substrate loading sites, AA9 are thought to cleave cellulose chain by an oxidative mechanism at the protein’s planar active site which contains a divalent metal ion (Aachman et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Quinlan et al., 2011). By using electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction, the active site of GH61 is revealed to contain a type II copper and, uniquely, a methylated histidine in the copper's coordination sphere, thus providing an innovative paradigm in bioinorganic enzymatic catalysis (Quinlan et al., 2011). Although a final model mechanism of action has not yet been found, some common features of these enzymes include their need of a reductant cofactor that works as an external electron donor to enhance their activity and the oxidation at the C1 carbon in the glucose ring structure as the most represented (Forsberg et al., 2011; Quinlan et al., 2011). Cannella et al., 2012 showed that under commercially relevant conditions, around 4.1% of the glycosidic bonds in cellulose were oxidatively cleaved by presumably GH61 enzymes, which provides new entry sites for the hydrolytic enzymes and increase the access to the substrate.

MtGH61 represents an enzyme belonging to the AA9 family which has been functionally expressed in Pichia pastoris under the transcriptional control of the alcohol oxidase (AOX1) promoter and characterized (Dimarogona et al., 2012). In this study, the enzyme was produced in fermentor and subsequently used in hydrolysis experiments described in Chapter 8. Cultivation of recombinant strain expressing the MtGH61 in high cell-density fermentation was performed in the basal salts medium (BSM), supplemented by trace element solution PTM₅, as described in the Pichia
fermentation guidelines provided by Invitrogen (Invitrogen, *Pichia* Fermentation Process Guidelines). The PTM₁ trace salt solution was also included in the glycerol- and methanol feeds during glycerol and methanol fed-batch phases. The only nitrogen source was ammonium hydroxide which was added as the pH was regulated. Cultivation started at 28°C, aeration was set at 4 vvm and agitation at 800 rpm.

After 24 hours of batch fermentation in glycerol medium (30 g/lt initial concentration), the end of glycerol batch was indicated by a sharp increase in the dissolved oxygen (DO) tension. This stage was followed by a 5-hour step of fed-batch glycerol one; during this step 50% w/v glycerol, with PTM₁ salts was fed at an initial flow-rate of 12 mL/h/lt of culture medium and was reduced gradually until it was fully consumed. At the same time, temperature was reduced from to 28°C to 25°C and finally to 23°C and 2 mL of methanol were added manually in small aliquots with syringe. Total consumption of glycerol was again indicated by a spike in the DO. At the onset of methanol fed-batch phase, casamino acids solution was added at a final concentration of 3 g/lt and then, a feed of 100% MeOH, with PTM₁ was initiated at a flow rate of 1.9 mL/h/lt. The methanol consumption rate was monitored indirectly by stopping the feed and checking the “lag phase”, while increasing the methanol feed rate manually. After 8h, feed rate was adjusted to a maximum of 5.46 mL/h/lt and maintained for ~20h, causing extracellular expression of the recombinant enzyme into the supernatant. Then, the temperature was decreased to 21°C and pure oxygen supply was set to maintain dissolved oxygen levels between 60-30 %. Induction time lasted 160 in total and approximately 700 mL of methanol were consumed. At the end of the fermentation, the dry weight of cells reached 117.5 g/lt of culture medium. The amount of extracellular protein produced reached 1.27 g.

For the purification of MᵢGH61, the culture broth was centrifuged and concentrated 30-fold using a LabScale Tangential flow filtration system (TFF with membrane Pellicon XL Ultrafiltration Module Biomax, exclusion size 10 kDa; Millipore, Billerica, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed overnight at 4°C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 8.0) and then the enzyme was rapidly purified by single-step immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC), with a cobalt charged resin on an ÄKTA Prime Plus system, using 0-100 mM imidazole gradient, at a flow rate of 2 ml/min (Figure 7.16). The eluent, containing
MtGH61 with an estimated molecular weight of ~58 kDa (Figure 7.17), was concentrated and later was used in hydrolysis experiments.

![IMAC chromatography for the purification of the recombinant MtGH61.](image)

**Figure 7.16.** IMAC chromatography for the purification of the recombinant MtGH61. The left peak corresponds to proteins that were not bound to the resin and the right one to the His-tagged enzyme that was eluted using a 0-100 mM gradient imidazole. Elution started at 26 mM imidazole, total protein eluted was 715.8 mg.

![SDS – PAGE of MtGH61 samples after fermentation.](image)

**Figure 7.17.** SDS – PAGE of MtGH61 samples after fermentation. Lane 1: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, lane 2: sample from fermentation culture broth after ultrafiltration, lane 3: purified protein after IMAC.
7.5. Production and purification of enzymes with xylanase activity

7.5.1. Purification of Xylanases from M. thermophila grown on corn cob

*M. thermophila* produces high levels of xylanases, when grown on a cheap carbon source such as corn cob. In this study, partially purified crude enzyme mixture from *M. thermophila* ATCC 34628 strain, was kindly offered from Assoc. Prof. Katapodis P., University of Ioannina, Department of Biological Applications and Technologies, Greece and was used as a source of proteins exhibiting xylanase activity. This mixture had been originally purified from submerged cultures supernatant containing corn cob as carbon source (Katapodis *et al.*, 2003; Figure 7.18). Xylan represents more than 60% of the polysaccharides existing in the cell wall of corn cob, thus inducing the expression of xylanases in fungus’ secretome. The sample, exhibiting initial xylanase specific activity of 67.31 U/mg against birchwood xylan, was loaded onto a S-300 16/60 Sephacryl gel filtration column and fractions were eluted at a 0.4 mL/min flow rate using 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0. Four major fractions were eluted and tested for xylanase and cellulose activity against birchwood xylan 1%w/v and carboxy-methyl-cellulose 1%w/v respectively, in 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0 and 15min incubation at 50°C (Figure 7.19). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme liberating 1 μmol of reducing sugars measured as xylose / glucose equivalent per min. Fractions III and IV, that showed relatively high xylanase specific activity (Table 7.7), but no cellulose activity corresponded to ~ 38 kDa and 45 kDa protein band; enzymes were concentrated to a final volume of 2 mL and used in the hydrolysis experiments of natural substrates described in Chapter 8.

*Figure 7.18*. SDS-PAGE gel. *Lane 1*: Partially purified crude enzyme mixture from *M. thermophila* ATCC 34628 strain grown on corn cob. *Lane 2*: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker.
Figure 7.18. Gel filtration on S-300 16/60 Sephacryl column of the partially purified crude enzyme mixture resulted in the elution of four fractions. Fractions III and IV showed relatively high xylanase specific activity, but no cellulose activity.

7.5.2. Purification of Xylanases from *M. thermophila* grown on wheat straw

The mineral medium used for the production of xylanolytic enzymes contained per liter:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KH₂PO₄</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂HPO₄</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄ · 7H₂O</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl₂ · 2H₂O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO₄ · 7H₂O</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnSO₄ · 4H₂O</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSO₄ · 7H₂O</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoCl₂ · 2H₂O</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 5 mL mycelia and spore suspension of *M. thermophila* ATCC 42464 from a 5-day old culture, grown on PDA Petri dish at 45°C, was inoculated to two 1lt Erlenmeyer flasks each containing 300mL of the above mineral medium supplemented with wheat straw (30g/lt) as carbon source and (NH₄)₂HPO₄ (7.5 g/lt) as nitrogen source. *M. thermophila*
ATCC 42464 strain has been found to reach the highest yield of xylanase activity in culture medium when cultured with wheat straw as carbon source (Katapodis et al., 2006). The flasks were incubated at 46°C in an orbital shaker operating at 200 rpm for 2 days. After gentle centrifuge and resuspension in 50 mL sterile ultrapure water, 200mL of the preculture were used to inoculate a 3 lt glass autoclavable Applikon bioreactor, equipped with an ez-Control system (Applikon Biotechnology B.V., Netherlands), containing 1 lt mineral medium having the above composition and wheat straw (30g/lt). The fungus was cultured for 5 days at 46°C and pH 5.0. Agitation speed was set at 800 rpm, enabling the oxygen concentration to remain above 60% saturation during the cultivation process.

The fermentation broth was centrifuged at 10,000g for 30 min, at 4°C and then filtrated consecutively through filters with 0.45 μm and 0.2 μm pore diameter. The clear supernatant was assayed for xylanase against 1%w/v birchwood xylan in 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer, pH 5.0 and 15 min incubation at 50°C and concentrated 30-fold using a LabScale Tangential flow filtration system (TFF with membrane Pellicon XL Ultrafiltration Module Biomax, exclusion size 10 kDa; Millipore, Billerica, USA). The retentate, containing endo-xylanase activity, was used for further purification of the enzyme. It was dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against a 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) and further concentrated to 5 mL with Vivaspin 2, 10 kDa MWCO PES (GE Healthcare).

Anion exchange chromatography. After equilibration with 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0), crude enzyme preparation was applied on a HiPrep Q HP 16/10 anion exchange column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with 80 mL of the equilibrating buffer, following by a linear gradient of 0 - 1 M NaCl in 150 mL of the same buffer. Some indicative eluted fractions (# 36, 40, 44, and 50) as well as the flow-through (FT) fraction that has been separately kept were tested for xylanase activity against birchwood xylan, as described above. The FT and #36 fractions exhibited detectable xylanase activity, so the corresponding fractions (see Picture XX) were concentrated to 2 mL and tested for xylanase and cellulase activity against birchwood xylan and carboxymethyl-cellulase respectively. Protein concentration was determined by the BCA method (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL), using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Specific activity was calculated (Table XX).
Figure 7.19. Anion exchange chromatography with HiPrep Q HP 16/10 anion exchange column resulted. The fraction that was eluted before gradient of NaCl was started (flow through) contained proteins with xylanolytic activity.

Figure 7.20. SDS-PAGE of samples after anion exchange chromatography, Lane 1: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, Lane 2: protein fraction that was eluted with linear gradient of 0 - 1 M NaCl, Lane 3: protein fraction that was eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, before gradient of NaCl was started (flow through). This fraction contains proteins with isoelectric point above 8.0, which exhibited relatively high xylanolytic, but very low cellulolytic activity.
The FT fraction, containing proteins with isoelectric point below 8.0, was applied on a S-300 16/60 Sephacryl gel filtration column pre-equilibrated with 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer and fractions were eluted at a 0.4 mL/min flow rate using 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0. Three fractions were eluted. This purification step yielded one endoxylanase active fraction (*Fraction II*), consisted of two proteins with molecular weight ~30-35 kDa, as checked by SDS-PAGE. This fraction showed relatively high xylanase specific activity, but no cellulose activity. It was concentrated to a final volume of 2 mL and used in the hydrolysis experiments of natural substrates described in Chapter 7.

**Figure 7.22.** Gel filtration of FT fraction resulted in three fractions.

**Figure 7.23.** SDS-PAGE of xylanase fragments after gel filtration column. *Lane 1:* Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, *Lanes 1-3:* Fractions I-III. *Fraction II* exhibited relatively high xylanolytic activity and was used in hydrolysis experiments.
Table 7.7. Specific activities of fractions purified from *M. thermophila* culture medium grown on two different carbon sources. Xylanase and cellulase activity were tested against birchwood xylan 1% w/v and carboxy-methyl-cellulose 1% w/v respectively, in 100mM phosphate-citrate buffer pH=5.0 and 15 min incubation at 50°C. Colored fractions were used in hydrolysis experiments.

Xylanase mixture that was used at hydrolysis experiments described in Chapter 8 was consisted of 87% Fraction II, 11% Fraction III and 2% Fraction IV. Had a total activity of 14.82 Units/mg when tested against birchwood xylan 1% w/v and remained stable after 8 hours of incubation at 50°C.
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CHAPTER 8

Optimization of *tailor-made* enzyme cocktail for deconstruction of agricultural and forest residues

In this chapter, four core enzymes, in the presence of other four “accessory” enzymes, all encoded by *M. thermophila*’s genes and isolated using various purification and heterologous expression strategies, were tested against natural substrates to determine optimal combinations at 20 mg/g glucan protein loading, using a suitable design of experiments methodology. Synergistic interactions among different enzymes were then determined through various mixture optimization experiments. Optimal combinations were predicted from suitable statistical models which were able to further increase hydrolysis yields, suggesting that tailor-made enzyme mixtures targeted towards a particular feed stock can help maximize hydrolysis yields.

Even though the main components of all lignocellulosic feedstocks include cellulose, hemicellulose, as well as the protective lignin matrix, there are some differences in structure that may influence the degradability of the materials. Agricultural residues, such as wheat straw, have the advantage that in most cases they are easier to degrade in comparison with forest residues. This is due to the lower lignin content, as well as the dimension of the straw being relatively small, which results in a material that is more easily accessible for the microbial enzymes. Also, there is usually more difficult to hydrolyze softwoods than hardwoods because of the higher lignin content of softwoods than that of hardwoods. In hardwood and agricultural plants, xylan is the dominant hemicellulosic structure, whereas for softwoods, it is glucomannan, leading to the hypothesis that various types of biomass require a minimal set of enzymes that has to be tailor-made, i.e. more xylanases for hardwoods or more mannanases for softwoods.

In order to understand better the role of the individual enzymes and their synergistic interactions, in the present study, the hydrolysis of wheat straw, two types of softwood (pine and spruce) and one type of hardwood (birch) by a six component mixture at different stages was analyzed. All the substrates had been hydrothermally
pretreated (Table 8.1). In order to ensure an efficient enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose, pretreatment (also called first-stage hydrolysis) is needed to break down the shield formed by lignin and hemicellulose, resulting in the disruption of the crystalline structure and the reduction of the degree of polymerization of cellulose (Xiros et al., 2013). Different pretreatment technologies have varying effects on product yield and subsequent process steps (Wyman et al., 2005).

![Image of pretreated materials](image)

**Hydrothermal pretreatment with sulphuric acid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spruce, tough treated</td>
<td>212°C for 4-8 minutes and pH 1.6-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>210-215°C for 5 minutes and pH 1.5-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch</td>
<td>190°C for 4-6 minutes and pH 1.8-2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hydrothermal pretreatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>190°C for 12 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.1 Pretreatment specifications of the materials used in hydrolysis experiments.

Spruce, pine and birch were purchased from SEKAB E-Technology AB (Örnsköldsvik, Sweden), while wheat straw from *Triticum aestivum* L. (PWS) which was purchased from Inbicon A/S, (Fredericia, Denmark). PWS was hydrothermally pretreated; residence time in the hydrothermal reactor averaged 12 min with the reactor temperature maintained at 190 °C by injection of steam (Thomsen et al. 2006). The solid fiber fraction was analyzed according to the procedure of Xiros et al. (2009) and was found to contain 50.2 % glucan, 3.8 % hemicellulose, 25.5 % acid insoluble lignin, and 2.8 % starch, based on DM (w/w). Each of forest materials was hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid and was received as pretreated slurries of low pH. Specifications are given Table 8.1.
An experimental mixture plan was set up for the four major cellulases \textit{Mt}CBH7, \textit{Mt}CBH6, \textit{Mt}EG5 and\textit{Mt}EG7, whereas “accessory” enzymes (mannanase, \textit{Mt}CH61, xylanases) and \(\beta\)-glucosidase were added at specific loadings. In order to optimize the mixture of cellulases so as to achieve increased saccharification, an experimental design was employed. More specifically the software Design Expert® 7.0.0 (Stat-Ease inc.) was employed where the design \textit{D-optimal} was used in order to generate 20 experimental conditions (Table 8.2) where the enzymes varied at specified levels (Table 8.3). In all the experimental combinations the summary of the enzymes was set to be equal to 1. The same software was used in order to evaluate the results and determine the most appropriate model that would be used to fit the experimental data. The two models applied during this work were either the \textit{quadratic} (Eq. 1) or the \textit{special cubic} (Eq. 2):

\begin{equation}
    y = \sum_{j=1}^{4} b_j x_j + \sum_{1<j<k} b_{jk} x_j x_k 
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    y = \sum_{j=1}^{4} b_j x_j + \sum_{1<j<k} b_{jk} x_j x_k + \sum_{1<j<k<m} b_{jkm} x_j x_k x_m 
\end{equation}

where \(y\) is the response (either total reducing sugars, TRS or glucose, Glc, mg/mL), \(b\) are the coefficients that were estimated by the model and \(x\) are the variables of the model. Optimization of the mixture was also performed by the same software, where the option to maximize either TRS or glucose was set. At the same moment the concentration of the enzymes were set to be in the limits that they were chosen to vary (Table 8.5). The efficiency of the model was evaluated by calculating the \(p\)-value and \(R^2\).

Enzymes were prepared as described in Chapters 4–7. With the exception of xylanases, all individual enzymes used in these experiments were produced in \textit{Pichia pastoris} from native \textit{M. thermophila} genes. \textit{Mt}EG5, \textit{Mt}CBH6 and \textit{Mt}GH61 were expressed in BSM medium in fermentors, while \textit{Mt}EG7, \textit{Mt}BGL3, \textit{Mt}CBH7 in BMMY medium in shake flasks. Mannanase \textit{Mt}Man26a was generously offered from Assist. Prof. E. Topakas, Biotechnology laboratory, School of Chemical Engineering, NTUA,
Greece. Xylanases were purified from *M. thermophila* crude, after induction with wheat straw, as described in Chapter 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># React</th>
<th>MtEG5</th>
<th>MtEG7</th>
<th>MtCBH6</th>
<th>MtCBH7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.2. Experimental combinations used for the hydrolysis tests.

Figure 8.1. SDS – PAGE of purified enzymes produced and used in hydrolysis experiments. Lanes 1, 8: Novex® sharp pre-stained protein marker, 2: MtEG7a, 3: MtEG5, 4: MtCBH7, 5: MtCBH6, 6: MtGH61, 7: MtBGL3a.
Protein concentrations were determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay microplate procedure (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL), according to the manufacturer's recommendations, using bovine serum albumin as standard (Smith et al., 1985). Enzyme activities were assayed as described in previous Chapters. The supernatants were filtrated, concentrated using a tangential flow filtration system with a 10 kDa-cutoff membrane (Pellicon XL Ultrafiltration Module Biomax 10 kDa, Millipore), buffer exchanged in dialysis tubing membrane with ten volumes of 100mM phosphate – citrate buffer, pH 5.0, and then concentrated further to 20mL. Concentrated desalted enzymes were aliquoted into 1.5 mL tubes and stored at 4°C, with the addition of sodium azide was added (0.02% w/v). Final stock enzyme concentrations ranged from 0.2 to 1 mg/mL. Purity of the final protein preparations was determined by 12.5% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis (Figure 8.1).

The relative abundances of each of the four major enzymes were varied using an experimental design. The borders of the experimental domain were carefully chosen. Special attention was paid to avoid a too large domain as this may impact the reliability of predictions within the domain. On the other hand, it should not be too small and contain the optimum, since extrapolation outside the domain borders is impossible. The lower and upper limits of each component were, therefore, determined following rational considerations (Billard et al., 2012):

- **Cellobiohydrolases** are known to be important for cellulose hydrolysis (Teeri, 1997) and the sum of *Mt*CBH7 and *Mt*CBH6 should constitute the majority of the enzyme cocktail (>50%). In addition, literature data showed that higher CBH7/CBH6 ratios are more beneficial for hydrolysis of steam-exploded wheat straw than lower ones (Rosgaard et al., 2007). Their relative abundances were thus varied from 13:4 to 2:7. *Mt*CBH7 is produced as a major protein of fungi's secretome (20–25% of the total extracellular protein) and adsorbed strongly on microcrystalline cellulose, so it is arguably the most important single enzyme involved in cellulose deconstruction, which however has limited activity on unpretreated lignocellulosic material, due to limited accessible sites of action. Moreover, it has been shown that there is a significant synergism between *Mt*CBH7 and *Mt*CBH6 enzymes during substrate hydrolysis (Gusakov et al., 2007).
The presence of endoglucanases is necessary and MtEG7 and MtEG5 should each at least make up 1% of the mixture. A higher upper limit was chosen for MtEG7, as this enzyme was shown to major importance for optimized initial conversion rates and final yield for steam-exploded wheat straw according to the literature (Billard et al., 2012). Moreover, as described in Chapter 5, MtEG7 has been proved to liquefy efficiently high-consistency lignocellulosic biomass by decreasing significantly the viscosity of the slurry in the first stage of reaction, underlining the crucial role of this enzyme for hydrolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable in model</th>
<th>Lower limit (%)</th>
<th>Upper limit (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MtEG5</td>
<td>χ1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtEG7</td>
<td>χ2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtCBH6</td>
<td>χ3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MtCBH7</td>
<td>χ4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.3. The respective borders for all variables used the experimental design.

Hydrolysis of phosphoric acid swollen cellulose (PASC) was performed using only the four core cellulolytic enzymes and β-glucosidase. In case of lignocellulosic feedstocks, the addition of accessory enzymes was based on the structure and type of each material. In all experiments, MtGH61 consisted 4% of the enzyme mixture, while gallic acid was added at 10mM concentration, as electron donor. The enzymes with xylanase activity consisted 3% of the enzyme mixture for birch (hardwood) and 2% for wheat straw and softwoods. In case of softwoods, MtMan26a was used at 3%. Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed in safe lock 2 mL volume microtubes. The surfactant octylphenol (ethyleneglycol)$_{96}$ ether (Triton X-100) was added at all reactions with natural substrates at concentration 1 mg/mL, which is equivalent to a surfactant addition of 4% of the substrate dry matter. Enhancement of cellulose hydrolysis by adding surfactants to the hydrolysis mixture has been reported by several authors (Eriksson et al., 2002; Castanon and Wilke, 1981; Helle et al., 1993; Ooshima et al., 1986; Park et al., 1992). Reactions were performed at 50°C, with 2.5% initial dry matter content when natural substrates were used and 0.25% in case of pure substrate (PASC). The enzymes were loaded at 1 mg/g substrate for PASC and 20 mg/g substrate for natural materials. In all reactions, β-glucosidase was added in excess in
order to prevent inhibition caused by cellobiose produced by the combined action of exo- and endo-1,4-β-glucanases. After 12 h of incubation, more enzyme was added, so as to ensure the effective release of glucose. All assays were replicated once, sampled twice and assayed twice for total reducing sugars (TRS) and glucose (Glc) at 48 h of hydrolysis, for a total of four replicates of each mixture each time for each variable (TRS and Glc). Total reducing sugars were measured with dinitrosalicylic acid colorimetric method (Miller, 1958) and Glc with GO assay (Sigma). All reactions were performed with 1200 rpm agitation and contained 0.02% w/v sodium azide to prevent microbial contamination.

Figure 8.2. Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed in safe lock 2mL volume microtubes, with 1200 rpm agitation in Thermomixer incubator, which offers simultaneous mixing and temperature control (Eppendorf, Germany).

8.1. Hydrolysis of phosphoric acis swollen cellulose (PASC)

PASC is considered to be a representative of amorphous cellulose. It is usually prepared from Avicel by phosphoric acid treatment (as described by Schulein, 1997) and, opposed to the dry, solid, powder-like composition of Avicel, PASC is relatively viscous, unclear (cloudy) liquid. It has been shown that in the cellulose swelling process, the macromolecular structure of cellulose as a moiety of fibers is maintained, while the physical properties of the sample are changed (Zhang, et al., 2006). In particular, specific surface area and sample volume have been shown to increase, due to
swelling, while DP of PASC have not changed radically compared to the untreated Avicel (Zhang and Lynd, 2005; Zhang, et al., 2006). In this study, the PASC that was used was a generous offer from M. Dimarogona, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># React</th>
<th>EG5</th>
<th>EG7</th>
<th>CBH6</th>
<th>CBH7</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>18.660</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>21.709</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>19.879</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>22.928</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>24.584</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>15.524</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>11.255</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>18.399</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>21.622</td>
<td>0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>20.054</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>14.740</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>15.698</td>
<td>0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>22.493</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>24.758</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>15.872</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>20.402</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>22.319</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>18.921</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>15.872</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>10.471</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.4. TRS and Glc yields for each enzyme combination used for the hydrolysis of PASC. The marked mixture indicates the reaction that produced the highest amount of sugars.
PASC, Total Reducing Sugars (TRS): special cubic, p=0.0002, R^2 = 0.9849

\[
\text{TRS} = -21.66724 \times \text{EG5} + 1.09867 \times \text{EG7} - 0.54947 \times \text{CBH6} - 0.23873 \times \text{CBH7} + 45.79912 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} + 45.36321 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} + 37.05488 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH7} + 0.22492 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} - 2.87501 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH7} + 2.75752 \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} - 97.02937 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} - 19.25658 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH7} - 64.09204 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} + 14.71254 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7}
\]

TRS opt: **EG5** (0.128) **EG7** (0.400) **CBH6** (0.200) **CBH7** (0.272), Yield: **0.754853 mg/mL**

PASC, Glucose (Glc): special cubic, p=0.0116, R^2 = 0.9401

\[
\text{Glc} = -20.42708 \times \text{EG5} + 0.45905 \times \text{EG7} - 0.50713 \times \text{CBH6} - 0.19593 \times \text{CBH7} + 40.53931 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} + 40.07249 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} + 30.82599 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH7} + 3.85197 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} + 1.05697 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH7} + 2.91880 \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} - 92.35394 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} + 0.082939 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH7} - 42.30706 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} - 9.17932 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7}
\]

Glc opt: **EG5** (0.118) **EG7** (0.400) **CBH6** (0.200) **CBH7** (0.282), Yield: **0.734118 mg/mL**
Figure 8.3. Ternary plots showing predicted final Total Reducing Sugars (TRS) yields from PASC hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes (X1, X2, X3) content. For each plot, the fourth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal TRS yield, as predicted by the model. As illustrated in (A), a decrease in MtEG7 proportion results in a decrease in hydrolysis yield, even if MtEG5 levels are higher, indicating the key role of GH7 endoglucanase for the reaction. Even though it cannot compensate for MtEG7, MtEG5 is also an important enzyme; as moving towards D point, where MtEG7 and MtCBH7 are in moderate levels and MtEG5 in its lower limit proportion, the hydrolysis rate is very low.
Figure 8.4. Ternary plots showing predicted final glucose (Glc) yields from PASC hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes content (X1, X2, X3). For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal glucose yield, as predicted by the model.
8.2. Hydrolysis of hydrothermally pretreated wheat straw

Core enzyme mixtures were tested for their hydrolysis performance on hydrothermally pretreated wheat straw. All enzyme loadings were based on equivalent bovine serum albumin BCA based measurement. *M. GH61* and the xylanase cocktail were added as accessory enzymes, as described above. *M. BGL3* was loaded in excess (10% of the total cellulose loading), in order to prevent cellobiose inhibition. The trends for both total reducing sugars (TRS) and glucose (Glc) yields among different enzyme mixtures were dependent on the unique enzyme combinations. % rate of hydrolysis was calculated from the following equation, assuming that the glucose content of wheat straw is 50.2%, according to the analysis of solid fiber fraction by Xiros *et al.* (2009):

\[
\text{% hydrolysis rate} = \left( \frac{\text{TRS (mg / mL)}}{\text{DM (mg/mL) \times 0.502 \times 1.111}} \right) \times 100
\]

### Enzyme proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># React</th>
<th>EG5</th>
<th>EG7</th>
<th>CBH6</th>
<th>CBH7</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.967</td>
<td>21,282</td>
<td>2.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.793</td>
<td>20,033</td>
<td>2.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>23,156</td>
<td>3.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.142</td>
<td>22,532</td>
<td>3.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.635</td>
<td>26,072</td>
<td>3.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.084</td>
<td>22,115</td>
<td>3.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>3.432</td>
<td>24,614</td>
<td>3.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.113</td>
<td>22,323</td>
<td>3.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>23,781</td>
<td>3.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.258</td>
<td>23,365</td>
<td>3.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.606</td>
<td>25,864</td>
<td>3.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.113</td>
<td>22,323</td>
<td>3.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.345</td>
<td>23,989</td>
<td>2.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.693</td>
<td>26,488</td>
<td>3.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.809</td>
<td>27,321</td>
<td>3.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.490</td>
<td>25,031</td>
<td>3.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.287</td>
<td>23,573</td>
<td>2.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.025</td>
<td>21,699</td>
<td>2.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>22,948</td>
<td>3.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.055</td>
<td>21,907</td>
<td>2.881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.5. TRS and Glc yields for each enzyme combination used for the hydrolysis of pretreated wheat straw. The marked mixture indicates the reaction that produced the highest amount of sugars.
Wheat Straw

Wheat Straw, Total Reducing Sugars (TRS): quadratic model \( p < 0.0001, \quad R^2 = 0.9548 \)

\[
TRS = + 26.24805 \cdot EG5 \\
- 0.87730 \cdot EG7 \\
+ 4.34075 \cdot CBH6 \\
+ 4.23657 \cdot CBH7 \\
- 15.49701 \cdot EG5 \cdot EG7 \\
- 38.29441 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH6 \\
- 24.78159 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH7 \\
+ 5.40389 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH6 \\
+ 6.19993 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH7 \\
- 5.36302 \cdot CBH6 \cdot CBH7
\]

TRS opt: EG5 (0.200) EG7 (0.211) CBH6 (0.200) CBH7 (0.389), Yield: 3.7866 mg/mL

Wheat Straw, Glucose (Glc): quadratic \( p = 0.0053, \quad R^2 = 0.8411 \)

\[
Glc = + 7.15288 \cdot EG5 \\
+ 0.70601 \cdot EG7 \\
+ 2.69945 \cdot CBH6 \\
+ 2.81969 \cdot CBH7 \\
+ 4.99455 \cdot EG5 \cdot EG7 \\
- 13.04901 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH6 \\
+ 0.051320 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH7 \\
+ 2.90623 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH6 \\
+ 3.63262 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH7 \\
+ 0.23688 \cdot CBH6 \cdot CBH7
\]

Glc opt: EG5 (0.200) EG7 (0.219) CBH6 (0.200) CBH7 (0.381), Yield: 3.34854 mg/mL
Figure 8.5. Ternary plots showing predicted final Total Reducing Sugars (TRS) yields from wheat straw hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes (X₁, X₂, X₃) content. For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal TRS yield, as predicted by the model.
Figure 8.6. Ternary plots showing predicted final glucose (Glc) yields from wheat straw hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes content (X1, X2, X3). For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal glucose yield, as predicted by the model.

The ternary plot C of Figure 8.3 shows that, when the proportions of MtEG7 are increasing or decreasing over a large range, a high final yield can be conserved. Similar to Billard et al., 2012, that showed that the optimum yield is conserved over a range from about 13% to 23% EG7. Same can be noticed also for MtCBH7. Comparing hydrolysis yields obtained with high and low MtCBH6/MtCBH7 ratio, it seems that
those when MtCBH7 is in higher proportions, the yields are better. As the ratio decreases, the hydrolysis yield also follows the same tension, so MtCBH6 does not compensate for MtCBH7. Even when cellobiohydrolases participate in low proportions (lower limits), there is some hydrolysis that can be attributed to the action of MtEG7. Moving vertically towards lower MtEG7 proportions, yields are maintained, so MtCBH7 can compensate for MtEG7 (at least partially). Similar assumptions may be made for ternary plot C of Figure 8.4 concerning the glucose yield.

Endoglucanases are of major importance for the efficient hydrolysis of pretreated wheat straw, catalyzing the initial attack on the amorphous regions of cellulose chains and the gradual reduction of the average chain length of these polysaccharides. Szijarto et al. identified EG2 (Cel5a) as a key component for the liquefaction of pretreated wheat straw, while MtEG7 has also been proved to liquefy efficiently high-consistency lignocellulosic biomass by decreasing significantly the viscosity of the slurry in the first stage of reaction, underlining the crucial role of this enzyme for hydrolysis (Karnaouri et al., 2014). The crucial role of these enzymes is highlighted in the results of present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>incubation time</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.214</td>
<td>8.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.019</td>
<td>14.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.624</td>
<td>25.989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRS opt (predicted): EG5 (0.200) EG7 (0.211) CBH6 (0.200) CBH7 (0.389), Yield: 3.7866 mg/mL

Figure 8.5. Time-course hydrolysis using the enzyme combination that was predicted to lead to the highest Total Reducing Sugars production. Experimental yield appear to be slightly lower than the theoretically predicted one. The rate of hydrolysis remains almost stable during the first 48h of hydrolysis.
8.3. Hydrolysis of hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid birch

Core enzyme mixtures were tested for their hydrolysis performance on hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid birch. All enzyme loadings were based on equivalent bovine serum albumin BCA based measurement. *M*GH61 and the xylanase cocktail were added as accessory enzymes, as described above. Xylanases’ concentration was 3%, as the main hemicellulose of birch is xylan. *M*BGL3 was loaded in excess (10% of the total cellulose loading), in order to prevent cellobiose inhibition. The trends for both total reducing sugars (TRS) and glucose (Glc) yields among different enzyme mixtures were dependent on the unique enzyme combinations. % rate of hydrolysis was calculated from the following equation, assuming that the glucose content of wheat straw is 41%, according to the literature data (Alén, 2000; Sjöström, 1993; Willför *et al.*, 2005):

\[
\text{% Hydrolysis rate} = \frac{\text{TRS (mg/mL)}}{\text{DM (mg/mL) \times 0.410 \times 1.111}} \times 100
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># React</th>
<th>EG5</th>
<th>EG7</th>
<th>CBH6</th>
<th>CBH7</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>6,456</td>
<td>0,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>6,711</td>
<td>0,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>6,277</td>
<td>0,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>5,946</td>
<td>0,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>6,609</td>
<td>0,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>6,634</td>
<td>0,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>6,507</td>
<td>0,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>6,048</td>
<td>0,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>7,399</td>
<td>0,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>6,328</td>
<td>0,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>5,104</td>
<td>0,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>6,685</td>
<td>0,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>5,538</td>
<td>0,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>6,685</td>
<td>0,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>7,348</td>
<td>0,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>6,634</td>
<td>0,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>5,487</td>
<td>0,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>6,532</td>
<td>0,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>7,017</td>
<td>0,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>7,399</td>
<td>0,685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8.6.** TRS and Glc yields for each enzyme combination used for the hydrolysis of pretreated birch. The marked mixture indicates the reaction that produced the highest amount of sugars.
**Birch, Total Reducing sugars (TRS):** special cubic p< 0.0001, \( R^2 = 0.9981 \)

\[
\text{TRS} = + 23.86839 \times \text{EG5} \\
+ 7.02440 \times \text{EG7} \\
+ 2.52957 \times \text{CBH6} \\
+ 1.81127 \times \text{CBH7} \\
- 55.96731 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \\
- 37.14665 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} \\
- 26.39382 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH7} \\
- 22.53481 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} \\
- 20.28144 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH7} \\
- 6.27797 \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} \\
+ 92.42527 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} \\
+ 20.87724 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH7} \\
+ 4.84800 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} \\
+ 68.32745 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} \times \text{CBH7} 
\]

**TRS opt:** EG5 (0.200) EG7 (0.196) CBH6 (0.356) CBH7 (0.248), Yield: **1.00049 mg/mL**

**Birch, Glucose (Glc):** special cubic p=0.0008, \( R^2 = 0.9764 \)

\[
\text{Glc} = + 7.18980 \times \text{EG5} \\
+ 3.62601 \times \text{EG7} \\
+ 0.79553 \times \text{CBH6} \\
+ 0.75054 \times \text{CBH7} \\
- 23.13030 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{EG7} \\
- 8.40950 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH6} \\
- 7.08057 \times \text{EG5} \times \text{CBH7} \\
- 8.05122 \times \text{EG7} \times \text{CBH6} 
\]
- 7.10649 * EG7 * CBH7
- 0.57697 * CBH6 * CBH7
+ 44.79136 * EG5 * EG7 * CBH6
+ 10.25298 * EG5 * EG7 * CBH7
- 5.08012 * EG5 * CBH6 * CBH7
+ 17.51918 * EG7 * CBH6 * CBH7

**Glc opt:** EG5 (0.200) EG7 (0.209) CBH6 (0.391) CBH7 (0.200), Yield: **0.773808 mg/mL**

**Figure 8.7.** Ternary plots showing predicted final Total Reducing Sugars (TRS) yields from birch hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes (X1, X2, X3) content. For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal TRS yield, as predicted by the model. As illustrated at (C), the location of the optimal domain indicates that *MtEG7* and *MtCBH6* are needed in moderate levels for the maximum hydrolysis.
Figure 8.8. Ternary plots showing predicted final glucose (Glc) yields from birch hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes content (X1, X2, X3). For each plot, the forth enzyme ("actual component") has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal glucose yield, as predicted by the model. The ternary plots A and C show that, when the proportions of $Mt$EG7 and $Mt$EG5 are maintained in a moderate range can result in efficient hydrolysis, whereas cellulbiohydrolases seem to be effective in smaller proportions. Cellulbiohydrolases may be inhibited by xylan and xylan-fragments produced during the hydrolysis. Birch is a hardwood with its main hemicellulolytic component is xylan, so the addition of efficient proportion of xylanases and $\beta$-xylosidases is of great importance to maintain an optimal yield and eliminate the inhibitory effect that hampers the activity of CBHs.
**Figure 8.5.** Time-course hydrolysis using the enzyme combination that was predicted to lead to the highest Total Reducing Sugars production. Experimental yield appear to be lower than the theoretically predicted one, but close to the combination #20, that produced the highest amount of sugars. The rate of hydrolysis is the highest one during the first 12h of incubation, but later decreases, due to the recalcitrance of the forest material.
8.4. Hydrolysis of hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid spruce

Core enzyme mixtures were tested for their hydrolysis performance on hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid spruce. All enzyme loadings were based on equivalent bovine serum albumin BCA based measurement. *Mt*GH61 and the xylanase cocktail were added as accessory enzymes, as described above. Xylanases' concentration was 2% and was added in combination with 3% *Mt*Man26a, as spruce belongs to softwoods and its main hemicellulose is glucomannan. *Mt*BGL3 was loaded in excess (10% of the total cellulose loading), in order to prevent cellobiose inhibition. The trends for both total reducing sugars (TRS) and glucose (Glc) yields among different enzyme mixtures were dependent on the unique enzyme combinations. % rate of hydrolysis was calculated from the following equation, assuming that the glucose content of wheat straw is 40.7, according to the literature data (Hakkila, 1989; Korhonen, 1997; Sjöström, 1993):

\[
\text{% hydrolysis rate} = \frac{\text{TRS (mg/mL)}}{\text{DM (mg/mL)} \times 0.395 + 1.111} \times 100
\]

### Enzyme proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># React</th>
<th>EG5</th>
<th>EG7</th>
<th>CBH6</th>
<th>CBH7</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1,664</td>
<td>15,165</td>
<td>1,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1,678</td>
<td>15,297</td>
<td>1,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1,867</td>
<td>17,017</td>
<td>1,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2,201</td>
<td>20,061</td>
<td>2,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>18,870</td>
<td>1,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1,715</td>
<td>15,628</td>
<td>1,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1,685</td>
<td>15,363</td>
<td>1,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1,874</td>
<td>17,083</td>
<td>1,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2,027</td>
<td>18,473</td>
<td>1,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1,831</td>
<td>16,686</td>
<td>1,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1,925</td>
<td>17,546</td>
<td>1,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>15,958</td>
<td>1,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2,063</td>
<td>18,803</td>
<td>2,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2,056</td>
<td>18,737</td>
<td>1,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>20,325</td>
<td>2,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2,077</td>
<td>18,936</td>
<td>1,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>18,671</td>
<td>2,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1,685</td>
<td>15,363</td>
<td>1,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2,302</td>
<td>20,987</td>
<td>2,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>18,870</td>
<td>1,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.7. TRS and Glc yields for each enzyme combination used for the hydrolysis of pretreated spruce. The marked mixture indicates the reaction that produced the highest amount of sugars.
Spruce, Total Reducing Sugars (TRS): quadratic, p< 0.0001, R²= 0.9463

TRS =  - 5.97838  * EG5  
- 0.90743  * EG7  
+ 0.25214  * CBH6  
+ 0.70942  * CBH7  
+ 13.95667  * EG5 * EG7  
+ 8.95833  * EG5 * CBH6  
+ 15.45688  * EG5 * CBH7  
+ 7.90828  * EG7 * CBH6  
+ 4.67673  * EG7 * CBH7  
+ 3.67017  * CBH6 * CBH7

TRS opt: EG5 (0.178) EG7 (0.200) CBH6 (0.200) CBH7 (0.422), Yield: 2.10181 mg/mL

Spruce, glucose (Glc): quadratic, p=0.0060, R²= 0.8364

Glc =  - 5.80615  * EG5  
- 0.42048  * EG7  
+ 0.73850  * CBH6  
+ 0.59326  * CBH7  
+ 12.76669  * EG5 * EG7  
+ 7.65752  * EG5 * CBH6  
+ 13.82491  * EG5 * CBH7  
+ 6.18348  * EG7 * CBH6  
+ 4.43330  * EG7 * CBH7  
+ 2.83733  * CBH6 * CBH7

Glc opt: EG5 (0.124) EG7 (0.275) CBH6 (0.276) CBH7 (0.325), Yield: 1.93566 mg/mL
Figure 8.9. Ternary plots showing predicted final Total Reducing Sugars (TRS) yields from spruce hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes (X1, X2, X3) content. For each plot, the forth enzyme ("actual component") has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal TRS yield, as predicted by the model. MtEG5 and MtCBH7 are the key enzymes for maintaining the highest TRS yield, as highlighted by the optimal domains.
Figure 8.10. Ternary plots showing predicted final glucose (Glc) yields from spruce hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes content (X1, X2, X3). For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal glucose yield, as predicted by the model. MtEG7 is an enzyme with crucial role for the optimal glucose yield, as the optimal domain in ternary plots A-C is located where higher proportions of this enzyme are used in the enzyme mixture.
**time course reaction (TRS optimal)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>incubation time</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>0,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>9,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,519</td>
<td>13,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td>22,112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRS opt: **EG5** (0.178) **EG7** (0.200) **CBH6** (0.200) **CBH7** (0.422), Yield: **2.10181 mg/mL**

**Figure 8.5.** Time-course hydrolysis using the enzyme combination that was predicted to lead to the highest Total Reducing Sugars production. Experimental yield appear to be higher than the theoretically predicted one, but close to the combination #20, that produced the highest amount of sugars. The rate of hydrolysis is the highest one during the first 12h of incubation; later it is maintained with a slight decrease, due to the recalcitrance of the forest material.
8.5. Hydrolysis of hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid pine

Core enzyme mixtures were tested for their hydrolysis performance on hydrothermally pretreated with sulphuric acid pine. All enzyme loadings were based on equivalent bovine serum albumin BCA based measurement. MtGH61 and the xylanase cocktail were added as accessory enzymes, as described above. Xylanases' concentration was 2% and was added in combination with 3% MtMan26a, as spruce belongs to softwoods and its main hemicellulose is glucomannan. MtBGL3 was loaded in excess (10% of the total cellulose loading), in order to prevent cellobiose inhibition. The trends for both total reducing sugars (TRS) and glucose (Glc) yields among different enzyme mixtures were dependent on the unique enzyme combinations. % rate of hydrolysis was calculated from the following equation, assuming that the glucose content of wheat straw is 40.7%, according to the literature data (Kilpeläinen et al., 2003.; Korhonen, 1997; Viikki, 1995; Saarela et al., 2005):

\[
\% \text{ hydrolysis rate} = \frac{\text{TRS (mg/mL)}}{\text{DM (mg/mL)} * 0.407 * 1.111} * 100
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># React</th>
<th>EG5 (mg/mL)</th>
<th>EG7 (mg/mL)</th>
<th>CBH6 (mg/mL)</th>
<th>CBH7 (mg/mL)</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>4.808</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>5.116</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>5.938</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>5.964</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>4.911</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>4.911</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>3.935</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>4.988</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>4.962</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>5.964</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>4.860</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>4.937</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>4.911</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>4.808</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>5.348</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>5.193</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>4.860</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>4.860</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>5.681</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>5.065</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.8. TRS and Glc yields for each enzyme combination used for the hydrolysis of pretreated pine. The marked mixture indicates the reaction that produced the highest amount of sugars.
**Pine, Total Reducing Sugars (TRS):** quadratic, \( p = 0.0002, R^2 = 0.9213 \)

\[
	ext{TRS} = -5.65174 \cdot EG5 + 1.12947 \cdot EG7 + 0.16687 \cdot CBH6 - 0.026177 \cdot CBH7 + 5.41898 \cdot EG5 \cdot EG7 + 8.20012 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH6 + 9.16821 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH7 - 0.98642 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH6 + 0.47835 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH7 + 1.57099 \cdot CBH6 \cdot CBH7
\]

**TRS opt:** 
- \( EG5 \) (0.073)  
- \( EG7 \) (0.400)  
- \( CBH6 \) (0.200)  
- \( CBH7 \) (0.327), Yield: 0.647236 mg/mL

**Pine, Glucose (Glc):** special cubic \( p = 0.0002, R^2 = 0.9868 \)

\[
	ext{Glc} = -5.34359 \cdot EG5 + 2.40264 \cdot EG7 - 8.44275 \cdot CBH6 + 0.12096 \cdot CBH7 - 3.37416 \cdot EG5 \cdot EG7 + 10.06396 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH6 + 8.08666 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH7 - 2.63309 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH6 - 2.36182 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH7 + 1.88699 \cdot CBH6 \cdot CBH7 + 9.58033 \cdot EG5 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH6 + 18.79645 \cdot EG5 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH7 - 9.96154 \cdot EG5 \cdot CBH6 \cdot CBH7 - 1.17278 \cdot EG7 \cdot CBH6 \cdot CBH7
\]

**Glc opt:** 
- \( EG5 \) (0.076)  
- \( EG7 \) (0.400)  
- \( CBH6 \) (0.200)  
- \( CBH7 \) (0.324), Yield: 0.611436 mg/mL
Figure 8.11. Ternary plot showing predicted final Total Reducing Sugars (TRS) yields from pine hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes (X1, X2, X3) content. For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal TRS yield, as predicted by the model. As illustrated at (A), when MtEG7 decreases, there is a clear reduction of the TRS yield and this reduction is more profound when there is combined decrease of MtEG7 and MtCBH7 enzymes. Within some limits, when moving from points with lower MtCBH7 and higher MtEG7 proportion, hydrolysis yields remain stable; this indicates that the one enzyme can partially compensate for the other one.
Figure 8.12. Ternary plot showing predicted final glucose (Glc) yields from pine hydrolysis, as a function of three out of four “core” enzymes content (X1, X2, X3). For each plot, the forth enzyme (“actual component”) has been fixed to the proportion of the point resulting in the optimal glucose yield, as predicted by the model. In ternary plot (D), there is a clear optimal domain for moderate MtEG5 proportion. When moving upwards along the AD axis within the optimal domain, when CBH6 remains stable, MtEG5 compensates very well for decreasing MtCBH7 ratios. This can be explained at some point in the partial overlapping activities of the two enzymes, as MtEG5 is a processive enzyme, ability that can also attributed to cellobiohydrolases. The same tension can be found at the plot for glucose yields, with a more stretched optimal domain.
**Figure 8.13.** Time-course hydrolysis using the enzyme combination that was predicted to lead to the highest Total Reducing Sugars production. Experimental yield appear to be similar to the theoretically predicted one. The rate of hydrolysis remains almost stable during the first 48h of hydrolysis.

### 8.6. The non-ionic surfactant effect in enzymatic hydrolysis

Several factors prevent an effective utilization of lignocellulose raw materials in a bioethanol process. The hydrolysis rate rapidly decreases during the time course of hydrolysis which leads to decreased yields and long process times. High enzyme concentrations are needed to reach high cellulose conversion, and enzyme recycling is difficult due to adsorption of enzymes to residual lignocellulose. The addition of surfactants increases yield and the rate of enzymatic hydrolysis, leading to reduced cellulase dosage for the hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomasses (Borjesson *et al.*, 2007; Kumar and Wyman, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2011). Different explanations to the surfactant effect on cellulose hydrolysis have been proposed until now, including the effect they could have on enzyme–substrate interactions leading to more effective conversion of cellulose, as well as the ability of surfactants to increase enzyme stability and prevent denaturation of enzymes during hydrolysis.

Lignocellulose conversion to sugar monomers on a commercial scale is hampered by the inhibitory effect of lignin (Nakagame *et al.*, 2010; Lee *et al.*, 2009).

### Table: Time course reaction (TRS optimal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incubation time</th>
<th>RS (mg/mL)</th>
<th>% Hydrolysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>0,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,125</td>
<td>1,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0,265</td>
<td>2,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0,631</td>
<td>5,579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TRS opt:** EG5 (0.073) EG7 (0.400) CBH6 (0.200) CBH7 (0.327), Yield: 0.647236 mg/mL
Lignin provides a physical barrier limiting the accessibility of cellulolytic enzymes to the substrate, and the residual lignin could block the removal of the cellulase from the cellulose chain (Alvira et al., 2010). In addition, the non-productive adsorption of lignin on cellulolytic enzymes reduces the productive hydrolysis of the substrate (Kumar et al., 2009). Lignin may also directly inhibit the activities of cellulolytic enzymes (Dyk and Pletschke, 2012). Therefore, studies are focusing on additives that improve the conversion of lignocellulosic feedstock. A large number of reports have stated that surfactants, especially non-ionic surfactants, were the most suitable additives for improving the saccharification of lignocellulose and the recovery of cellulolytic enzymes (Zhou et al., 2013; Cao and Aita, 2013; Ekcard et al., 2013). The central role of surfactants concerns the enzyme-substrate interactions, has no effect on the catalytic mechanism of cellulolytic enzymes, but affects the adsorption of enzymes on the substrate. Yang et al. reported that non ionic Tween 80 may decrease the non-productive adsorption of cellulase to cellulose (Yang et al., 2011). Another study reports that the increase in conversion when surfactant was added coincided, in all hydrolysis experiments, with a decrease in cellobiohydrolase Cel7A adsorption to the substrate (Eriksson et al., 2002). A likely explanation to these results is that the hydrophobic part of the surfactant binds through hydrophobic interactions to lignin on the lignocellulose fibers and the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant prevents unproductive binding of cellulases to lignin. The hydrophilic parts of the non-ionic surfactants are composed of short ethylene oxide chains. Thus, the adsorption of surfactant on lignin surfaces will prevent unproductive binding of cellulases on lignin. The carbohydrate binding domains (or modules) of most of fungal cellulases have hydrophobic amino acids exposed on the surface, e.g. tyrosines (Kovacs et al., 2009); the presence of these residues on enzyme surfaces is likely to lead to unspecific adsorption to lignin surfaces. Unspecific adsorption of enzymes on lignin could have a stronger role with pretreated substrate compared to native wood samples due to increased exposure of lignin surfaces at the pretreatment process.

One of the typical characteristics of a surfactant is that it can stabilize the surface tension in a solution, so it can decrease the surface tension in supernatants, which reduces the energy consumption of hydrolysis and protects the cellulolytic enzymes from deactivation on the surface of the liquid phase, acting as an accelerant for lignocelluloses hydrolysis in a solid-liquid phase system (Feng et al., 2013). As shown
in Figure 8.4, the hydrophilic group can combine the cellulolytic enzymes in the liquid supernatant, while the hydrophobic group can bond the solid substrates. Enzymes and substrates might be attracted together by the surfactant, which enhances the adsorption of enzymes and the accessibility of substrates. The surfactant also appears to promote the release of enzymes binding on the substrate by its hydrophobic interaction with lignin, thus enhancing the adsorption and desorption between the enzymes and the substrate, which is one reason for the improved hydrolysis of lignocellulose.

Figure 8.14. Schematic diagram representing the process of lignocellulose hydrolysis with the participation of non-ionic surfactant. Enzymes and substrates are attracted together by the surfactant (1), which promotes the release of enzymes non-productively binding on the substrate (2) and leads to a higher recovery of enzymes at the end of hydrolysis (3). The surfactant homogenizes organic matter in solution with its hydrophilic and hydrophobic groups (4). The hydrophobic interaction between the surfactant and lignin can also enhance the hemicellulose hydrolysis (5) (Feng et al., 2013).

Apart from the effect on enzyme-substrate interactions, surfactants have been reported to improve the stability of the enzymes during hydrolysis. The activities of
many enzymes implicated in the degradation of lignocelluloses (Reese and Mandels, 1980; Gunjikar et al., 2001; Ye et al., 2012) are known to be decreased by shear stress from agitation, either by rotary shaker or impeller, during hydrolysis reaction. The increase in the hydrolysis rate by addition of non-ionic Tween 80 was observed under the agitated condition only, suggesting that the non-ionic surfactant may prevent the decrease of protein concentration brought about by agitation stress (Okino et al., 2013). The most profound effect was observed regarding the cellobiohydrolase CBH2. The decrease in the protein concentration was likely due to the precipitation observed during the experiment, indicating that protein aggregated and precipitated by agitation, resulting in the decrease of protein concentration in the solution. Since aggregation of proteins correlates to their hydrophobicity, charge, and secondary structure propensity (Chiti et al., 2003; Zbilut et al., 2003), the addition of surfactant stabilized the enzyme by reducing the hydrophobicity of enzyme surface in hydrolysis yield under agitated conditions. The addition of non-ionic surfactants in the medium of cellulase production fermentation to stabilize instable components during cellulase production has also been reported, underlining the crucial role of surfactants during cellulase production fermentation as well as in hydrolysis (Kruszewska et al., 1990; Reese and Maguire, 1969).

Triton X-100

Triton X-100 ($\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}($$\text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{4}\text{O})_n$) is a non-ionic surfactant that was used as an additive to the hydrolysis experiments described in this Chapter. It has a hydrophilic polyethylene oxide chain (on average it has 9.5 ethylene oxide units) and an aromatic hydrocarbon lipophilic or hydrophobic group. The hydrocarbon group is a $4-(1,1,3,3$-tetramethylbutyl)-phenyl group. Although, together with Tween, Triton surfactants have showed the best improvements of lignocellulose conversion, they are not suitable for large-scale use because of the environmental effects due to the presence of the aromatic ring in the surfactant. Biosurfactants, surface-active substances synthesised
by living cells, are becoming more and more popular for their high efficiency and avirulence (Feng et al., 2013).

8.8. Conclusions

Hydrolysis of the pretreated lignocellulose to simple sugars typically uses a complex of enzymes containing high levels of cellulases, together with lower amounts of enzymes that attack non-cellulosic polysaccharides such as hemicellulose and pectin. Attempts to improve the hydrolytic efficiency of such enzyme complexes have traditionally focused on their component cellulases because cellulose is the most abundant polysaccharide component in lignocellulose. However, it is now recognized that the hydrolytic efficiency of fungal cellulose complexes determined using a model cellulosic substrate (e.g. Avicel, PASC) does not provide a reliable indication of its performance on pretreated lignocellulose (Berlin et al., 2007, Kabel et al., 2005). Evidently, other components in pretreated biomass, particularly hemicellulose and lignin, exert significant restraints on cellulose hydrolysis. For example, one mechanism whereby lignin seems to reduce hydrolytic performance is by binding enzyme components non-productively. Consequently enzyme mixtures with similar cellulase activity may show differences in performance on lignocellulose if they differ in affinity for lignin (Berlin et al., 2007). Similarly, it is probable that hemicelluloses restrict the access of cellulolytic enzymes by coating cellulose fibers. In some lignocelluloses, pectin could exert a similar effect. Consequently, enzyme mixtures with similar cellulase activity may show differences in performance on lignocellulose if they differ in hemicellulase composition (Berlin et al., 2005, 2006). However, it should be possible to compensate for deficiencies in these so-called “accessory” enzymes by supplementation of cellulase mixtures with appropriate activities.

As first step towards evaluating this approach for enzyme improvement, we sought to increase the hydrolytic activity of biomass derived from agricultural residues such as wheat straw, and forest materials from boreal forests, such as softwoods (spruce and pine) and hardwoods (birch) show significant quantitative and qualitative differences in their non-cellulosic polysaccharide components. A statistical model was set up to search for optimized enzymatic mixtures containing four core enzymes, in the
presence of other four “accessory” enzymes, all encoded by \textit{M. thermophila}'s genes. For industrial applications, more enzymes and higher substrate content than those applied here are needed. It was therefore of interest to test an optimized cellulose mixture under such conditions. The present results suggest that \textit{Mt}CBH7 and \textit{Mt}EG7 are enzymes of major importance for optimized final TRS and Glc yields during the hydrolysis of pretreated \textit{wheat straw} and \textit{pine}, while \textit{Mt}CBH7 plays a crucial role in case of \textit{spruce}. Cellobiohydrolases \textit{Mt}CBH6 and \textit{Mt}CBH7 act in combination and are key enzymes for the hydrolysis of the hardwood (birch); the synergism of these enzymes with xylanases have been reported in the literature (Alvira \textit{et al.}, 2011; Qing and Wyman, 2011). For the hydrolysis of the pure substrate (PASC), high proportions of \textit{Mt}EG7 are needed for efficient yields.

Future studies must show if these findings are also true for conditions of high dry matter content and addition of accessory enzymes, conditions which are relevant for enzymatic hydrolysis in industrial applications. It is possible that hydrolysis ratios of the optimized mixtures can be improved when other enzyme components are added. It was shown for instance that xylanases from different families (10 and 11) act synergistically and that their simultaneous presence leads to improvement of glucose yields (Banerjee \textit{et al.}, 2010a; Banerjee \textit{et al.}, 2010b; Gao \textit{et al.}, 2011). In our experiments we used a cocktail of partially purified xylanases from \textit{M. thermophila} culture broth, at very low concentration (2-3\%) of the total enzyme loading. Though the addition of enzymes with xylanolytic activity would lead only to minor improvements on steam-exploded wheat straw, as this substrate contains only very little xylan, it can be hypothesized that an additional xylanase (as well as \textit{\beta}-xylosidase) would raise the release of reducing sugars from birch (xylan is the dominant hemicellulloses component) and other forest materials. Another candidate for further hydrolysis improvement is the use of feruloyl esterase, as a synergistic effect between cellulases, FAEs and xylanases for the hydrolysis of wheat straw have been proven (Tabka \textit{et al.}, 2006 Selig \textit{et al.}, 2008). Ferulic acid is the most abundant hydroxy cinnamic acid in the cell wall (Mueller-Harvey and Hartley, 1986) and is covalently cross-linked to arabinoxylans by ester bonds and to components of lignin mainly by ether bonds (Akin \textit{et al.}, 1996). Accessory enzymes such as feruloyl esterases should also act in synergy with xylanases by cleaving diferulic bridges between xylan chains, opening the
structures and releasing lignin (Yu et al., 2002; Faulds and Williamson, 1995). The synergistic action of xylanase with cellulases has already been demonstrated in earlier studies using corn stover (Alvira et al., 2011; Qing and Wyman, 2011). Much of the synergism between cellulases and xylanolytic enzymes is believed to expose the cellulose microfibril core, by either removing the hemicellulose or the hemicellulosic side chains (Yu et al., 2003) or reduce the inhibitory effect of xylan and xylo-oligomers on the activity cellulolytic enzymes (Qing and Wyman, 2011).
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